### Journal Pre-proof

Physical controls of dynamics of methane venting from a shallow seep area west of Svalbard

A. Silyakova, P. Jansson, P. Serov, B. Ferré, A.K. Pavlov, T. Hattermann, C.A. Graves, S.M. Platt, C. Lund Myhre, F. Gründger, H. Niemann

PII: S0278-4343(19)30413-3

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2019.104030

Reference: CSR 104030

To appear in: Continental Shelf Research

Received Date: 7 June 2019

Revised Date: 25 November 2019

Accepted Date: 30 November 2019

Please cite this article as: Silyakova, A., Jansson, P., Serov, P., Ferré, B., Pavlov, A.K., Hattermann, T., Graves, C.A., Platt, S.M., Myhre, C.L., Gründger, F., Niemann, H., Physical controls of dynamics of methane venting from a shallow seep area west of Svalbard, *Continental Shelf Research*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2019.104030.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



# Physical controls of dynamics of methane venting from a shallow seep area west of Svalbard

## A. Silyakova<sup>a\*</sup>, P. Jansson<sup>a</sup>, P. Serov<sup>a</sup>, B. Ferré<sup>a</sup>, A. K. Pavlov<sup>b</sup>, T. Hattermann<sup>c,d</sup>, C. A. Graves<sup>e,f</sup>, S. M. Platt<sup>g</sup>, C. Lund Myhre<sup>g</sup>, F. Gründger<sup>a</sup> and H. Niemann<sup>h,a,i</sup>

- <sup>a</sup>CAGE Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate, UiT the Arctic University of
   Norway in Tromsø, Department of Geosciences, Post box 6050 Langnes, 9037 Tromsø, Norway
- 7 <sup>b</sup>Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, Post box 6606 Langnes, 9296 Tromsø, Norway
- 8 <sup>c</sup>Akvaplan-niva AS, Fram Centre, Post box 6606, Langnes, 9296 Tromsø, Norway
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>d</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Am Handelshafen
- 10 12, D-27570 Bremerhaven, Germany
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>e</sup>Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Seestrasse 15, D-18119 Rostock,
- 12 Germany
- <sup>f</sup>Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk
   NR33 0HT, UK
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>g</sup>NILU Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Postbox 100, 2027 Kjeller, Norway
- <sup>16</sup> <sup>h</sup>University of Basel, Peterplatz 1, Postbox 4001, Basel, Switzerland
- <sup>i</sup>NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Marine Microbiology &
   Biogeochemistry, Postbox 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, the Netherlands
- 19 \*Corresponding author: Anna Silyakova (<u>anna.silyakova@uit.no</u>), tel.:+4777644569
- 20 Key words: Gas seepage; Water masses; Stratification; Multiyear variability; Methane
- 21 flux; Eddies; Ocean model; Svalbard shelf
- 22

#### 23 Abstract

24 We investigate methane seepage on the shallow shelf west of Svalbard during three 25 consecutive years, using discrete sampling of the water column, echosounder-based gas flux 26 estimates, water mass properties, and numerical dispersion modelling. The results reveal three distinct hydrographic conditions in spring and summer, showing that the methane content in the 27 28 water column is controlled by a combination of free gas seepage intensity and lateral water mass 29 movements, which disperse and displace dissolved methane horizontally away from the seeps. 30 Horizontal dispersion and displacement of dissolved methane are promoted by eddies originating from the West Spitsbergen Current and passing over the shallow shelf, a process that is more 31 intense in winter and spring than in the summer season. Most of the methane injected from 32 33 seafloor seeps resides in the bottom layer even when the water column is well mixed, implying 34 that the controlling effect of water column stratification on vertical methane transport is small. 35 Only small concentrations of methane are found in surface waters, and thus the escape of methane into the atmosphere above the site of seepage is also small. The magnitude of the sea to 36 37 air methane flux is controlled by wind speed, rather than by the concentration of dissolved 38 methane in the surface ocean.

#### 39 **1 Introduction**

40 The Arctic Ocean holds vast reservoirs of the potent greenhouse gas methane in the form 41 of free and dissolved gas (Lammers et al., 1995; Damm et al., 2005), gas entrapped in subsea permafrost (Shakhova et al., 2010), and gas hydrates in sediments (Hester and Brewer, 2009; 42 Westbrook et al., 2009; Berndt et al., 2014). In particular, gas that is bound in hydrates may be 43 44 released as a result of temperature induced gas hydrate destabilization (Kretschmer et al., 2015; James et al., 2016), which makes the warming Arctic Ocean a potential hot spot of future 45 methane emission (Shakhova et al., 2010; Kort et al., 2012; Parmentier et al., 2015). Methane 46 47 release from the seafloor has been documented from numerous areas along the Arctic Ocean continental margin: the West Spitsbergen continental margin and shelf (Knies et al., 2004; Damm 48 49 et al., 2005; Westbrook et al, 2009; Sahling et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2014; Graves et al., 2015; 50 Mau et al., 2017), the Barents Sea (Lammers et al., 1995; Serov et al., 2017; Andreassen et al., 2017), the Kara Sea shelf (Portnov et al., 2013; Serov et al., 2015), the East Siberian Shelf 51 52 (Shakhova et al., 2010, 2013), and the Beaufort Sea (Kvenvolden et al., 1993; Paull et al., 2007). 53 Methane release from the West Spitsbergen margin particularly has been ongoing for several 54 millennia and is, at least partly, temperature controlled (Berndt et al., 2014).

55 Indeed, Arctic air temperatures are increasing twice as fast as the global average because 56 of Arctic amplification (Graversen et al., 2008; Serreze and Francis, 2006; IPCC 2014). The annual average Arctic air temperature is now 3.5°C warmer compared to the beginning of 20th 57 58 century (Soreide et al., 2016). As a result, expanding areas of ice-free Arctic Ocean waters are 59 being exposed to solar radiation and elevated air temperatures. Combined with an increase of heat 60 input from adjacent ocean basins, e.g. warmer than usual Atlantic Water (AW) propagating deeper into the Arctic Ocean (Polyakov et al., 2004; 2007; 2010), this results in a present day 61 Arctic Ocean sea surface temperature which is 5°C warmer than the 1982-2010 average for the 62 63 Barents and Chukchi seas and around Greenland (Soreide et al., 2016). The effect of increasing 64 temperature in the future Arctic may therefore become more important for Arctic seafloor 65 methane liberation (Westbrook et al., 2009; Ferré et al., 2012; MarínMoreno et al., 2015).

#### Journal Pre-proof

66 Several processes determine the fate of methane released into the water column from 67 sediments and, most importantly, its release to the atmosphere. Methane contained in bubbles emanating from the seafloor dissolves in seawater and can be rapidly transported from the area by 68 the advection of water masses (Graves et al., 2015). The upward transport of dissolved methane 69 70 has been found to be limited by water column stratification (e.g. Schmale et al., 2005; Leifer et 71 al., 2009). Studies by Myhre et al., (2016) and Gentz et al., (2014) conducted on the shallow shelf 72 and upper continental slope off Svalbard, west of Prins Karls Forland (PKF) revealed waters 73 enriched with dissolved methane below the pycnocline. However, the methane concentrations 74 above the pycnocline were generally in equilibrium with the atmospheric mixing ratio. This 75 suggested that the pycnocline may act as a physical barrier, preventing dissolved methane from 76 entering the well mixed upper layer of the water column and thus also the atmosphere, instead 77 trapping methane in the lower sphere of the water column. The open Arctic Ocean is stratified throughout the year (Rudels et al., 1994). In shallower areas, however, the stratification of the 78 79 entire water column is subject to an annual cycle and a seasonal erosion of the pycnocline e.g. through winter time convection or wind induced mixing (Cottier et al., 2010). If controlled by 80 81 stratification, the escape of methane to the atmosphere would also follow this seasonality. In 82 other words, the potential for methane to be liberated to the atmosphere from these areas is higher 83 when there is no stratification during stormy seasons (von Deimling et al., 2011).

84 Another important process determining the fate of methane in the water column is it's 85 removal by aerobic methane oxidation (MOx), mediated by aerobic methanotrophic bacteria (Hanson & Hanson, 1996; Reeburg, 2007; Steinle et al., 2015). Methane removal from deep 86 87 water sources through MOx is more efficient than that from shallow sources, because the distance 88 between methane liberation from the seafloor and potential methane evasion to the atmosphere is 89 greater and methanotrophs in the water column have more time for methane consumption (Steinle 90 et al., 2015; Graves et al., 2015; James et al., 2016). For example, in the deep Gulf of Mexico 91 (~1500 meters water depth), most of the methane discharged following the Deepwater Horizon 92 oil spill was consumed by water column methanotrophs (Kessler et al., 2011), while most of 93 methane seeping from the shallow seafloor on the East-Siberian Shelf (~50 m water depth) was 94 liberated to the atmosphere, especially during storm-induced mixing events (Shakhova et al., 95 2013).

96 Marine environments in the Arctic Ocean characterised by ongoing methane release are 97 ideal natural laboratories for studying the effects of potentially enhanced seafloor methane 98 venting in warming waters, and the processes that regulate the transport of this methane. In this 99 paper, we study the dynamics of methane venting from shallow gas-bearing sediments (water 100 depth: 50-120 meters) west of PKF off the Svalbard archipelago; and the physical processes in 101 the water column that control methane dispersion and displacement away from the seeps. We 102 conducted hydroacoustic surveys to determine the flux of free gas (i.e. bubbled methane) from sediments, along with oceanographic surveys to determine concentrations of dissolved methane 103 104 in the water column, sea-air methane fluxes, and water mass properties. Measurements were 105 repeated in a defined study area during three consecutive years to investigate the dynamics of 106 venting methane under varying hydrographic conditions. Model simulations place these detailed 107 observations into the broader seasonal context, and allow a better understanding of the 108 oceanographic processes controlling methane dynamics in the area of study.

