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## SUMMARY

Eighteen tracer experiments were conducted in Oslo to investigate dispersion of traffic emissions in the vicinity of the Vålerenga tunnel amid stable, wintertime conditions. Two tracer gases, sulfur hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right)$ and bromotrifluoromethane $\left(\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}\right)$, were used to characterize the emissions. One of these tracers was released at a constant rate from a fixed location in the south end of the north bound tunnel tube, while the other tracer was released from vehicles travelling with the traffic. Time-averaged air samples were collected within the tunnel and within 400 m of the tunnel portal, and in three tests, series of instantaneous grab samples were collected near the tunnel exit. Each test was fifteen minutes in duration and all samples were analyzed using gas chromatography. Data from twelve of the tests were used to test the dispersion model applied during the planning of the tunnel.

The experiments show that:

- The model predicted concentrations in the jet phase and plume phase regions which compared well with the measured concentrations. In 61.2 percent of the data, the model over-predicted the observed concentrations, and the highest ratio of the predicted to observed concentration was 2.2 . In 94.7 of the cases in which the model underpredicted, the ratio was greater than 0.5 . The average ratio of predicted to observed concentration was 1.12 .
- The tracer gas was well mixed across the tunnel outlet.
- From 60 to 150 m out from the tunnel outlet, the measured concentrations where below $10 \%$ of the initial concentration in the outlet.
- The initial jet out of the tunnel probably extended up to 40 m out from the tunnel.

As estimated from average concentrations of the stationary source gas, the flowrate of air through the tunnel ranged from 155.9 to $403.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. The highest flowrate was observed during the afternoon rush hour, while the lowest flowrate occurred during an evening test. The estimated time for air to be transported through the north bound tube ranged from 129 to 336 s.
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## A TRACER INVESTIGATION OF TRAFFIC EMISSIONS FROM THE VÅLERENGA TUNNEL AT ETTERSTAD

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Figure 1 shows the residential area surrounding the north portal of the valerenga traffic tunnel in Oslo, Norway. Two important sources of local pollutants in this area are: 1) traffic emissions from the tunnel, and 2) emissions from road traffic. Located within $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ of the tunnel exit are several multiple-story buildings, including a nursing home (four stories), two schools (4-7 stories), a grocery store, and large apartment buildings. As shown on the map, many singledwelling houses and smaller buildings are also in the vicinity.

It is difficult to predict the impact of locally-emitted pollutants in such areas where the airflow patterns are strongly affected by the presence and orientation of large buildings. Thus, in 1989 and 1990, a series of tracer experiments were conducted by the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU) to investigate the nature of traffic emissions through the northern portal of the north bound tube, in the Etterstad area. The work was done under a contract with vegdirektoratet (the Directorate of the Public Roads Administration) and Oslo Kommune (the City of Oslo Road Department). The remainder of this document contains a description of the experimental procedure used in these tests (Section 2), in addition to the results (Section 3) and conclusions (Section 4).


Figure 1: Diagrams showing a) the residential area surrounding the northern outlet of the valerenga tunnel, b) an expanded view of the area close to the tunnel portal, and c) a front view of the portal.

## 2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The length of the valerenga tunnel is approximately 840 m . North bound and south bound traffic are separated by a solid concrete divider. Three lanes of traffic flow through the tunnel in the north bound tube, while the south bound tube contains two lanes of traffic. According to a study by Larssen and Hoem (1989), the flow of traffic through the tunnel is approximately 14,100 vehicles/day and 10,900 vehicles/day, for north bound and south bound traffic, respectively. During rush hours, the hourly rates are 1,400 vehicles/hour and 1,100 vehicles/ hour, for respective north bound and south bound traffic, and the average speed of the cars traveling through the tunnel is approximately 60-70 km/hour.

Table 1 contains the meteorological and release conditions for eighteen tracer tests conducted in the valerenga area during 1989 and 1990. These experiments were performed during morning, afternoon, and evening hours, and conditions were cold and stable with light winds.

During each test, two tracer gases were used: sulfur hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right)$ and bromotrifluoromethane $\left(\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}\right)$. One of the gases, referred to as the 'stationary source', was released from a fixed point located at the south end of the north bound tunnel (approximately 10 m inside the tunnel entrance for north bound traffic), while the other gas, referred to as the 'mobile source', was released from cars traveling with the traffic.

In Tests 1A through 3B, $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ was used as the stationary tracer, and $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ was released from a single vehicle which travelled through the tunnel. During Tests 4 A through $98 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ was used as the stationary source, while $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ was released along the routes shown in Figures 2 and 3.

Table 1: Vålerenga tunnel - release conditions.