- 109
- 110 **2 Methods**

112 2.1 Study area

111

Our study area (423 km<sup>2</sup>; 50 - 120 m water depth) is located west of PKF (Fig. 1). The 113 seafloor in this area is complex and characterized by abundant depressions and a sequence of 114 pronounced end moraine ridges: the Forlandet moraine complex (Landvik et al., 2005). Several 115 116 hundred methane flares were found during the present study and previous expeditions (e.g. Sahling et al., 2014 and references therein). Similar to the adjacent shelf break, gas seepage is not 117 118 related to pockmarks or other fluid leakage related structures and the origin of the methane remains unconfirmed (Westbrook et al., 2009; Berndt et al., 2014). Although hydrates have never 119 120 been recovered in the area and seismic evidence of gas hydrates is missing, sediment cores drilled 121 outside PKF contained freshwater presumably originating from dissociated gas hydrates 122 (Wallmann et al., 2018). Previous studies also suggest that free gas may originate from gas 123 hydrate dissociation deeper on the continental slope (>300 m) where gas hydrates have been 124 found (Sarkar et al., 2012) and migrate along the permeable zones towards the shelf (Westbrook 125 et al., 2009). An alternate hypothesis is that glacial rebound at the beginning of the Holocene 126 resulted in gas hydrate dissociation, which allowed for the formation of shallow gas pockets that 127 continue to release methane into the water column (Portnov et al., 2016; Wallmann et al., 2018).

128 The water masses and circulation in the study area are controlled to a large extent by the 129 interaction of coastal processes on the shelf with the West-Spitsbergen Current (WSC) that 130 circulates northward along the shelf break as the northernmost extension of the North-Atlantic 131 Current, transporting AW into the Arctic Ocean. The core of the WSC is at 250-800 meters water 132 depth (Perkin and Lewis, 1984) and the stream follows the slope of the continental margin 133 (Aagaard et al., 1987). By bringing large amounts of salt and heat, it affects the water column 134 structure in the entire area. Other currents in the area are the East Spitsbergen Current (ESC) that advects Arctic waters into the region, and the coastal surface current, associated with the West 135 136 Spitsbergen Polar Front (Nilsen et al., 2016). Local scale physical processes affecting water mass 137 circulation include exchange of water masses between the WSC and shelf waters due to 138 instability of the WSC core and resulting eddies (Teigen et al., 2010; Hattermann et al., 2016; 139 Appen et al., 2016); as well as wind forcing and resulting upwelling events (Berge et al., 2005; 140 Cottier et al., 2007).

141

142

#### 2.2 Survey design

143 We conducted research expeditions with the R/V Helmer Hansen in the study area during 144 three consecutive years: 25-27 June 2014 (hereafter, June-14), 01 - 03 July 2015 (July-15), 02 - 03145 04 May 2016 (May-16). Each year we visited 64 hydrographic stations. Stations were positioned 146 in a grid for comprehensive coverage of the water column above active methane seeps (Fig. 1). 147 We collected hydrocast data from each station including continuous measurements of conductivity, temperature, depth (CTD), and sampled the water column at discrete depths for 148 149 subsequent dissolved methane concentration measurements (see details in section 2.4). The entire 150 grid was subsampled within 3 days during each survey. Underway hydro-acoustic scanning of the 151 water column was performed to acquire information on gas flares (section 2.3). Ship-mounted 152 meteorological instruments continuously recorded air temperature, atmospheric pressure, wind 153 speed and direction. Furthermore, atmospheric methane mixing ratios were recorded 154 continuously with a Cavity Ring-Down Spectrometer (CRDS, PICARRO G2401) with an air 155 intake at 22.4 m above sea level.



Figure 1. Bathymetric map of the study area with 64 hydrographic stations (white dots) for
oceanographic measurements west of the Svalbard archipelago (overview map). Black dots
indicate locations of methane seeps detected on echograms during all three surveys. Yellow
dashed arrows indicate transects shown in Fig. 4. Bathymetry data were acquired on board with a
Kongsberg Simrad EM 300 multibeam echo sounder (frequency of 30 kHz).

- 164
- 165

2.3 Hydroacoustic data acquisition and gas flux calculations

166

Gas bubbles in the water column were detected as acoustic signatures (flares) with a Kongsberg Simrad EK60 single beam echosounder system. This system is primarily designed for

169 the fishery industry, but is also used to detect gas bubbles in the water column (Ostrovsky et al.,

170 2008; Nikolovska et al., 2008). Data were acquired at 38 kHz as this is the most appropriate

- 171 frequency to detect gas bubbles of sizes expected for cold seeps (Greinert et al., 2006). We used 172 the FlareHunter program (Veloso et al., 2015) to distinguish flares from other echo signals such
- the FlareHunter program (Veloso et al., 2015) to distinguish flares from other echo signals su as fish, seafloor, and interference artifacts, and calculated flow rates from echosounder
- backscatter based on beam compensated Target Strength (TS, dB) in a 5-10 meter layer above the
- seafloor. We report free gas flow rates as mean values calculated from seven different bubble
- rising speed models (BRSMs). The relative uncertainty between BRSM estimates is 16 %
- 177 (Veloso et al., 2015). The total length of our survey line was 408 km in June-14, 427 km in July-
- 178 15, and 300 km in May-16. Accounting for water depth and the resulting beam width radii of 5 2
- 179 20 m, the area of the seafloor investigated by the echosounder was  $5.5 \text{ km}^2$  in June-14 and July-180 15, and  $3.8 \text{ km}^2$  in May-16, which amounts to ~1 % of the total study area. Since the fraction of
- 181 the study area covered by echosounder was small and slightly different between the three
- 182 surveys, we applied Kriging interpolation to scale up estimates over the entire study area and thus
- 183 facilitate comparison (details are provided in Supplementary material Text S1 and Fig. S1). Note
- 184 that Fig. 2 shows observed flow rates of single sources. For comparison with other studies we
- 185 present flow rates for the whole study area in Table 1 calculated as: (i) integrated over the entire
- 186 area volumetric flow rate (L min<sup>-1</sup>); (ii) converted into mass flow rate (t  $y^{-1}$ ) using the ideal gas
- 187 law and accounting for the average depth within each cell; and (iii) mean flux averaged over the
- whole area (mmol  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ), converted from mass flow rate using the molecular weight of methane
- 189 and divided by the survey area  $(423 \text{ km}^2)$ .

190 2.3 CTD profiling and water sample analyses

191 Vertical profiles of seawater temperature, salinity and pressure were recorded with a SBE 192 911 plus CTD probe at a rate of 24 Hz. The probe was mounted on a rosette including 12 5-litre 193 Niskin bottles. The Niskin bottles were closed during the up-cast (at speed of  $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). For 194 analysis of hydrographic profiles, only down-casts were considered. Water samples were taken at 195 5, 15 and 25 meters above the seafloor and below the sea surface, and an additional two samples 196 were collected at evenly spaced depth levels between 25 m above the seafloor an 25 m below the 197 sea surface. In total, eight depths were sampled during all surveys.

198 Immediately upon recovery, sub-samples from the Niskin bottles were collected through 199 silicon tubing into 60 ml plastic syringes (June-14) or 120 ml serum glass bottles (Jule-15, May-200 16) with rinsing by 2 - 3 overflow volumes. Syringes were closed with a 2-way value and serum bottles were crimp-sealed with butyl rubber septa. 5 ml N<sub>2</sub> headspace was added to the syringes 201 and serum bottles. Syringes/serum bottles with headspace were vigorously shaken for two 202 203 minutes to allow the headspace N<sub>2</sub> to equilibrate with the dissolved methane in the water sample. 204 Headspace methane mixing ratios were determined by gas chromatography (GC). During the June-14 survey a ThermoScientific FOCUS GC equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID), 205 206 and a Resteck 2 m packed column HS-Q 80/100 with hydrogen (40 ml min) as a carrier gas was 207 used. During the July-15 and May-16 surveys a ThermoScientific Trace 1310 GC equipped with 208 an FID, and a Restek 30 m Alumina BOND/Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> column with hydrogen as a carrier gas (40 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) was used. The column temperature was held constant at 40°C. The systems were 209 210 calibrated with external standards (2 ppm and 30 ppm in June-14 (Air Liquide); 10 ppm, 50 ppm, 211 and 100 ppm in July-15 and May-16 (Carbagas). Finally, water column methane concentrations 212 were calculated from headspace methane mixing ratios according to Wiesenburg & Guinasso (1979) with consideration of salinity, sample temperature and ambient atmospheric pressure. 213

214 2.4 Calculations of water column methane content

To account for the uneven bathymetry (bottom depths of 50 to 120 m), when comparing bottom, intermediate and surface waters, we divide the water column in three layers (Fig. S2): (1) a bottom layer (0-15 meters above seafloor), (2) an intermediate layer (15 meters above seafloor to 20 m water depth; the upper boundary roughly follows the depth of the pycnocline during the July-15 survey, which we determined as a function of the Brunt–Väisälä frequency, see Fig. 5) and (3) a surface layer (20 m water depth to sea surface). Detailed calculations of the methane content (in mol) within the study area can be found in Supplementary material Text S2.

222 2.5 Calculations of the sea-air methane flux

The sea-air methane flux F (mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated according to Wanninkhof et al. (2009):

- 225 F = k(Cw Co), (Eq. 1)
- where *k* is the gas transfer velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>), *Co* is the methane concentration (mol m<sup>-3</sup>) at the ocean surface in presumed equilibrium with the atmosphere and *Cw* is the measured
- concentration of methane (mol  $m^{-3}$ ) in the well-mixed surface layer, typically measured at 5 m
- water depth. The flux is positive and the ocean emits methane into the atmosphere if the
- 7

230 measured concentration in the surface layer is greater than the equilibrium concentration. *Co* 231  $(mol m^{-3})$  is defined as:

where  $\beta$  is the Bunsen solubility (mol m<sup>-3</sup> atm<sup>-1</sup>) of methane in seawater (Wiesenburg and Guinasso, 1979):

235 
$$\beta =$$
  
236  $\exp[-68.8862 + 101.4956\left(\frac{100}{T_w}\right) + 28.7314\left[\ln\left(\frac{T_w}{100}\right)\right] + S(-0.076146 + 0.04397\left(\frac{T_w}{100}\right) -$   
237  $0.0068672\left(\frac{T_w}{100}\right)^2], (Eq. 3)$ 

238 where  $T_W$  is the water temperature (K) and S is the salinity.

239  $pCH_4$  is the partial pressure of methane in the air, derived from the mixing ratio of methane in the 240 atmosphere  $xCH_4$  (mol mol<sup>-1</sup>) measured by the on board CRDS at a height of 22.4 m (1902 ppb in 241 June-14, 1917 ppb in July-15 and 1955 ppb in May-16). The  $pCH_4$  was calculated according to 242 Pierrot et al., (2009):

243 
$$pCH_4 = xCH_4 * [P_{atm} - P_{wvapor}], (Eq. 4)$$

- accounting for the atmospheric pressure  $P_{atm}$  (atm) measured by the meteorological station on
- board, and the water vapor pressure  $P_{wvapor}$  (atm) calculated according to Weiss and Price (1980):

246 
$$P_{wvapor} = exp[24.4543 - 67.4509\left(\frac{100}{T_A}\right) - 4.8489\ln\left(\frac{T_A}{100}\right) - 0.000544S], \text{ (Eq. 5)}$$

where  $T_A$  is the air temperature (K) from the ships' meteorological station and S is the salinity of spray in overlaying atmosphere, here assumed equal to the salinity of surface water.

The gas transfer velocity k is wind dependent and calculated as described in Graves et al. (2015) and references therein:

251 
$$k = 0.24 * u_{10}^2 (\frac{Sc}{660})^{-0.5}$$
, (Eq. 6)

where  $u_{10}$  (m s<sup>-1</sup>) is the wind speed at 10 m above the sea surface, recalculated from the wind speed  $u_{\text{meas}}$  (m s<sup>-1</sup>) measured by the ships' anemometer at height 22.4 m ( $z_{meas}$ ) after Hsu et al., 1994:

255 
$$u_{10} = u_{meas} * (\frac{Z_{meas}}{10})^{-0.11}$$
, (Eq. 7)

The Schmidt number *Sc* in Eq. 6 is the non-dimensional ratio of gas diffusivity and water kinematic viscosity, and was defined as 677 in accordance with Wanninkhof et al., (2009).

258 2.6 Modelling of water mass properties and particle release experiments

To study seasonal variations in water mass properties and circulation and to scale up our observations to a full year, we used a high-resolution regional ocean sea ice model. A more 261 detailed description and validation of the Svalbard 800 m horizontal resolution model (the S800model hereafter) can be found elsewhere (Albretsen et al., 2017; Hattermann et al., 2016; Crews 262 263 et al., 2017). Briefly, the S800-model provides hindcast ocean sea ice simulations for the Svalbard and the Fram Strait region based on the Regional Ocean Modelling System (ROMS, 264 265 Shchepetkin and McWilliams, 2005) and a coupled sea ice component (Budgell, 2005). Boundary conditions are provided by a 4 km pan-Arctic setup (A4-model). Bathymetry is based on the 266 267 ETOPO1 topography (Amante, 2009). Vertically, the model is discretized into 35 levels with a 268 layer thickness of less than 1 m near the surface over the continental shelf. The S800-model is 269 initialized and forced with daily averages from the A4-model, for which boundary conditions and 270 forcing fields are based on reanalyses (Storkey et al., 2010). Atmospheric forcing is provided by 271 ERA-interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011) and climatological river input from major rives in the 272 area, including freshwater runoff from the Svalbard archipelago (details in Hattermann et al., 273 2016). The S800-model was initialized from January 2005, and the data shown in this study are 274 based on model runs from July 2005 to July 2010, averaged every month over that period.

Modelling results were extracted from a modelled field that included 41×56 grid points
and corresponded to the geographic area of the survey between CTD stations 1, 8, 57, 59, 64
(Fig.1; also red polygons in Fig. 10).

278 To investigate seasonal features of methane dispersion and displacement in the study area, 279 we conducted numerical experiments by simulative release of neutrally buoyant Lagrangian 280 drifters (hereafter particles) that were advected by the model velocity field. We released particles 281 from the polygon where the most intense seeps were observed during the surveys. The polygon 282 enclosed CTD stations 3 (113 m water depth), 4 (103 m water depth), 15 (91 m water depth), and 283 17 (97 m water depth) (Fig. 1). Due to varying water depths at these stations, we chose to release 284 particles from uniform depths between 80 and 100 m. Trajectories were computed using 285 Lagrangian particle tracking algorithm TRACMASS (Döös et al. 2017) based on the daily S800-286 model output (see Hattermann et al. 2016 for details). Particles were released every day and were 287 tracked for a maximum lifetime of ten days. From the end positions of all particles released 288 within a respective month, histograms of particle distributions were computed by bin-counting 289 particle positions on the S800-model lattice. The histograms were normalized to the total number 290 of particles and used as a proxy for mapping the particle dispersion in the region. In addition, 291 monthly averages were computed according to the distance of particles from their source (as a 292 measure of the particle displacement) and to the distance from their mean position at t = 5 days 293 (particle dispersion).

#### 294 **3 Results and discussion**

295

3.1 Controls on flare abundance and methane flux from sediments

296

297 We observed the densest flare cluster in the western and north-western part of the study 298 area (Fig. 2). This cluster was venting free gas during all cruises. In contrast, there was a 299 difference in flare density between surveys in the southern part of the study area, with the highest 300 flare density during the June-14 survey, and much lower densities during the July-15 and May-16 301 surveys. In total, we counted 225 individual flares in June-14, 208 in July-15 and only 92 during the May-16 survey. The estimated gas flux from individual flares ranged between 20 and 600 ml 302 303 min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). As a consequence of the decreasing flare density from June-14 to May-16, the 304 calculated total volumetric gas flow rate over the surveyed area was larger for June-14 (900 L  $min^{-1}$ ) than for the July-15 (665 L min<sup>-1</sup>) and May-16 surveys (540 L min<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1). 305

We carefully checked for factors that may have potentially biased our estimates. The May-16 survey was substantially shorter in distance (~70% compared to June-14 and July-15), decreasing the confidence in scaling up our observations to the entire area. Yet, the western part of the study area, where we always observed the highest flare density, was investigated during all three surveys. Considering only this area, we could still identify a substantial decrease in both flare density and volume flux. Consequently, artefacts from the scaling up the observations made during surveys of different distance cannot explain the observed differences in seepage activity.

Temporal variability in the activity of seafloor methane seeps has been reported previously (e.g. Greinert et al., 2006; Klaucke et al., 2010; Kannberg et al., 2013). Römmer et al. (2016) investigated a cold seep offshore Canada at 1250 m water depth and suggested that the pressure change of 1.9 dbar between low and high tide affected seepage activity with increasing gas flux during falling tides. However, our survey period lasted for ~3 days, i.e. ~6 tidal cycles, so that potential forcing by tides should be equalised and tides cannot be the reason for differences in seepage activity between the surveys.

Variability in gas flux in our study area (highest in June-14, lower in July-15 and lowest 320 321 in May-16) follows observed between-survey differences in bottom water temperature (Fig. S3). This was highest in June-14 (3.63±0.2°C), lower in July-15 (3.49±0.2°C) and the lowest in May-322 323 16 (1.77±0.1 °C). Indeed, it has been proposed that seasonal fluctuations in bottom water 324 temperature modulate seepage activity off Svalbard, but from gas hydrate bearing sediments at 325 the termination of the gas hydrate stability zone (Berndt et al., 2014). However, gas hydrates have 326 never been found in our study area, which is at  $\sim 200$  m shallower water depth than that of gas 327 hydrate stability limit (>300 m water depth), so that we can only speculate about the mechanisms 328 of a potential temperature control on seepage activity. Nevertheless, potentially modulating 329 effects of bottom water temperature would imply seasonal fluctuations in seepage activity in our 330 study area.

331



Figure 2. Flow rates from single sources (flares) during June-14 (a), July-15 (b) and May-16 (c)
 surveys. Coloured circles indicate gas flow rates in ml min<sup>-1</sup> from individual flares on the

seafloor. The grey line represents the ship track and echosounder beam coverage.

337

Survey	Total volumetric flow rate in the area (L min <sup>-1</sup> )	Total mass flow rate in the area (t y <sup>-1</sup> )	Average methane flux from sediments (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )
June-14	899	3774	1.53
July-15	665	3004	1.21
May-16	542	2356	0.96

#### **Table 1.** Methane fluxes from sediments in different surveys

#### 339 3.2 Controls of sea-air methane flux

The highest sea-air methane flux of 15  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> was observed during the June-14 340 survey, a lower flux of 11  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> was observed in July-15, and the lowest flux of only 2 341 342  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> was observed during the May-16 survey (Fig. 3 d-f). The temporal pattern of 343 atmospheric methane mixing ratios was the opposite of that of the flux, i.e. we found the lowest 344 mixing ratios in June-14 (1902  $\pm$  0.52 ppb), higher during the July-15 (1917  $\pm$  3.30 ppb) and the 345 highest during the May-16 survey (1955  $\pm$  25.4 ppb) (data given as average  $\pm$  standard deviation 346 of all observations during each survey). Thus, the atmospheric mixing ratio of methane was one 347 of the main controls on sea-air fluxes resulting in a supressed flux in case of higher atmospheric 348 methane values (e.g. lower fluxes in May-16 compared to the highest encountered atmospheric 349 methane mixing ratios). A further key control on sea-air methane fluxes is the concentration of methane in the well-mixed surface waters, which was 9 nmol  $L^{-1}$  in June-14, and 3 nmol  $L^{-1}$ 350 during the July-15 and May-16 surveys (Table 2). Despite the similar surface water 351 352 concentrations in July-15 and May-16, sea-air methane fluxes were 5 times higher in July-15 than 353 in May-16. This can be explained by the wind speed, which was comparably low and varied very little during the June-14 ( $4-8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) and May-16 surveys ( $1-6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ), but increased from calm 4-6 m s<sup>-1</sup> to strong 10-12 m s<sup>-1</sup> towards the end of the 3-day July-15 survey (Fig. 3b). Generally, the 354 355 differences between the atmospheric methane mixing ratio and surface water methane content as 356 357 well as wind speed determine the variation in average sea-air flux. However, we argue that wind 358 speed plays the most important role in our study area with respect to sea-air methane fluxes. High 359 wind speeds can intensify efflux to the atmosphere even if the surface water methane 360 concentration is relatively low as long as the surface waters are supersaturated with respect to the 361 atmosphere.