| TEST | DATE | TIME | WD | $\begin{aligned} & W S \\ & (\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q_{S} \\ (g / m i n) \end{gathered}$ | $Q_{m}(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{min})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Car ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Car}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Car}_{3}$ | Avg. |
| 1 A | 17-01-89 | 1515-1530 | SW | 3.0 | 0.8841 | 2.331 | - | - | - |
| 1 B | 17-01-89 | 1530-1545 | SW | 3.0 | 0.8841 | 2.331 | - | - | - |
| 2 A | 17-01-89 | 1720-1735 | SW | 2.7 | $0.632^{1}$ | 2.344 | - | - | - |
| 2 B | 17-01-89 | 1735-1750 | SW | 2.7 | $0.632^{1}$ | 2.344 | - | - | - |
| 3 A | 17-01-89 | 1955-2010 | SW | 2.4 | 0.8531 | 0.731 | - | - | - |
| 3 B | 17-01-89 | 2010-2025 | SW | 2.4 | $0.853^{1}$ | 0.731 | - | - | - |
| 4 A | 14-03-89 | 1440-1455 | SW | 2.0 | $0.562^{2}$ | 0.303 | 0.314 | 0.336 | 0.318 |
| 4 B | 14-03-89 | 1455-1510 | SW | 2.0 | $0.562^{2}$ | 0.303 | 0.314 | 0.336 | 0.318 |
| 5 A | 14-03-89 | 1710-1725 | SW | 3.0 | $0.506^{2}$ | 0.329 | 0.294 | 0.357 | 0.327 |
| 5 B | 14-03-89 | 1725-1740 | SW | 3.0 | $0.506^{2}$ | 0.329 | 0.294 | 0.357 | 0.327 |
| 6 A | 14-03-89 | 1850-1905 | SW | 1.5 | $0.537^{2}$ | 0.337 | 0.278 | 0.334 | 0.316 |
| 6 B | 14-03-89 | 1905-1920 | SW | 1.5 | $0.537^{2}$ | 0.337 | 0.278 | 0.334 | 0.316 |
| 7 A | 27-11-89 | 1630-1645 | NW | 4.5 | $1.387^{2}$ | 0.526 | 0.684 | 0.625 | 0.612 |
| 7 B | 27-11-89 | 1645-1700 | NW | 4.5 | $1.387^{2}$ | 0.526 | 0.684 | 0.625 | 0.612 |
| 97 A | 02-03-90 | 0940-0955 | NNW | 1.1 | $1.419^{2}$ | 0.519 | 0.682 | 0.765 | 0.655 |
| 97 B | 02-03-90 | 0955-1010 | NNW | 1.1 | $1.419^{2}$ | 0.519 | 0.682 | 0.765 | 0.655 |
| 98 A | 02-03-90 | 1150-1205 | NNW | 1.8 | $1.544^{2}$ | 0.400 | 0.692 | 0.769 | 0.620 |
| 98 B | 02-03-90 | 1205-1220 | NNW | 1.8 | $1.544^{2}$ | 0.400 | 0.692 | 0.769 | 0.620 |

where:
$W D=$ Mean wind direction
$W S=$ Mean wind speed
$Q_{S}=R e l e a s e ~ r a t e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s t a t i o n a r y ~ t r a c e r ~$
$Q_{m}=$ Release rate of the mobile tracer
$1^{\prime}=$ Stationary release gas was $S F_{6}$, and mobile release gas was $C B r F_{3}$
2 = Stationary release gas was CBrF 3 , and mobile release gas was $S F_{6}$.

During the experiments, an array of $24-40$ portable automatic samplers collected air samples in the vicinity, typically within 200 or 400 m of the tunnel outlet. The air samples were collected in $20-\mathrm{ml}$ polyethylene syringes, each with a $15-\mathrm{min}$ averaging period, and two tests were generally conducted in sequence. In addition, during Tests $1-3$, sequential grab samples were collected at two locations inside the tunnel. All samples were capped and analyzed for $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ concentrations using gas chromotagraphy. For additional information concerning sampling and analysis techniques, see Heggen and Sivertsen (1983).


Figure 2: A site map showing the Valerenga area. The dashed lines represent the underground tunnel, while the arrows indicate the route of the release vehicles during Tests 4A-7B. Also shown is the location of the stationary release (near the south portal).


Figure 3: A site map showing the Vålerenga area. The dashed lines represent the underground tunnel, while the arrows indicate the route of the release vehicles during Tests 97A-98B. Also shown is the location of the stationary release (near the south portal).

## 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 TESTS 1A-6B: TUNNEL CHARACTERISTICS

During Tests $1 A-6 B$, sulfur hexafluoride was released in the southern portion of the tunnel, 10 m from the tunnel entrance for north-bound traffic. In the north end of the tunnel, four samplers were located in the positions shown in Figure 4. The two lower samplers were located near the side walls of the tunnel (5 m from the tunnel exit, and at ground level), while the two upper samplers were approximately 4 m above the road surface in lanes 1 and 3. (Note: in Tests 4A-6B, only one sampler was used, and it was located in the lower west position).


Figure 4: Sampler locations near the outlet of the Valerenga tunnel.

The mean $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ concentrations from each of these four samplers were used to describe the ventilation conditions in terms of air flowrate, air velocity, and transport time through the tunnel, and the results in Tables 2 and 3 were calculated using the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n o r m} & =C / Q_{s}  \tag{1}\\
Q_{a i r} & =Q_{s} / C  \tag{2}\\
V_{a i r} & =Q_{a i r} / A  \tag{3}\\
T & =L / V_{a i r} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is the mean $S F_{6}$ concentration, $Q_{S}$ is the $S F_{6}$ release rate, $C_{\text {norm }}$ is the normalized concentration, $Q_{a i r}$ is the estimated flowrate of the air through the tunnel, $V_{a i r}$ is the estimated velocity of the air through the tunnel, $A$ is the crosssectional area of the tunnel portal (approximately $61 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ), $T$ is the estimated travel time, and $L$ is the length of the tunnel (approximately 840 m ). Table 2 contains the results for Tests 1A-3B, while Table 3 contains the results for Tests 4A-6B.

The magnitudes of the tracer concentrations are important because they are a measure of the ability of the tunnel system to dilute pollutants, and the distribution of the concentrations reflect the flow characteristics within the tunnel. According to the results shown in Tables 2 and 3, there were considerable variations among the twelve tests: variations which are likely caused by differences in the density and speed of the traffic going the tunnel. For example, allthough Tests 1-3 were conducted within a period of a few hours, the normalized concentrations during Test 2 and 3 were generally factors of 2 and 1.5 , respectively, greater than the normalized concentrations during Test 1. The normalization step accounts for the variation in release rates; thus, conditions in the tunnel during Test 1 were more favorable for dilution of pollutants than during Test 2 and Test 3. In fact, the estimated air flowrate for Test 1 is approximately a factor of 2 greater than the estimated air flowrate for Test 2, and approximately a factor of 1.5 greater than the flowrate for Test 3 .

Table 2: Vålerenga tunnel - tunnel conditions.

|  | TEST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $V 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | $V 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | V 2 A | $V 28$ | V 3 A | $V 3 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| $C(p p t)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lower west | 5000 | 5500 | 8700 | 9000 | - | - |
| lower east | 5000 | 5000 | 8400 | 9000 | 9280 | 8900 |
| upper east | 6000 | 6500 | 8200 | 8100 | 9152 | 7900 |
| upper west | 7400 | 14000 | 8200 | 8264 | 9168 | 9200 |
| $C_{n}\left(\mu \mathrm{gm} \mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lower west | 36.85 36.85 | 43.53 36.85 | 89.68 86.59 | 92.77 92.77 | 70.87 | - 67.97 |
| upper east | 44.22 | 47.90 | 84.53 | 83.49 | 69.90 | 60.34 |
| upper west | 54.53 | 103.17 | 84.53 | 85.19 | 70.02 | 70.26 |
| Average $C_{n}$ | 43.11 | 41.76 * | 86.33 | 88.55 | 70.26 | 66.19 |
| Standard deviation | 8.37 | 5.63 | 2.43 | 4.92 | 0.53 | 5.19 |
| $Q_{a} \operatorname{jr}\left(m^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lower west | 452.3 | 411.2 | 185.8 | 179.7 | - | - |
| lower east | 452.3 | 452.3 | 192.5 | 179.7 | 235.2 | 245.2 |
| upper east | 376.9 | 347.9 | 197.2 | 199.6 | 238.4 | 276.2 |
| upper west | 305.6 | 161.5 | 197.2 | 195.7 | 238.0 | 237.2 |
| Average Cair | 396.8 | 403.8 * | 193.2 | 188.7 | 237.2 | 252.9 |
| Standard deviation | 70.4 | 52.6 | 5.4 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 20.6 |
| Vair (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lower west | 7.4 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 | - | - |
| lower east | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| upper east | 6.2 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4. 5 |
| upper west | 5.0 | 2. 6 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Average Vair | 6.5 | 6.5 * | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| Standard deviation | 1. 1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| T (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lower west | 114 | 125 | 280 | 290 | - | - |
| lower east | 114 | 114 | 263 | 290 | 215 | 210 |
| upper east | 135 | 147 | 263 | 255 | 215 | 187 |
| upper west | 168 | 323 | 263 | 263 | 215 | 215 |
| Average T | 133 | 129 * | 267 | 275 | 215 | 204 |
| Standard deviation | 26 | 17 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 15 |

where:
$C \quad=$ Mean $S F_{6}$ concentration
$C_{n} \quad=$ Normalized concentration
$Q_{a i r}=$ Estimated air flowrate
$v_{a i r}=$ Estimated air velocity
$\mathrm{T}=$ Estimated transport time

* = These averages do not include value from sampler at location upper.

Table 3: Vålerenga tunnel - tunnel conditions.

|  | TEST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V4A | V4B | V5A | V 5 B | V6A | V6B |
| $C(p p t)$ <br> lower west | 5890 | 6399 | 6230 | 6721 | 7610 | 8642 |
| $\begin{array}{r} C_{n}(\mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { lower west } \end{array}$ | 69.64 | 75.66 | 81.81 | 88.26 | 94.16 | 106.93 |
| $Q_{a} i r\left(m^{3} / s\right)$ <br> lower west | 239.3 | 220.3 | 203.7 | 188.8 | 177.0 | 155.9 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Vair }(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}) \\ \text { lower west } \end{array}$ | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| $T(s)$ <br> lower west | 215 | 233 | 255 | 271 | 290 | 336 |

where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C & =\text { Mean SF } \\
C_{n} \text { concentration } \\
C_{n} & \text { Normalized concentration } \\
Q_{a i r} & =\text { Estimated air flowrate } \\
V_{\text {air }} & =\text { Estimated air velocity } \\
T & =\text { Estimated transport time }
\end{array}
$$

In terms of the horizontal distribution of the tracer across the tunnel, concentrations on the west side of the tunnel were either greater than or equal to concentrations on the east side of the tunnel, and the largest difference between west and east samplers was observed in Test 1 . The $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ source was located on the west side of the tunnel, thus these results probably reflect the spatial distribution of the traffic in addition to the air flowrate. For example, if the traffic is evenly distributed among the three lanes, the tracer should be evenly distributed from one side of the tunnel to the other. However, in the valerenga tunnel, it is possible for traffic to be more highly concentrated in Lanes 1 and 2 because Lane 3 is a turning lane. Thus tracer will take longer time to to be mixed and transported to the east side of the tunnel. The flowrates in Test 1 were higher than in the other tests, and thus there was less time for the tracer to become well-mixed across the tunnel.

Several factors influence the flowrate of air through the Vålerenga tunnel, including the number of vehicles traveling through the tunnel, the size of the vehicles, the speed of the vehicles, and the temporal and spatial distributions of the traffic. According to Larssen (1990), the largest number of north-bound cars travel through the tunnel during an afternoon rush hour, the period 1530-1630, and the hourly average vehicle speeds vary little about an average of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Thus, the mean air flowrate is expected to be greatest during this period, and as a direct effect of the moving vehicles, the flowrate is expected to be greatest near the ground.

Tests 1A and 1B were conducted during the rush hour, between 1515 and 1545, whereas Tests 2A, 2B, 3A, and 3B were conducted later in the evening (i.e., between 1720 and 1750 , and between 1955 and 2025). As expected, the estimated air velocity was higher during Tests 1 A and 1 B (average $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a} i r}=6.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) than during Tests 2A and 2B (average $V_{a i r}=3.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) and Tests $3 A$ and 3B (average $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{air}}=4.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ), and during Tests 1 A and 1 B , the average air velocity near the ground was $1.8-2.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ higher than the average air velocity near the roof of the tunnel. During Tests 2 and 3, however, the average velocity in the upper level was higher than the average velocity in the lower level, but the difference of $<0.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ is probably insignificant with respect to the uncertainty of the velocity estimate. The apparent 'lack of a velocity gradient' is probably a combined effect of time averaging and intermittent traffic.