To further test how the wind speed affects sea-air methane flux, we determined what the flux would have been if the wind speed had been a 5 m s<sup>-1</sup> throughout all surveys. In other words, we used the observed values of surface water methane concentrations and atmospheric methane mixing ratios measured during each survey, but instead of the measured wind data, we calculated fluxes for a constant wind speed of 5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, which is the climatological average wind speed for late spring to early summer in our study area (The Norwegian Meteorological Institute,

- 368 <u>www.yr.no</u>). The meteorological mean was lower than the measured wind speed in June-14 and
- July-15, but higher than the measured wind speed in May-16. Therefore, our flux calculations
- 370 with the mean values produced lower flux values for the June-14 (10  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and July-15 (4
- $\mu$  mol m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) surveys, but higher values for the May-16 (3.5  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) survey (Fig. 3 g-i). This
- 372 comparison between sea-air methane flux with actual measured and constant wind speeds
- highlights the importance of wind speed in modifying methane emission to the atmosphere in our
- 374 study area.



\_\_\_

Figure 3. Wind speed measured at 22.4 m above sea level (upper panel: **a**, **b**, **c**), Methane flux at the air-sea interface at measured wind speed  $u_{10}$  (mid panel: **d**, **e**, **f**) and methane flux at the airsea interface at constant wind speed U=5 m s<sup>-1</sup> for May-July (lower panel: **g**, **h**, **i**), for the entire grid and the three surveys.

- 381 382
- 3.3 Controls of water column methane content

383 The water column above active methane flares in the study area was divided into three 384 layers in order to estimate differences between methane content in the bottom 15 m, where 385 presumably most of released methane dissolves; the surface 20 m which roughly corresponds to 386 the thickness of the well mixed surface layer in summer and from which outgassing most of 387 methane to the atmosphere occurs; and the intermediate layer between the bottom and surface 388 layers, which is the thickest and presumably accumulates most of the released methane. When 389 comparing different layers, the highest methane concentrations were found in bottom layer as expected. However, in all surveys the overall highest methane content was found in the 390 391 intermediate layer because it contains the highest volume of water (extends through the largest depth interval). When comparing different surveys, we observed the highest total methane 392 content in June-14 ( $23 \times 10^5$  mol), lower in July-15 ( $15 \times 10^5$  mol) and lowest during the May-16 393  $(14 \times 10^5 \text{ mol})$  survey (Table 2). 394

Table 2. Average dissolved methane concentrations and content in different layers during each
 survey

Layer → Survey ↓	Surface (surface-20 m water depth)	Intermediate (variable depth depending on water depth)	Bottom (bottom-15 m above the bottom)	Total	
	Average methane concentrations (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )				
June-14	9.4	55.4	92.3		
July-15	3.1	31.9	70		
May-16	3.2	26.6	61.3		
		Average content (×1)	$0^{-3} \mod m^{-2}$		
June-14	0.17	3.79	1.39	5.35	
July-15	0.06	2.36	1.04	3.46	
May-16	0.07	2.32	0.91	3.30	
		Total content in the survey	ed area (×10 <sup>5</sup> mol)		
June-14	0.73	16	5.87	23	
July-15	0.26	10	4.40	15	
May-16	0.28	9.8	3.85	14	
		Total mass of methane in th	e surveyed area (t)		
June-14	1.17	25.73	9.41	36.31	
July-15	0.43	16.00	7.05	23.50	
May-16	0.44	15.77	6.17	22.38	

The change in dissolved methane content in the water column between the surveys is similar to the trend in the number of observed flares and the volume of released gas, and, to a smaller extent, the sea-air methane flux. Although the correlation between the amount of released methane and its content in the water is anticipated, there are number of processes that we did not measure, some of which could alter the methane content in the entire water column, and some, in surface waters alone. 404 One of these processes is aerobic methane oxidation (MOx), which leads to methane 405 undersaturation of deep waters in the entire ocean (Reeburgh, 2007). During MOx, methane is 406 removed from the water column when it is consumed by bacteria who use methane as a source of 407 carbon and energy. To test how important the role of MOx is in the removal of methane from the 408 system, we used MOx rates reported for the regions near our study area. Gentz et al. (2014) reported MOx rate of 0.8 nmol  $L^{-1} d^{-1}$  in bottom waters and 0.2 in surface waters in the water 409 410 column above methane flares with absolute depth of ~250 m, while Steinle et al. (2015) found higher rates of 2 nmol  $L^{-1} d^{-1}$  in bottom water alongside lower rates of only 0.1 nmol  $L^{-1} d^{-1}$  in 411 surface waters above methane flares with an absolute water depth of 360 m. After vertical and 412 413 horizontal integration of these estimates over our area, we found that less than 10% of the 414 released methane in our study area per day is likely to be removed from the system through MOx, 415 suggesting that this process does not play a major role in the removal of methane injected from 416 sediments at this site.

417 Another process mediating methane content in the water column is aerobic methane 418 production by microbes under phosphorus limiting conditions (Karl et al., 2008). In the oceanic 419 interior, this process leads to methane supersaturation in the surface water column above the 420 pycnocline (Reeburgh, 2007). Such methane supersaturation in surface waters was found in the 421 Fram Strait to the west from our study area, but only reached maximum concentrations of 9 nM at 10-20 m depth (Damm et al., 2015). We observed only one case of isolated high surface 422 methane concentration (of 20 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>) during the June-14 and May-16 surveys, but in most 423 424 cases surface concentrations were close to atmospheric equilibrium, thus we assume that in our 425 study area the methane contribution from this process is of low importance.

426 These two biological processes are important on the scale of entire ocean but are minor 427 mediators of methane content in our study area which experiences rapid methane injection into 428 the system at the seabed and methane concentrations hundreds of times higher than the average 429 oceanic concentrations. For example, considering a total injection of methane from sediments of 430  $5.2 \times 10^5$  mol d<sup>-1</sup> (averaged over the three surveys), a loss through sea-air gas exchange of 0.04 ×  $10^5$  mol d<sup>-1</sup>, and a MOx rate of  $0.58 \times 10^5$  mol d<sup>-1</sup> (based on estimates from Gentz et al. (2014) 431 and Steinle et al. (2015) for nearby waters), the resulting amount of methane in the water column 432 would be 4.6 mol  $\times 10^5$  mol d<sup>-1</sup>. Our total methane content averaged over the three surveys is 17  $\times$ 433 434  $10^5$  mol, which is 3.8 times higher than the resulting content, implying a residence time of 435 methane in the study area of about 3.8 days. However, methane is likely transported beyond our 436 survey area during this time through transport by lateral water movement (section 3.5). To see 437 how efficient this transport is and what affects it in our study area, we look further into vertical 438 and horizontal distribution of methane in different surveys.

439

3.4 Controls of the vertical distribution of dissolved methane

440

442

443

441

Highest dissolved methane concentrations were found in the bottom layer (> 300 nmol L<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>) in the south-western part of the sampling area during all three surveys (Figs. 4d-i, 4d-i). Waters supersaturated with methane were found around flares from the seafloor up to 50 (July-15) and

444 20 meters water depth (June-14, May-16). Methane supersaturated waters have methane

concentrations > 3.7 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>, which would be in equilibrium with the atmosphere for a salinity 445 of 35 at 0° C and atmospheric mole fraction of methane 1.9 ppb (average value for all three 446

447 surveys) (Wiesenburg and Guinasso, 1979). In all three surveys, the intermediate layer methane 448 concentration averaged over the entire area was only half of the bottom layer concentration, while449 the surface water concentrations were 25 times lower than the bottom layer concentrations.



450

Figure 4. Distribution of dissolved methane along four transects (north ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ ), south ( $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}$ ), west ( $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}$ ) and east ( $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}$ ); c.f. Fig. 1 for location and direction of each transect). Seawater density (in kg m<sup>-3</sup>) is indicated by white contour lines. Locations of discrete samples for methane concentration measurements are indicated by black dots.

455 Our results show methane enriched bottom and intermediate waters, and surface water 456 which are only slightly supersaturated or close to atmospheric equilibrium. These results agree 457 well with earlier measurements near our study area (e.g. Gentz et al., 2014; Westbrook et al., 458 2009; Mau et al., 2017), which showed high methane concentrations in bottom waters above 459 methane flares, and rapid decreases in methane concentrations towards the surface. This pattern 460 in vertical distribution can be explained by ongoing gas exchange between rising methane 461 bubbles and the surrounding seawater (e.g. McGinnis et al., 2006). This leads to continuous 462 replacement of methane in the bubbles with  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  from the seawater and methane enrichment of seawater along the bubble ascent. Modelling approaches suggest that the bulk of 463 464 methane is already stripped out from rising bubbles close to the seafloor, so that bottom waters 465 become more enriched with dissolved methane (McGinnis et al., 2006). Bubbles observed close 466 to the surface are thus mostly comprised of  $N_2/O_2$ . Only bubbles of >20 mm in diameter may still 467 contain 1% of their initial methane content at the surface, but such bubbles typically break apart 468 during their ascent (McGinnis et al., 2006).

469 Vertical transport of dissolved methane that has already escaped bubbles has been 470 proposed to be limited by water column vertical stratification, when a pycnocline acts as a barrier 471 for vertical mixing of methane rich waters in strongly stratified waters (Gentz et al., 2014; Myhre 472 et al., 2016). As a proxy for water column vertical stratification, we calculated the Brunt–Väisälä 473 frequency (N<sup>2</sup>) in our study area (Millard et al., 1990), which generally peaked at ~20m water 474 depth, and was the highest in July-15 (4×10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-2</sup>), ~8 times lower during the June-14 survey

- 475  $(0.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-2})$  and near zero in the entire water column during the May-16 survey  $(0.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-2})$
- 476 (Fig. 5c). In July-15 the observed strong stratification was formed by a temperature drop from 5.5
- <sup>477</sup> °C at the surface to 3.5°C at 50 m water depth forming pronounced thermocline (Fig. 5a); and by
- 478 a salinity increase from 34.1 at the surface to 34.9 at 100 m depth along a continuous halocline
- 479 (Fig. 5b). Conversely, in May-16 the water column was well-mixed, with almost uniform
- temperature and salinity with depth, and the near- absence of a pycnocline.