During Tests 4-6, the tunnel velocity decreased gradually from $3.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in Test 4 A to $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in Test 6 B . This decrease reflects the expected trend for traffic rates to decline from a maximum in late afternoon hours to a minimum during nighttime hours.

Based upon the estimated velocities, the transport time for air flowing through the tunnel was approximately 130 s during Test 1, 270 s during Test 2, and 210 s during Test 3 . Likewise, the transport time for Tests 4A through 6B increased from 215 s
to 336 s . These results illustrate the importance of being able to correctly predict tunnel flowrate as a function of traffic conditions. Whereas the total emissions may increase as the number of cars driving through the tunnel increases, the flowrate of the tunnel air also increases and, thus, the residence time within the tunnel decreases. The following section illustrates this concept in greater detail.

### 3.2 TESTS 1B, 2B, AND 3A: INSTANTANEOUS CONDITIONS

Whereas the $15-\mathrm{min}$ average concentrations were used to estimate mean tunnel conditions, the time series of instantaneous concentrations were used to investigate the characteristics of the wake and the impact of emissions from a single car travelling in the center lane. Grab samples were collected at two locations inside the tunnel (one location on each side, and approximately 5 m from the exit). The sampling period of approximately 260 s consists of near-instantaneous samples collected at intervals of $5-10 \mathrm{~s}$. Time $t=0$ corresponds to the time when the release car drove past the sampling points. Table 4 contains the $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ concentrations collected during Tests 1-3, and the data are presented as time series in Figures 5-7. Table 5 contains concentration statistics for these data. In figures 5-7 the notation "right" and "left" is related to the direction of the traffic.

On the east side of the tunnel, concentrations peaked within 20-25 s after the release vehicle passed, and concentrations decreased to less than $1 \%$ of the peak after approximately 190 s during Test $1,260 \mathrm{~s}$ during Test 2 , and slightly more than 260 $s$ during Test 3. On the west side of the tunnel, maximum concentrations were observed between 45 and 60 s after the release vehicle passed, and concentrations decreased to less than $1 \%$ of the peak within 200 s during Test 1, and slightly longer than 260 s during Tests 2 and 3. During the three tests, the maximum concentration on the east side was a factor of approximately 2 greater than the maximum concentration on the west side, but upon reaching near-steady state conditions, the west series
from the east side and the time series from the west side appear to collapse to a single curve.

Table 4: Vålerenga tunnel - instantaneous concentrations.

| Time (s) | $\mathrm{CBRF}_{3}$ concentrations (ppt) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TEST: V18 |  | V2B |  | $\checkmark 3 \mathrm{~A}$ |  |
|  | East | West | East | West | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 2211 | 1380 | 6690 | 315 | 1971 | 1405 |
| 15 | 9500 | 3203 | 6184 | 314 | 2098 | 273 |
| 20 | 13351 | 1172 | 7915 | 335 | 4451 | 872 |
| 25 | 11336 | 2223 | 8612 | 292 | 2757 | 2225 |
| 30 | 8949 | 2966 | 6272 | 702 | 2952 | 1522 |
| 35 | 5567 | 5848 | 3813 | 1177 | 2111 | 1012 |
| 40 | 5570 | 6980 | 3708 | 2724 | 1179 | 1223 |
| 45 | 6440 | 7038 | 3640 | 4124 | 1492 | 1033 |
| 50 | 6858 | 6836 | 3462 | 4911 | 1062 | 1355 |
| 55 | 6865 | 6537 | 3534 | 5197 | 1389 | 1769 |
| 60 | 6680 | 6433 | 3658 | 4043 | 1409 | 2254 |
| 65 | 6698 | 6232 | 3634 | 4196 | 1539 | 2000 |
| 70 | 6650 | 6206 | 3621 | 3839 | 1579 | 2129 |
| 75 | 6284 | 6345 | 3885 | 3903 | 1249 | 2035 |
| 80 | 5840 | 5988 | 4025 | 3858 | 1517 | 1982 |
| 85 | - | 5756 | - | 3867 | 1481 | 1702 |
| 90 | 5552 | 5680 | 4137 | 3981 | 1447 | - |
| 100 | 4920 | 5126 | 3982 | 4198 | 1602 | 1621 |
| 110 | 3801 | 4082 | - | 4123 | 1552 | 1738 |
| 120 | 3426 | 3420 | - | 3720 | 1452 | 1715 |
| 130 | 2516 | 2672 | - | 3886 | 1688 | 1650 |
| 140 | 2039 | 2184 | 3805 | 3796 | - | 1638 |
| 150 | 1349 | 1696 | 3759 | 3694 | 1953 | 1754 |
| 160 | 979 | 1139 | 3679 | 3846 | - | 1724 |
| 170 | 659 | 718 | 3328 | 3610 | 2001 | 1926 |
| 180 | 291 | 234 | 3381 | 3417 | 1865 | 1833 |
| 190 | 0 | 90 | - | 3167 | 1788 | 1858 |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 3367 | 2780 | - | 1779 |
| 210 | 0 | 0 | 2618 | 2513 | 2045 | 1847 |
| 220 | 0 | 0 | 2249 | 2245 | 2066 | 1790 |
| 230 | 0 | 0 | 1232 | 1851 | 1989 | 1781 |
| 240 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 990 | 1770 | 1696 |
| 250 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 401 | 1457 | 1541 |
| 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 897 | 617 |



Figure 5: Concentration time series for the right and left sides of the tunnel during Test 1B.


Figure 6: Concentration time series for the right and left sides of the tunnel during Test 2B.


Figure 7: Concentration time series for the right and left sides of the tunnel during Test 3A.

Table 5: Vålerenga tunnel - instantaneous concentration statistics.

|  | V1B |  | V2 8 |  | V3A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concentration Statistic | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left |
| N | 35 | 36 | 31 | 36 | 33 | 35 |
| Cavg [ug m $\left.{ }^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}\right]$ | 10.94 | 8.57 | 9.96 | 7.57 | 15.37 | 13.84 |
| $\sigma_{c}$ | 10.56 | 7.70 | 6.05 | 4.69 | 7.02 | 5.22 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ | 38.06 | 20.06 | 24.41 | 14.73 | 40.46 | 20.22 |
| $\sigma_{c} / C_{a v g}$ | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| $C_{\text {max }} / C_{\text {avg }}$ | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| $C_{\text {avg }}(\mathrm{right}) / C_{\text {avg }}(1 \mathrm{eft})$ | 1.3 | - | 1.3 | - | 1.1 | - |
| $C_{\text {max }}(r i g h t) / C_{\text {max }}\left(1 e^{\prime} \mathrm{f}\right)$ | 1.9 | - | 1.7 | - | 2.0 | - |

```
Where:
    N = Number of grab samples for 260-sec period
    Cavg = The mean concentration, normalized by release rate,
        and based on 'N' samples
    \sigma
    Cmax = The maximum normalized concentration
    \sigma
    C max / Cavg = The ratio of the maximum to the mean
    Cavg(right)/Cavg(left) = The ratio of the mean at the right side
        of the tunnel to the mean at the left side
    C max(right)/C (max (left) = The ratio of maximum at the right side of
        the tunnel to the maximum at the left
```

The nature of these curves may be qualitatively explained in terms of the theory described earlier for horizontal mixing. The concentration is initially zero at both sides of the tunnel because the release vehicle traveled in the center lane, and thus the tracer released in the center lane must be transported to the walls before it is detected. The reason that $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ maximum is observed at the right side before the left is probably due to the fact that the tracer gas was released at the right side of the car so that the cross tunnel distance to the sampling point was shorter. Once the tracer is well-mixed across the tunnel, the concentrations maintain a steady-state level until dropping off at the end. Secondary peaks in the curves are probably caused by intermittent traffic, or during the passage of individual vehicles, whereas linear trends in the steady-state concentrations (i.e., as in Test 3A) may be
caused by non-steady airflow in the tunnel, or by a non-steady velocity of the release vehicle.

The time required for the concentration to fall from a steadystate level to zero is also a function of the rate of traffic because of re-entrainment. With a high rate of traffic and when the traffic speed is greater than the speed of the tunnel air, each vehicle induces additional turbulence and mixing as it travels through the tunnel, and thus effectively elongating the trailing edge of the tracer distribution (i.e., as in Test 1B). On the other hand, under conditions in which few vehicles drive through, the tracer is expected to be transported through the tunnel as a single 'plug' with little spreading at the trailing edge (i.e., as in Test 3A).

Rough estimates of the transport time through the tunnel from the $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ time series data are approximately 125 s in Test 1 B , 250 s in Test 2B, and 275 s in Test 3A. These values were calculated by adding 50 s (the approximate time for the release vehicle to travel through the tunnel) to the time in the time series where the steady-state concentrations begin to fall off at the end. The corresponding transport times as estimated from the mean $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ concentrations (in Table 2) were 129 s in Test 1B, 275 s in Test 2B, and 215 s in Test 3 A . Considering that the instantaneous data represents a $260-s$ sampling period, whereas the $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ data represents a $15-\mathrm{min}$ period, these results compare fairly well. It probably is not significant that the transport time from the instantaneous data was lower than the transport time from the average data in Test 2B, and higher in Test 3A. Because traffic patterns are not homogeneous throughout any period (in particular during low traffic periods), the instantaneous data may either over- or under-estimate the mean conditions.

### 3.3 TESTS 1A-98B: MEAN DISPERSION

The tables in Appendix $A$ and Appendix $B$ contain the the $15-m i n$ average concentration data (normalized by the release rate) as a function of the sampling co-ordinates for all Valerenga tracer tests. The data in Appendix A correspond to the tracer gas which was released as a stationary source, while the data in Appendix $B$ correspond to the tracer gas which was released from the moving vehicles. Figures 8-16 contain concentration isopleths during each test for the stationary source; Figures 17-22 contain concentration isopleths during each test for the mobile source; and these results are discussed as follows.

### 3.3.1 Stationary Source: TESTS 1A-98B

During Tests $1-6$, the mean winds blew from the southwest, and the average wind speed ranged $1.5-3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ during these periods. As seen in Figures 8-13, the winds transported tunnel emissions in a northeasterly direction in all twelve cases. The profile of the mean plume appears to be described by an initial jet region which extended, at most, 40 m from the tunnel portal, after which the plume dispersed (in a Gaussian fashion) in the direction of the mean wind. As expected, the highest concentrations were measured at the samplers located inside the tunnel, whereas the highest concentrations outside of the tunnel were measured on the traffic island at a sampler located $35-38 \mathrm{~m}$ in front of the tunnel mouth. The mean concentration within the tunnel was 2-4 times greater than the mean concentration on the island, and within $60-150 \mathrm{~m}$, the concentration on the centerline of the mean plume fell to approximately 10 percent of the concentration observed within the tunnel.