482 **Figure 5.** (a) Potential temperature ( $\Theta$ , °C), (b) salinity and (c) Brunt–Väisälä frequency 483 (N<sup>2</sup>, s<sup>-2</sup>) averaged over all CTD stations for each survey with standard deviation shown as shaded 484 error bars. Colours indicate: June-14 (red), July-15 (black) and May-16 (blue).

485 Despite the difference in stratification between the three surveys (Fig. 5c), the vertical 486 distribution of dissolved methane (high bottom water methane concentrations and low surface water concentrations) was similar across all three surveys (Fig. 4). This indicates that methane 487 488 released from the sediments and dissolved in seawater did not rise above 20-50 m water depth 489 towards the sea surface, even in the absence of a pycnocline. Our findings thus suggest that water 490 density stratification may not always play the principle role in the vertical distribution of 491 dissolved methane in cold seeps areas, in contrast to the conclusions of previous studies in this 492 area (Myhre et al., 2016: Gentz et al., 2014). Furthermore, our results do not show an influence of 493 stratification on water column methane content or the sea-air gas flux.

494 3.5 Controls of horizontal distribution of dissolved methane

The horizontal distribution and patchiness of methane differed between the three surveys. During the June-14 survey we observed elevated dissolved methane concentrations in the bottom and mid-depth layers (Fig. 6d and g) spread over the entire survey area. In contrast, during May-16, methane concentrations were high (up to 400 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>) only above flares clustered in the

499 south-western part of the area, and decreased considerably to  $< 40 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}$  within a few hundred

500 meters away from the flares (Fig. 6i). Elevated methane concentrations also spread horizontally 501 in July-15, but to a lesser extent than during the June-14 survey.

502 In the surface layer, methane concentrations were generally low and near the atmospheric

503 equilibrium (Fig 6a-c). Some elevated surface methane concentrations (~20 nmol  $L^{-1}$ ) were

504 observed at one station in the southeast part of the study area in June-14 and in the south-western

505 part of the study area during the May-16 survey.



506

Figure 6. Average methane concentrations in the surface (0-20 m, a, b, c), intermediate (20 m –
15 m from the seafloor, d, e, f) and bottom water (within 15 m of the seafloor, g, h, i), layers for
the entire grid during the three surveys as indicated above the figures.

510 High variability in water mass properties indicates that circulation during all surveys was controlled by several factors. We used the classification of water masses suggested by Cottier et 511 al. (2005) for Svalbard fjords and adjacent shelf regions to describe the oceanographic setting in 512 our study area. During the June-14 survey we observed only warm and saline AW (temperature  $\Theta$ 513 514  $>3^{\circ}$ C, absolute salinity S<sub>A</sub> >34.65) (Fig. 7a), brought to the study area with the WSC. In contrast, 515 water in July-15 was substantially colder and less saline (Fig. 7b), mainly comprised of AW, with some Transformed Atlantic Water (TAW,  $1 < \Theta < 3^{\circ}$ C,  $S_A > 34.65$ ), and to the largest extent, 516 Intermediate Water (IW,  $\Theta > 1^{\circ}$ C, 34 < S<sub>A</sub> < 34.65). IW originates from fjords and forms as AW 517 that cools over winter in fjords, and is freshened by glacial melt, sea ice melt and river runoff 518 during summer. IW can also be a mix of AW and Arctic Water masses (ArW,  $-1.5^{\circ} < \Theta < 1^{\circ}$ C, 519 520  $34.3 < S_A < 34.8$ ) transported from the Northern Barents Sea around southern tip of Svalbard 521 with the ESC. During the May-16 survey (Fig. 7c), the water column mainly comprised TAW with absolute salinity values similar to AW but with potential temperatures around  $1.5 - 3^{\circ}$ C, 522 523 which is colder than the typical AW with temperature defined as above 3°C. There was a strong 524 presence of AW on the shelf and adjacent fjords in 2016 (F. Nilsen, pers. comm.). The core of the AW in May is always above 2.5°C (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012). Our measured colder 525



526 seawater temperatures in the area could indicate that AW was cooled by the atmosphere or 527 surrounding waters, either locally or before it was advected from adjacent basins.

528

**Figure 7.** Potential temperature ( $\Theta$ ,  $^{\circ}$ C) – absolute salinity ( $S_{A}$ , g kg<sup>-1</sup>) diagrams for the June-14 (a), July-15 (b) and May-16 (c) surveys.  $\Theta$ ,  $^{\circ}$ C calculated according to the International Thermodynamic Equation of Seawater (Fofonoff and Millard, 1983). Absolute salinity calculated based on measured practical salinity, and is expressed in terms of g of salt per kg of water. Grey contours indicate isopycnals (kg m<sup>-3</sup>).

534 Seawater temperature and salinity modelled with the S800-model (Hattermann et al., 535 2016) for the study area indicate a shift from AW to IW properties towards summer and autumn months due to surface warming and freshening (Fig. 8), hence revealing the annual cycle of water 536 mass formation. Salinity shows a seasonal cycle only at the surface, where it decreases from 35 in 537 538 June to 34.4 in September (Fig. 9). Summer freshening of the surface results from freshwater 539 runoff from land, glacial and sea ice melt, and a varying presence of ArW in the study area. 540 Bottom water salinity of about 35 is constant throughout the year, such that the seasonal cycle of 541 density near the seafloor is controlled by temperature. Surface and bottom water temperatures 542 rise towards summer, following atmospheric temperatures, regardless of which water mass is 543 present in the area (Fig. 9). Temperatures increase towards summer from 2.5 to  $6^{\circ}$ C at the 544 surface, and from 1.5 to  $4^{\circ}$ C at the bottom. The maximum temperature in the surface water is 545 observed in July-August and one month later near the bottom. Winter surface and bottom 546 temperatures vary between 1.5 and 2.5°C indicating that the water column is cooled down by 547 heat loss to the atmosphere or surrounding waters (Nilsen et al., 2016). Warming of the water column in the study area throughout the year occurs through intermittent heat exchange with the 548 WSC that floods the shallow shelf (Nilsen et al., 2016), likely in a form of baroclinic eddies, 549

which are abundant in this region (Appen et al., 2016, Hattermann et al., 2016).



- 552 **Figure 8.** TS diagrams based on S800-model data for the study area, monthly average over the
- 553 period July 2005 July 2010 (**a**); monthly average in May, June and July as these months are
- 554 when the surveys were conducted (**b**). Colours indicate month of the year as shown in the legend.
- 555 Background contour lines show isopycnals (kg  $m^{-3}$ ).



556 Month
557 Figure 9. Annual cycle of bottom and surface seawater temperature and salinity in the study area,
558 modelled with S800-model. Lines show mean values for the study area, bars indicate spatial
559 variability.

560 561

- 3.6 Eddy driven seasonal dispersion on the shelf
- 563 Our observations indicated a large spatial variability of dissolved methane concentrations, 564 alongside limited vertical penetration of dissolved methane from the sources at the seafloor 565 towards the sea surface irrespective of vertical stratification. Based on this, we propose that 566 lateral advection near the seafloor plays an important role in dispersing methane horizontally 567 away from the seep locations. The continuous replacement of methane enriched water with water 568 containing low methane concentrations allows efficient dissolution of methane released in 569 bubbles from the sediments.

570 As shown on Fig. 6 (g-i), dissolved methane was spread horizontally in the bottom layer 571 during June-14 and July-15 while it was more concentrated around the source in May-16. The 572 water mass analysis suggests that this variability in horizontal dispersion is related to different circulation patterns on the shelf. As previously discussed, circulation of waters on the shallow 573 574 shelf west of PKF is influenced by the combination of the WSC and superimposed local factors 575 and their seasonality. The sole presence of the AW on the shelf in June-14 for example, which led 576 to high dispersion of dissolved methane above the bottom, can be explained by an AW flooding 577 event from the WSC over the shelf (Nilsen et al., 2008; Nilsen et al., 2016). Thereby, the lateral 578 transport of waters above the PKF shelf during such flooding events disperses the dissolved 579 methane and reduces the residence time of dissolved methane above gas flares.

580 While the WSC core generally flows further offshore than the shallow PKF shelf 581 (Aagaard et al., 1987), instabilities of the WSC result in formation of numerous eddies that 582 transport AW onto the shallow shelf (Appen et al., 2016, Hattermann et al. 2016, Wekerle et al., 583 2017). The transport occurs across the slope near the seafloor and plays an important role in the 584 exchange of AW with shelf waters in our study region (Tverberg and Nøst, 2009). We propose 585 that the observed large dispersion of dissolved methane above the bottom during the June-14 586 survey is a result of eddy activity on the shallow shelf, and that eddies play an important role in 587 the cross-frontal transport of waters and its constituents.

588 Appen et al. (2016) found increased eddy kinetic energy (EKE) and enhanced baroclinic 589 instability in the WSC in winter and spring and it is likely that this seasonality will affect the 590 number of flooding events over the shallow shelf and the residence time of methane above gas 591 flares. To investigate the relationship between the seasonality of eddy activity and the variability 592 of dissolved methane dispersion on the shelf, we used the S800-model to run numerical 593 experiments releasing and tracking particles simulating methane in our most intense flare area 594 (see Methods 2.5). The particles are freely advected by the three dimensional model velocity field 595 and provide a first order assessment of the role of the circulation in methane dispersion. The 596 buoyancy driven motion of bubbles and the aerobic oxidation of dissolved methane will add 597 further complexity to the dispersion process, but as discussed in section 3.3, are likely to be of 598 secondary importance compared to the advective controls. In the numerical experiment, we 599 observed a clear seasonality in particle dispersion with a much wider area being covered by the 600 particles from January to May as opposed to a limited area of high particle concentrations during 601 the summer months (Fig. 10).

602 During all months, the particles are mainly advected northward along the shelf and into 603 the Kongsfjorden Trough that crosses the shelf at 79° N. However, in winter and spring, the 604 pattern becomes more dispersive and particles are advected westward off the shelf, suggesting a 605 greater influence of the WSC on water mass exchange with the shallow shelf area. The residence 606 time within our study area follows the seasonal evolution of EKE (Fig 11a), with 50 % (80 %) of 607 the released particles having left the study area after 3 days (6 days) between January to April, 608 when EKE in the study area is largest. Furthermore, particles with the largest displacement (up to 609 80 – 100 km five days after the release, Fig. 11b) are associated with the highest seawater density 610 of  $27.9 - 28.1 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , which is consistent with the hypothesis that methane is efficiently 611 dispersed by eddies that lift dense AW onto the shelf (Tverberg and Nøst, 2009, Hattermann et al. 612 2016). Although our observations during a 3-day period in each year do not resolve the seasonal 613 cycle seen in the model, they support this principal mechanism, with the most dispersed methane 614 concentrations being observed during the June-14 and July-15 surveys when AW was present in 615 the bottom layer. Thus, our combination of observations and modelling suggests that eddies play 616 an important role in dispersing outgassing methane over the continental shelf and in controlling

617 the water column methane content, with potential direct implications for methane related618 biogeochemical processes.



619

620 **Figure 10.** Monthly maps of particle dispersion 5 days after the particle release between 80 and

621 100 m water depth at the positions indicated by the black rectangle. Colours indicate the number

622 of particles per grid cell normalized by the total number of particles in the respective month,

using a logarithmic scale. The red polygon delineates the location of the sampling sites, contours

624 show the isobaths with 100 m intervals thicker lines indicating 500 m intervals.



626 Figure 11. (a) Time series showing the residence time of particles within the study area indicated 627 by the red polygon in Fig. 10 (colour shade), together with monthly averaged mean- (MKE) and 628 eddy (EKE) kinetic energy (right axis), averaged for the same region. Black curves indicate times 629 when 20 %, 50 % and 80 % of particles have left the study area. (b) Two-dimensional histogram 630 of particle displacement vs. potential density at the particle position after five days. Colours 631 indicate the normalized frequency of occurrence on a logarithmic scale, showing that many 632 particles remains within 20 km of the source and that the largest displacements are associated 633 with the highest densities.

#### 634 4 Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first study of the water column above cold methane seeps that combines a multiyear series of oceanographic surveys with stations positioned on a grid within a defined polygon. This study clearly benefits from the grid station design when compared to more frequently conducted single synoptic transects. Acquiring data in a four dimensional array in time and space allowed us to evaluate the methane content in the entire water body above methane flares and clearly identify the major processes mediating water column methane content and transport.

642 Our results suggest the possibility of enhanced methane flux from the sediments triggered 643 by elevated bottom water temperature in the absence of underlying gas hydrate. In light of 644 warming waters of the Arctic Ocean, not only gas hydrate containing sediments, but all methane 645 gas bearing sediments could potentially become sources of methane release into the water 646 column. Further study of the processes involved and the links between gas bearing sediments and 647 bottom water temperature is required to improve our understanding.

648 Comparison between the three different hydrographic regimes observed across the three 649 surveys reveals that most of the released methane in our shallow shelf area remains in the bottom 650 and intermediate waters irrespective of the strength of stratification. Therefore, hypotheses by 651 e.g. Schneider von Deimling et al., (2011), who suggested that all methane could be liberated to 652 the atmosphere from shallow shelf areas as a result of a well-mixed water column and absence of stratification appear not to be valid in our shallow shelf study area. Small amounts of methane
could be liberated to the atmosphere, but mainly as a result of strong winds increasing the rate of
air-sea gas exchange, not weak stratification.

656 As expected, we find the horizontal advection to be the main mechanism controlling the 657 dispersion of dissolved methane on the Prins Karls Forland shelf instead of vertical transport. In 658 particular, our results highlight the role of mesoscale eddies in controlling the methane content above, dispersion around, and displacement away from gas flares. This implies that eddies and 659 horizontal dispersion may also have important effects on methane related biogeochemical process 660 and the magnitude of different methane sinks. For example, one could anticipate that a potential 661 662 for methane sink through MOx could be higher when eddy activity is high in winter and spring season, because by dispersing dissolved methane over a larger area, eddies promote delivery of 663 664 dissolved methane to methane oxidizing bacteria that consequently capture and consume this 665 methane. Further seasonal measurements and/or process oriented modelling will be required to scrutinize these ideas, but these results could considerably shift our understanding of the 666 667 seasonality of sinks of dissolved methane and allow better estimates of the balance between amounts of methane released from sediments, methane liberated into the atmosphere, and 668 669 methane removed from the system through microbial processes.

#### 670 Acknowledgements

671 We would like to thank the crew of RV Helmer Hanssen during the June-14 (CAGE 14-

1), July-15 (CAGE 15-3) and May-16 (CAGE 16-4) cruises. We acknowledge the project

673 MOCA- Methane Emissions from the Arctic OCean to the Atmosphere: Present and Future

674 Climate Effects, which is funded by the Research Council of Norway, grant no. 225814 and the

675 Fram Centre Arctic Ocean flagship project ModOIE, grant no. 66060/299. Authors thank S.

- Buenz for leading the CAGE 14-1 survey and J. Greinert for designing the survey grid and
- 677 providing sampling tools and instrumentation for gas analyses during the CAGE 14-1 cruise.

Authors thank F. Nilsen for valuable discussions on seasonal water mass presence in the study

area. SP was supported by the Norwegian Research Council SIS project Signals from the Arctic
 Ocean in the Atmosphere-SOCA. This study is a part of CAGE (Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate,

Environment and Climate), Norwegian Research Council grant no. 223259. The data used in this

- 682 study have been deposited in the UiT Open Research Data repository
- 683 (https://dataverse.no/dataverse/uit, doi:10.18710/VGQYLQ).

#### 685 **References**

Aagaard, K., Foldvik, A., & Hillman, S. (1987). The West Spitsbergen Current: disposition and 686 687 water mass transformation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 92(C4), 3778-688 3784. Albretsen, J., Hattermann, T., & Sundfjord, A. (2017). Ocean and sea ice circulation model 689 results from Svalbard area (ROMS) [Data set]. Norwegian Polar Institute. 690 Amante, C. (2009). ETOPO1 1 arc-minute global relief model: procedures, data sources and 691 692 analysis. http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/global.html. 693 Andreassen, K., Hubbard, A., Winsborrow, M., Patton, H., Vadakkepuliyambatta, S., Plaza-694 Faverola, A., ... Mattingsdal, R. (2017). Massive blow-out craters formed by hydrate-695 controlled methane expulsion from the Arctic seafloor. Science, 356(6341), 948-953. 696 Appen, W.-J. v., Schauer, U., Hattermann, T., & Beszczynska-Möller, A. (2016). Seasonal cycle 697 of mesoscale instability of the West Spitsbergen Current. Journal of Physical 698 Oceanography, 46(4), 1231-1254. 699 Berge, J., Johnsen, G., Nilsen, F., Gulliksen, B., & Slagstad, D. (2005). Ocean temperature 700 oscillations enable reappearance of blue mussels Mytilus edulis in Svalbard after a 1000 701 year absence. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 303, 167-175. 702 Berndt, C., Feseker, T., Treude, T., Krastel, S., Liebetrau, V., Niemann, H., ... Ferré, B. (2014). Temporal constraints on hydrate-controlled methane seepage off Svalbard. Science, 703 704 343(6168), 284-287. 705 Beszczynska-Möller, A., Fahrbach, E., Schauer, U., & Hansen, E. (2012). Variability in Atlantic 706 water temperature and transport at the entrance to the Arctic Ocean, 1997-2010. ICES 707 Journal of Marine Science, 69(5), 852-863. 708 Budgell, W. (2005). Numerical simulation of ice-ocean variability in the Barents Sea region. 709 Ocean Dynamics, 55(3-4), 370-387. 710 Cottier, F., Nilsen, F., Inall, M., Gerland, S., Tverberg, V., & Svendsen, H. (2007). Wintertime warming of an Arctic shelf in response to large Scale atmospheric circulation. 711 712 Geophysical Research Letters, 34(10). Cottier, F., Tverberg, V., Inall, M., Svendsen, H., Nilsen, F., & Griffiths, C. (2005). Water mass 713 714 modification in an Arctic fjord through cross  $\Box$  shelf exchange: The seasonal hydrography 715 of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 110(C12). Cottier, F. R., Nilsen, F., Skogseth, R., Tverberg, V., Skarðhamar, J., & Svendsen, H. (2010). 716 717 Arctic fjords: a review of the oceanographic environment and dominant physical 718 processes. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 344(1), 35-50. 719 Crews, L., Sundfjord, A., Albretsen, J., & Hattermann, T. (2018). Mesoscale eddy activity and 720 transport in the Atlantic Water inflow region north of Svalbard. Journal of Geophysical 721 Research: Oceans, 123(1), 201-215. 722 Damm, E., Mackensen, A., Budéus, G., Faber, E., & Hanfland, C. (2005). Pathways of methane 723 in seawater: Plume spreading in an Arctic shelf environment (SW-Spitsbergen). 724 Continental Shelf Research, 25(12), 1453-1472. Damm, E., Thoms, S., Beszczynska-Möller, A., Nöthig, E.-M., & Kattner, G. (2015). Methane 725 726 excess production in oxygen-rich polar water and a model of cellular conditions for this paradox. Polar Science, 9(3), 327-334. 727

- 728 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., . . . Bauer, P. (2011). 729 The ERA Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation 730 system. Quarterly Journal of the royal meteorological society, 137(656), 553-597. Döös, K., Jönsson, B., & Kjellsson, J. (2017). Evaluation of oceanic and atmospheric trajectory 731 732 schemes in the TRACMASS trajectory model v6. 0. Geoscientific Model 733 Development, 10(4), 1733. 734 Ferré, B., Mienert, J., & Feseker, T. (2012). Ocean temperature variability for the past 60 years 735 on the Norwegian Svalbard margin influences gas hydrate stability on human time 736 scales. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 117(C10). 737 Fofonoff, N. P., & Millard Jr, R. (1983). Algorithms for the computation of fundamental 738 properties of seawater. 739 Gentz, T., Damm, E., von Deimling, J. S., Mau, S., McGinnis, D. F., & Schlüter, M. (2014). A 740 water column study of methane around gas flares located at the West Spitsbergen continental margin. Continental Shelf Research, 72, 107-118. 741 Graversen, R. G., Mauritsen, T., Tjernström, M., Källén, E., & Svensson, G. (2008). Vertical 742 743 structure of recent Arctic warming. Nature, 451(7174), 53. 744 Graves, C. A., Steinle, L., Rehder, G., Niemann, H., Connelly, D. P., Lowry, D., ... James, R. H. 745 (2015). Fluxes and fate of dissolved methane released at the seafloor at the landward limit of the gas hydrate stability zone offshore western Svalbard. Journal of Geophysical 746
  - *Research: Oceans, 120*(9), 6185-6201.