Figure 8: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 1A, and b) Test 1B. The units are [ug $\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 9: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 2 A , and b) Test 2B. The units are [ug $\left.\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 10: $S F_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test $3 A$, and b) Test 3B. The units are [ug $\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 11: $\operatorname{CBrF}_{3}$ isopleths for a) Test 4A, and b) Test 4B. The units are [ug $\left.\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


```
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```
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MIN.muks X : 0.270 0.770
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Figure 12: $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ isopleths for a) Test 5A, and b) Test 5B. The units are $\left[\mathrm{ug} \mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 13: $\operatorname{CBrF}_{3}$ isopleths for a) Test 6A, and b) Test 6B. The units are $\left[\mathrm{ug} \mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.

During Tests 7-98, the winds were from the north and northwest sectors, and the concentration isopleths are shown in Figures 14-16. No samples were collected inside the tunnel, but in Test 7 the impact of the jet is shown by the isoline of magnitude 10, approximately a factor of 2 or 3 less than observed in Tests 1-6. (The sampling array did not inlcude the region of the jet in Tests 97-98). The dispersion patterns under conditions of north to northwest winds are much more complicated than the dispersion patterns under conditions of southwest winds. The dispersion of the mean plume appears to be dominated by the local topography and building influences, and thus, the main feature describing the transport of the tunnel emissions is channelling along the roadways and around buildings. In particular, most of the emissions during Tests 7A, 7B, 97B, and 98A were channelled parallel to Biskop Nielssons Gate, and the concentrations were diluted very little in this region (i.e., in Test 7, the concentrations along the road had decreased from a magnitude of 4.0 to 3.5 over distances of 100 m ). During Tests 97B and 98A, emissions were also transported along Biskop Nielssons Gate, while during Test 97A, the plume bifurcated with a portion of the emissions directed to the south, southwest. In Test 98, wind conditions shifted such that the sampling array did not capture the mean plume, and the concentrations measured within 50 m of the tunnel portal were at least a factor of 2 or 3 less than the concentrations measured during Test 97.


Figure 14: $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ isopleths for a) Test 7A, and b) Test 7B. The units are $\left[u g \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 15: $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ isopleths for The units are $\left[u g \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right.$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 16: $\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}$ isopleths for a) Test 98A, and b) Test 98B. The units are [ug $\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.
3.3.2 Mobile Source: TESTS 4A-98B

In Tests 4-98, $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ was released from three moving vehicles (equidistantly spaced) as they traveled at approximately 60 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ along the paths shown in Figures 2-3. In Tests 4-7, the cars released tracer as they drove through the tunnel, whereas in Tests 97-98, the release was only along the road (northeast of the tunnel portal). The concentration isopleths are shown in Figures 17-22.

While the winds were from the southwest during Tests $4-6, \mathrm{SF}_{6}$ was transported along the road. In all cases, the highest observed concentrations (C/Q) along the road were of order 1 or 2 , and within a crosswind distance of $40-60 \mathrm{~m}$, the concentrations parallel to the road were approximately $10 \%$ of the highest concentrations.

During Test 7, the effect of the tunnel jet is apparent. The winds in this case blew from the northwest, and maximum concentrations were observed within $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$ of the tunnel, whereafter the emissions were transported and diffused along the direction of the wind, in particular along Biskop Nielssons Gate (very similar to the dispersion pattern from the stationary tracer source). The $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ released along the road was also transported and dispersed in the direction of the wind, and in general, the road emissions were diluted by a factor of 10 in approximately $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$. The isolines were nearly parallel to the direction of the road, except in the vicinity of large buildings.

During Tests 97 and $98, \mathrm{SF}_{6}$ was released only along a $250-\mathrm{m}$ section of roadway located to the northeast of the tunnel portal, and thus the concentration isopleths are not dominated by the high concentrations in the jet region. The highest concentrations were observed near the tunnel portal. These high concentrations were likely the result of the sampling points





Figure 17: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 4A, and b) Test 4B. The units are [ug $\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 18: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 5A, and b) Test 5B. The units are $\left[u g \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right.$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 19: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 6A, and b) Test 6B. The units are [ug $\left.\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 20: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 7A, and b) Test 7B. The units are [ug $\left.\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.




Figure 21: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 97A, and b) Test 97B. The units are [ug $\mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ], and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 22: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ isopleths for a) Test 98A, and b) Test 98B. The units are $\left[u g \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right]$, and the location of the tunnel portal is denoted by the symbol *.
patterns indicate drainage along Biskop Nielssons Gate during Test 97, although the isolines appear to be perpendicular to the mean wind direction within approximately 100 m of the road. In Test 98, wind direction shifted such that drainage was not observed along Biskop Nielssons Gate, but the sampling array was not large enough to capture a significant cross section of the emissions from the line source.

### 3.4 MODEL EVALUATION FOR TUNNEL EMISSIONS

The data from the valerenga tracer experiments were also used to test the dispersion model by Iversen (1982). This model computes the dispersion of traffic emissions in the vicinity of road tunnels. The dispersion process is separated into two phases: 1) the jet phase which is modeled according to the method of Ukeguchi et al. (1977), and 2) the plume phase which is modeled using the standard Gaussian formulas. Input to the model include the tunnel air speed, the mean wind speed, the cross-sectional area of the tunnel, the height of the tunnel, and the concentration of the pollutant (or tracer gas in this case) in the tunnel opening.

The model predicts the concentration for the centerline of the plume as a function of downwind distance (where $\mathrm{X}=0$ corresponds to the outlet of the tunnel). The output from the model for the Vålerenga experiments are given in Appendix $C$. The distance to the end of the jet ranged from 11 m in Test 6 B to 41 m in Test 1A.

In Figures 23-28, the concentrations observed along the centerline of the mean tracer plume at Vålerenga are shown in addition to the model predictions, and the results are given in Tables 6 and 7. (Only data from Tests $1 A-6 B$ were used to test the model because the concentration in the tunnel opening was
not available for Tests 7A-98B). Although some scatter is apparent in the figures, the model performance is very good in terms of predicting the observed concentrations. As seen in the figures, both the jet phase and the plume phase are represented well. In 61.2 percent of the data, the model over-predicted the observed concentrations. The highest ratio of predicted to observed concentration was 2.2 , and in 73.3 percent of the over-predicted cases, the ratio was less than 1.5. For the 38.8 percent of the data in which the model under-predicted the observed concentrations, the lowest ratio of predicted to observed concentration was 0.38 , while in 94.7 percent of the under-predicted cases, the ratio was greater than 0.5. The overall average ratio was 1.12 .

Several factors may contribute to the differences between the model predictions and the observed tracer data. First, the model assumes steady state conditions, and because the data are collected during a fixed, 15-minute period, it is possibile for traffic conditions and/or meteorological conditions to change during the sampling interval. Second, the model predicts concentrations on the centerline of the plume as a function of downwind distance, but the resolution of the centerline of the real plume is a function of the spacing and orientation of the sampling array. Furthermore, tracer emission and analysis errors limit the accuracy of the observed normalized concentrations, but if the emission and analysis errors are within $10 \%$, the ratio of the observed normalized concentration to the actual normalized concentration should range bewteen 0.82 and 1.22.


Figure 23: Concentration as a function of distance from the tunnel for a) Test 1A, and b) Test 1B. The curve represents the model of Iversen (1982), while the observed tracer data are denoted by the symbol *.
a)

b)


Figure 24: Concentration as a function of distance from the tunnel for a) Test 2A, and b) Test 2B. The curve represents the model of Iversen (1982), while the observed tracer data are denoted by the symbol *.

b)


Figure 25: Concentration as a function of distance from the tunnel for a) Test 3A, and b) Test 3B. The curve represents the model of Iversen (1982), while the observed tracer data are denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 26: Concentration as a function of distance from the tunnel for a) Test 4 A , and b) Test 4 B . The curve represents the model of Iversen (1982), while the observed tracer data are denoted by the symbol *.

b)


Figure 27: Concentration as a function of distance from the tunnel for a) Test 5A, and b) Test 5B. The curve represents the model of Iversen (1982), while the observed tracer data are denoted by the symbol *.


Figure 28: Concentration as a function of distance from the tunnel for a) Test 6A, and b) Test 6B. The curve represents the model of Iversen (1982), while the observed tracer data are denoted by the symbol *.

Table 6: Observed and model results.

| Test | $C_{\text {obs }}$ | X | $C_{\text {pre }}$ | X | Ratio | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1A | $\begin{array}{r} 18.06 \\ 5.05 \\ 1.61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 78 \\ 123 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.56 \\ 6.92 \\ 3.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 80 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & 1.37 \\ & 2.05 \end{aligned}$ | 1.50 (0.50) |
| V1B | $\begin{array}{r} 17.32 \\ 6.20 \\ 5.21 \\ 2.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 68 \\ 98 \\ 123 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.52 \\ 9.41 \\ 5.28 \\ 3.63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24 \\ & 1.52 \\ & 1.01 \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | 1.26 (0.21) |
| V2A | $\begin{array}{r} 23.71 \\ 9.76 \\ 8.00 \\ 4.15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 68 \\ 98 \\ 124 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.35 \\ 10.41 \\ 6.01 \\ 4.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24 \\ & 1.07 \\ & 0.75 \\ & 1.01 \end{aligned}$ | $1.02(0.20)$ |
| V2B | $\begin{array}{r} 24.74 \\ 15.60 \\ 9.16 \\ 8.33 \\ 5.00 \\ 3.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 68 \\ 98 \\ 109 \\ 140 \\ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.97 \\ 10.30 \\ 5.96 \\ 5.12 \\ 3.44 \\ 2.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 110 \\ 140 \\ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & 0.66 \\ & 0.65 \\ & 0.61 \\ & 0.69 \\ & 0.72 \end{aligned}$ | 0.70 (0.10) |
| V3A | $\begin{array}{r} 18.33 \\ 6.86 \\ 5.67 \\ 4.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 63 \\ 98 \\ 114 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.22 \\ 12.84 \\ 6.57 \\ 5.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 65 \\ 100 \\ 115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \\ & 1.87 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 1.07 \end{aligned}$ | 1.37 (0.36) |
| V3 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 15.80 \\ 10.31 \\ 5.96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 63 \\ 114 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.02 \\ 13.14 \\ 5.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 65 \\ 115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.55 \\ & 1.27 \\ & 0.89 \end{aligned}$ | 1.24 (0.33) |