- Greinert, J., Artemov, Y., Egorov, V., De Batist, M., & McGinnis, D. (2006). 1300-m-high rising
  bubbles from mud volcanoes at 2080 m in the Black Sea: Hydroacoustic characteristics
  and temporal variability. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 244(1-2), 1-15.
- Hanson, R. S., & Hanson, T. E. (1996). Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiological reviews*, 60(2),
   439-471.
- Hattermann, T., Isachsen, P. E., Appen, W. J., Albretsen, J., & Sundfjord, A. (2016). Eddy□
  driven recirculation of Atlantic Water in Fram Strait. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
  43(7), 3406-3414.
- Hester, K. C., & Brewer, P. G. (2009). Clathrate hydrates in nature. *Annual review of marine science*, *1*, 303-327.
- Hsu, S., Meindl, E. A., & Gilhousen, D. B. (1994). Determining the power-law wind-profile
  exponent under near-neutral stability conditions at sea. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*,
  33(6), 757-765.
- Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L., Coakley, B., Dowdeswell, J. A., Forbes, S., Fridman, B., ... Rebesco,
   M. (2012). The international bathymetric chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) version 3.0.
   *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(12).
- James, R. H., Bousquet, P., Bussmann, I., Haeckel, M., Kipfer, R., Leifer, I., ... Rehder, G.
   (2016). Effects of climate change on methane emissions from seafloor sediments in the
   Arctic Ocean: A review. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 61(S1).
- Kannberg, P. K., Tréhu, A. M., Pierce, S. D., Paull, C. K., & Caress, D. W. (2013). Temporal
  variation of methane flares in the ocean above Hydrate Ridge, Oregon. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *368*, 33-42.
- Karl, D. M., Beversdorf, L., Björkman, K. M., Church, M. J., Martinez, A., & Delong, E. F.
  (2008). Aerobic production of methane in the sea. *Nature Geoscience*, 1(7), 473.
- Kessler, J. D., Valentine, D. L., Redmond, M. C., Du, M., Chan, E. W., Mendes, S. D., ...
  Werra, L. M. (2011). A persistent oxygen anomaly reveals the fate of spilled methane in the deep Gulf of Mexico. *Science*, *331*(6015), 312-315.

- Knies, J., Damm, E., Gutt, J., Mann, U., & Pinturier, L. (2004). Near Surface hydrocarbon
  anomalies in shelf sediments off Spitsbergen: Evidences for past seepages. *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics, Geosystems, 5*(6).
- Kort, E., Wofsy, S., Daube, B., Diao, M., Elkins, J., Gao, R., . . . Moore, F. (2012). Atmospheric
  observations of Arctic Ocean methane emissions up to 82 north. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(5),
  318.
- Kretschmer, K., Biastoch, A., Rüpke, L., & Burwicz, E. (2015). Modeling the fate of methane
  hydrates under global warming. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29(5), 610-625.
- Kvenvolden, K. A., Lilley, M. D., Lorenson, T. D., Barnes, P. W., & McLaughlin, E. (1993). The
  Beaufort Sea continental shelf as a seasonal source of atmospheric methane. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 20(22), 2459-2462.
- Lammers, S., Suess, E., & Hovland, M. (1995). A large methane plume east of Bear Island
   (Barents Sea): implications for the marine methane cycle. *Geologische Rundschau*, 84(1),
   59-66.
- LANDVIK, J. Y., Ingolfsson, O., MIENERT, J., LEHMAN, S. J., Solheim, A., ELVERHØI, A.,
  & Ottesen, D. (2005). Rethinking Late Weichselian ice sheet dynamics in coastal NW
  Svalbard. *Boreas*, 34(1), 7-24.
- Leifer, I., Jeuthe, H., Gjøsund, S. H., & Johansen, V. (2009). Engineered and natural marine seep,
   bubble-driven buoyancy flows. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *39*(12), 3071-3090.
- Marín Moreno, H., Minshull, T. A., Westbrook, G. K., & Sinha, B. (2015). Estimates of future
   warming induced methane emissions from hydrate offshore west Svalbard for a range of
   climate models. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 16*(5), 1307-1323.
- Mau, S., Römer, M., Torres, M. E., Bussmann, I., Pape, T., Damm, E., . . . Loher, M. (2017).
   Widespread methane seepage along the continental margin off Svalbard-from Bjørnøya to Kongsfjorden. *Scientific reports*, 7, 42997.
- McGinnis, D. F., Greinert, J., Artemov, Y., Beaubien, S., & Wüest, A. (2006). Fate of rising
   methane bubbles in stratified waters: How much methane reaches the atmosphere?
   *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 111(C9).
- Millard, R., Owens, W., & Fofonoff, N. (1990). On the calculation of the Brunt-Väisäla
   frequency. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers*, 37(1), 167-181.
- Myhre, C. L., Ferré, B., Platt, S. M., Silyakova, A., Hermansen, O., Allen, G., . . . Pitt, J. (2016).
  Extensive release of methane from Arctic seabed west of Svalbard during summer 2014
  does not influence the atmosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(9), 4624-4631.
- Nikolovska, A., Sahling, H., & Bohrmann, G. (2008). Hydroacoustic methodology for detection,
   localization, and quantification of gas bubbles rising from the seafloor at gas seeps from
   the eastern Black Sea. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 9*(10).
- Nilsen, F., Cottier, F., Skogseth, R., & Mattsson, S. (2008). Fjord–shelf exchanges controlled by
  ice and brine production: the interannual variation of Atlantic Water in Isfjorden,
  Svalbard. *Continental Shelf Research*, 28(14), 1838-1853.
- Nilsen, F., Skogseth, R., Vaardal-Lunde, J., & Inall, M. (2016). A simple shelf circulation model:
  Intrusion of Atlantic water on the West Spitsbergen shelf. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 46(4), 1209-1230.
- 817 Ostrovsky, I., McGinnis, D. F., Lapidus, L., & Eckert, W. (2008). Quantifying gas ebullition with
  818 echosounder: the role of methane transport by bubbles in a medium □ sized lake.
  819 *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 6*(2), 105-118.

- Parmentier, F.-J. W., Silyakova, A., Biastoch, A., Kretschmer, K., & Panieri, G. (2015). Natural
   marine methane sources in the Arctic. In: *Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme* (AMAP).
- Paull, C. K., Ussler, W., Dallimore, S. R., Blasco, S. M., Lorenson, T. D., Melling, H., . . .
  McLaughlin, F. A. (2007). Origin of pingo □like features on the Beaufort Sea shelf and
  their possible relationship to decomposing methane gas hydrates. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(1).
- Perkin, R., & Lewis, E. (1984). Mixing in the West Spitsbergen current. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 14(8), 1315-1325.
- Pierrot, D., Neill, C., Sullivan, K., Castle, R., Wanninkhof, R., Lüger, H., . . . Cosca, C. E.
  (2009). Recommendations for autonomous underway pCO2 measuring systems and datareduction routines. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 56(8-10), 512-522.
- Polyakov, I., Alekseev, G., Timokhov, L., Bhatt, U., Colony, R., Simmons, H., . . . Zakharov, V.
  (2004). Variability of the intermediate Atlantic water of the Arctic Ocean over the last 100
  years. *Journal of Climate*, *17*(23), 4485-4497.
- Polyakov, I., Timokhov, L., Dmitrenko, I., Ivanov, V., Simmons, H., Beszczynska Möller, A., . .
  Gascard, J. C. (2007). Observational program tracks Arctic Ocean transition to a warmer
  state. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 88(40), 398-399.
- Polyakov, I. V., Timokhov, L. A., Alexeev, V. A., Bacon, S., Dmitrenko, I. A., Fortier, L., . . .
  Ivanov, V. V. (2010). Arctic Ocean warming contributes to reduced polar ice cap. *Journal* of *Physical Oceanography*, 40(12), 2743-2756.
- Portnov, A., Smith, A. J., Mienert, J., Cherkashov, G., Rekant, P., Semenov, P., . . . Vanshtein, B.
  (2013). Offshore permafrost decay and massive seabed methane escape in water depths>
  20 m at the South Kara Sea shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(15), 3962-3967.
- Portnov, A., Vadakkepuliyambatta, S., Mienert, J., & Hubbard, A. (2016). Ice-sheet-driven
  methane storage and release in the Arctic. *Nature communications*, 7, 10314.
- 847 Reeburgh, W. S. (2007). Oceanic methane biogeochemistry. *Chemical reviews*, 107(2), 486-513.
- Römer, M., Riedel, M., Scherwath, M., Heesemann, M., & Spence, G. D. (2016). Tidally
  controlled gas bubble emissions: A comprehensive study using long term monitoring
  data from the NEPTUNE cabled observatory offshore Vancouver Island. *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics, Geosystems*, 17(9), 3797-3814.
- Rudels, B., Jones, E., Anderson, L., & Kattner, G. (1994). On the intermediate depth waters of
  the Arctic Ocean. *The polar oceans and their role in shaping the global environment*, 3346.
- Sahling, H., Römer, M., Pape, T., Bergès, B., dos Santos Fereirra, C., Boelmann, J., . . . Dimmler,
  W. (2014). Gas emissions at the continental margin west of Svalbard: mapping, sampling,
  and quantification. *Biogeosciences*, 11(21), 6029.
- Sarkar, S., Berndt, C., Minshull, T. A., Westbrook, G. K., Klaeschen, D., Masson, D. G., ...
  Thatcher, K. E. (2012). Seismic evidence for shallow gas escape features associated with
  a retreating gas hydrate zone offshore west Svalbard. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*Solid Earth, 117(B9).
- Schmale, O., Greinert, J., & Rehder, G. (2005). Methane emission from high □ intensity marine
   gas seeps in the Black Sea into the atmosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(7).
- Serov, P., Portnov, A., Mienert, J., Semenov, P., & Ilatovskaya, P. (2015). Methane release from pingo like features across the South Kara Sea shelf, an area of thawing offshore permafrost. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120*(8), 1515-1529.

867	Serov, P., Vadakkepuliyambatta, S., Mienert, J., Patton, H., Portnov, A., Silyakova, A.,
868	Andreassen, K. (2017). Postglacial response of Arctic Ocean gas hydrates to climatic
869	amelioration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 201619288.
870	Serreze, M. C., & Francis, J. A. (2006). The Arctic amplification debate. <i>Climatic change</i> , 76(3-
871	4), 241-264.
872	Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Leifer, I., Sergienko, V., Salyuk, A., Kosmach, D., Tumskov, V.
873	(2013). Ebullition and storm-induced methane release from the East Siberian Arctic Shelf.
874	Nature Geoscience, 7(1), ngeo2007.
875	Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Salvuk, A., Yusupov, V., Kosmach, D., & Gustafsson, Ö. (2010).
876	Extensive methane venting to the atmosphere from sediments of the East Siberian Arctic
877	Shelf. Science, 327(5970), 1246-1250.
878	Shchepetkin, A. F., & McWilliams, J. C. (2005). The regional oceanic modeling system (ROMS):
879	a split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model. <i>Ocean</i>
880	modelling 9(4) 347-404
881	Smith A I Mienert I Bünz S & Greinert I (2014) Thermogenic methane injection via
882	hubble transport into the upper Arctic Ocean from the hydrate charged Vestnesa Ridge
883	Svalhard Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems 15(5) 1945-1959
884	Soreide N N Jeffries M Richter-Menge I & Overland I (2016) The Arctic Report Card
885	Rulletin of the American Meteorological Society 97(8) 1339-1341
886	Steinle L. Graves C. A. Treude, T. Ferré, B. Biastoch A. Bussmann L. Behrens E.
887	(2015). Water column methanotrophy controlled by a rapid oceanographic switch. <i>Nature</i>
888	Geoscience 8(5) 378
889	Storkey, D., Blockley, E., Furner, R., Guiavarc'h, C., Lea, D., Martin, M.,, Siddorn, J. (2010).
890	Forecasting the ocean state using NEMO: The new FOAM system. <i>Journal of operational</i>
891	oceanography, 3(1), 3-15.
892	Teigen, S. H., Nilsen, F., & Gievik, B. (2010). Barotropic instability in the West Spitsbergen
893	Current. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 115(C7).
894	Tverberg, V., & Nøst, O. (2009). Eddy overturning across a shelf edge front: Kongsfjorden, west
895	Spitsbergen. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 114(C4).
896	Veloso, M., Greinert, J., Mienert, J., & De Batist, M. (2015). A new methodology for quantifying
897	bubble flow rates in deep water using splitbeam echosounders: Examples from the Arctic
898	offshore NW Svalbard. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 13(6), 267-287.
899	von Deimling, J. S., Rehder, G., Greinert, J., McGinnnis, D., Boetius, A., & Linke, P. (2011).
900	Quantification of seep-related methane gas emissions at Tommeliten, North Sea.
901	Continental Shelf Research, 31(7-8), 867-878.
902	Wallmann, K., Riedel, M., Hong, W., Patton, H., Hubbard, A., Pape, T., Torres, M. (2018).
903	Gas hydrate dissociation off Svalbard induced by isostatic rebound rather than global
904	warming. Nature communications, 9(1), 83.
905	Wanninkhof, R., Asher, W. E., Ho, D. T., Sweeney, C., & McGillis, W. R. (2009). Advances in
906	quantifying air-sea gas exchange and environmental forcing.
907	Weiss, R., & Price, B. (1980). Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. Marine chemistry,
908	8(4), 347-359.
909	Wekerle, C., Wang, Q., von Appen, W. J., Danilov, S., Schourup-Kristensen, V., & Jung, T.
910	(2017). Eddy Resolving Simulation of the Atlantic Water Circulation in the Fram Strait
911	With Focus on the Seasonal Cycle. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122(11),

912 8385-8405.

- Westbrook, G. K., Thatcher, K. E., Rohling, E. J., Piotrowski, A. M., Pälike, H., Osborne, A. H., .
  James, R. H. (2009). Escape of methane gas from the seabed along the West
  Spitsbergen continental margin. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(15).
  Wiesenburg, D. A., & Guinasso Jr, N. L. (1979). Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon
  monoxide, and hydrogen in water and sea water. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering*
- 918 Data, 24(4), 356-360.
- 919

Journal Prevention

#### 920 Supplementary material

### 921

#### 922 **Content** 923

924 Text S1 to S2

925 Figures S1 to S3

#### 926 Introduction

927 Supporting Text S1 and Figure S1 provide details of the method of scaling up gas flow rate estimates to928 the entire study area.

- Supporting Text S2 and Figure S2 provide a detailed description of the water column methane contentcalculations in different layers.
- 931 Supporting Figure S3 shows additional information on bottom water temperature to support the main text
- 932 in Results and Discussion section 3.1.

ournalpre

#### 933 Text S1. Method for scaling up the flow rates

934 935 Because the fraction of the study area covered by the echosounder was small and slightly different 936 between the three surveys, we applied a scaling up procedure including a Kriging interpolation to facilitate 937 comparison between surveys (Figure S1). The entire area was gridded into cells of  $100 \times 100$  m, and, as a 938 result three types of cells were considered: 1) completely covered, 2) partly covered or 3) not covered by 939 the echosounder beam footprint. For each cell, we estimated methane flow rates: (a) If one or several 940 flares were detected within cell type 1, the estimated flow rate was applied for the entire cell area. (b) In 941 the absence of flares in cell type 1, the flow rate was set to zero. (c) If one or several flares were within 942 cell type 2, the sum of the flow rates within the cell was normalized by the fraction of the cell covered by 943 the beam footprint. (d) In the absence of flares in cell type 2, the flow rate was set to zero. (e) For cell type 944 3, (no data acquired) we interpolated flow rates from neighbouring cells. In order to find a smooth and 945 plausible flowrate distribution, a 3x3 low-pass filter and the Kriging interpolation method embedded in 946 ArcGIS was applied. Finally, to calculate the mean flux (mol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ) in the entire area, the sum of the 947 scaled up flow rates were normalized by the survey area (Table 1).

948

ournal Pres

#### 949 Text S2. Calculation of methane content in the water column

951 Methane content in the water column was calculated by integrating distinct methane concentration values 952 over depth. For this approach, we approximated the definite integral linearly by applying the trapezoid 953 rule. For each discrete sampling point shown on Fig. S2, we had a corresponding depth (Z, m) and CH<sub>4</sub> 954 concentration (C, nmol L<sup>-1</sup>). To determine the vertically integrated methane content (C<sub>int</sub>) for every depth 955 (meter) of water column between sampling points (nmol L<sup>-1</sup> m) we interpolated linearly as follows:

957  $C_{int} = (CS_1 + CS_2)/2*(ZS_2 - ZS_1)$  (Eq. SI1)

We then summed all  $C_{int}$  in each layer and multiplied by  $10^3$  to obtain methane content per m<sup>2</sup> in every layer (nmol m<sup>-2</sup>) for each of the CTD stations.

962 To account for spatial sampling irregularity between CTD stations, we determined the area-weighted

average of the CH<sub>4</sub> content for each layer. For this, we created a grid between longitudes  $9.5^{\circ}$  E and  $10.8^{\circ}$ 

E and latitudes  $78.4^{\circ}$  N and  $78.7^{\circ}$  N with bin sizes of  $0.01 \times 0.01^{\circ}$  in both directions. The resulting grid

965 included  $101 \times 201$  points. We then projected C<sub>int</sub> for each layer and station onto this grid using the Matlab

966 function griddata for horizontal interpolation. Finally, we calculated the area-weighted average using the 967 Matlab function mean2 of the gridded data. Then we scaled up (multiplied) the area-weighted averages for

Matlab function mean2 of the gridded data. Then we scaled up (multiplied) the area-weighted averages for each layer to the size of the investigated area ( $423 \text{ km}^2$ ), yielding the total methane content (in mol) for

- 969 each layer.
- 970

950

956

958



- 971 972 **Figure S1.** Schematic of flowrate interpolation. Squares indicate 100x100 meter grid cells where the
- 973 darkness indicates the relative summed flow rates within each cell. Yellow-hashed areas indicate the
- 974 echosounder beam coverage and dots indicate flares. Cell types 1 3 and interpolation schemes a e are
   975 described in the text S1.

N



Figure S2. Schematic shows the bottom layer (0-15 meters above seafloor), the intermediate layer (15 979 meters above seafloor to 20 m water depth) and the surface layer (20 m water depth to sea surface). The 980 blue dots show discrete sampling points in the surface (S1, S2), intermediate (I1, I2, I3) and bottom (B1, 981 B2, B3) layer.

Jonution



983 984 Figure S3. Bottom water temperature during the June-14 (a), July-15 (b) and May-16 (c) surveys. 985

, and

#### Highlights

- Gas seepage intensity and lateral water mass movements are key controls of water column methane content
- Vertical methane transport is limited irrespective of stratification
- Eddies play a key role in horizontal advection and dispersion of dissolved methane

Journal Presson

#### Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

sumalpropho