```
where:
    Cobs = Normalized concentration observed on the center-
    line of the mean plume
    Cpre = Normalized concentration predicted by the model
    X = Downwind distance from tunnel exit
    Ratio = Ratio of Cpre to Cobs
    Avg. = Average (and standard deviation) of the Ratios.
```

Table 7: Observed and model results.

| Test | Cobs | x | $C_{\text {pre }}$ | X | Ratio | $A \vee g$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V4A | $\begin{array}{r} 39.45 \\ 11.44 \\ 1.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 63 \\ 219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.86 \\ 14.26 \\ 1.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 64 \\ 220 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76 \\ & 1.25 \\ & 1.02 \end{aligned}$ | 1.01 (0.25) |
| V48 | $\begin{array}{r} 34.22 \\ 14.86 \\ 3.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 63 \\ 219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.27 \\ 13.73 \\ 2.06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 64 \\ 210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.83 \\ & 0.92 \\ & 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | 0.78 (0.18) |
| V5A | $\begin{array}{r} 30.41 \\ 6.33 \\ 1.72 \\ 1.67 \\ 0.96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 78 \\ 160 \\ 212 \\ 327 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.60 \\ 7.93 \\ 2.42 \\ 1.50 \\ 0.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 78 \\ 160 \\ 210 \\ 330 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.78 \\ & 1.25 \\ & 1.41 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 0.69 \end{aligned}$ | 1.01 (0.31) |
| $\checkmark 5$ B | $\begin{array}{r} 20.59 \\ 12.69 \\ 2.38 \\ 2.23 \\ 1.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 63 \\ 160 \\ 212 \\ 327 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.60 \\ 10.71 \\ 2.42 \\ 1.50 \\ 0.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 64 \\ 160 \\ 219 \\ 330 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.15 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 1.02 \\ & 0.67 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | 0.81 (0.30) |
| V6A | $\begin{array}{r} 28.05 \\ 10.78 \\ 7.09 \\ 1.74 \\ 1.66 \\ 0.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 63 \\ 78 \\ 160 \\ 212 \\ 327 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.35 \\ 14.60 \\ 11.23 \\ 3.82 \\ 2.43 \\ 1.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 64 \\ 178 \\ 160 \\ 210 \\ 330 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & 1.35 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 2.20 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 1.13 \end{aligned}$ | 1.46 (0.42) |
| V6B | $\begin{array}{r} 28.95 \\ 7.14 \\ 0.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 63 \\ 332 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.93 \\ 13.55 \\ 1.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 64 \\ 330 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & 1.90 \\ & 1.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.59(0.60) \\ & \frac{\text { overallavg. }}{1.12(0.41)} \end{aligned}$ |

```
where:
Cobs = Normalized concentration observed on the center-
    line of the mean plume
    Cpre = Normalized concentration predicted by the model
    X = Downwind distance from tunnel exit
Ratio = Ratio of Cpre to Cobs
Avg. = Average (and standard deviation) of the Ratios.
```


## 4 CONCLUSIONS

Eighteen tracer tests were recently conducted in oslo to investigate dispersion of traffic emissions in the vicinity of the Vålerenga tunnel. A dual tracer system was used in which two
tracer gases, sulfur hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right)$ and bromotrifluoromethane $\left(\mathrm{CBrF}_{3}\right)$, were used to simulate traffic emissions. One of the tracers was released (at a constant rate) from a fixed location in the south end of the north bound tunnel tube, while the other tracer gas was released from vehicles travelling with the traffic. The objectives of using a stationary tracer source were: 1) to provide information about the airflow through the tunnel, and 2) to characterize the dispersion of tunnel gases in the residential area. The objectives of using a mobile tracer source were: 1) to investigate the spatial and temporal characteristics of emissions from a single car traveling with traffic, and 2) to characterize dispersion of gases released along the roadway. Time-averaged air samples were collected within the tunnel tube and within 400 m of the tunnel portal using an array of automatic, portable syringe samplers. In addition, during three tests, series of instantaneous grab samples were collected at two locations near the tunnel exit. Each test was 15 minutes in duration, and all samples were analyzed using gas chromatography.

Tests were conducted under stable, wintertime conditions during morning, afternoon, and evening hours. As estimated from the $15-m i n$ average concentrations of the stationary source gas, the average air flowrate (through the tunnel) ranged from 155.9 to $403.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. The highest flowrate was observed during the afternoon rush hour, while the lowest flowrate occurred during an evening test. The time for the air to be transported through the north bound tunnel was between 129 and 336 s , and mean concentrations on the right side of the tunnel were always greater than or equal to the mean concentrations on the left side of the tunnel.

The tracer data from the instantaneous samples also revealed higher concentrations (of the mobile source gas) on the right side of the tunnel than on the left side. On the right side, concentrations peaked within 20-25 s after the release vehicle passed, whereas on the left, concentrations peaked within 40-60 s. The magnitude of the maximum observed concentration was
1.7-2.0 times greater at the sampling point located on the right side of the tunnel than at the sampling point located on the left. However, after the concentration peaked at the left sampling location, the concentration time series from the two locations appeared to collapse to a single curve. As estimated from the time series data, the time for air to be transported through the north bound tunnel was 125 s during Test 1B, 250 s during Test 2B, and 275 s during Test 3A.

Under conditions of southwesterly winds, the mean plume of tunnel emissions consisted of two dispersion regimes: 1) an initial jet region in which high concentrations were measured within $35-38 \mathrm{~m}$ of the tunnel exit; and 2) a plume phase in which concentrations decay according to Gaussian theory. The mean concentration within the tunnel was 2-4 times greater than the mean concentration on a traffic island located $<50 \mathrm{~m}$ from the tunnel exit, and within 60-150 m , the concentration on the centerline of the mean plume fell to approximately $10 \%$ of the concentration observed within the tunnel. Amid northerly and northwesterly winds, jet and dispersion regimes were apparent, but the impact of the jet was a factor of 2 or 3 less than observed amid southwesterly winds, and the dispersion of the mean plume was dominated by channelling flows along Biskop Nielssons Gate.

Tracer emitted from moving vehicles was transported along the road during periods with southwesterly winds, and within a crosswind distance of $40-60 \mathrm{~m}$, the concentrations fell to approximately 10 \% the concentrations along the roadway. While the winds blew from the northwest, and during the tests when the release vehicles drove through the tunnel, the concentration patterns of the jet and dispersion regimes were similar to the concentration patterns from the stationary source. In general, tracer emitted along the roadway was transported and diffused along the direction of the mean winds, but in the case of light, northerly winds, a fraction of the mass was entrained by south bound traffic, or by fluctuating winds, into the portal area.

The tracer data from the vålerenga experiments were used to test the dispersion model of Iversen (1982). The model predicted jet phase and plume phase concentrations which compared well to the observed data. The highest and lowest ratio of the predicted to observed concentration was 2.2 and 0.38 , respectively, while the average ratio was 1.12.
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## APPENDIX A

Tracer data for stationary source

| STED | $:$ | SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V1A |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-01-17$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1515-1530$ |  |
| ANT. OBS. | $:$ | 25 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | : | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |


| STED | $:$ | SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. | : V1B |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-01-17$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1530-1545$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 25 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.700 | 3.200 | . 16 |
| 3.750 | 3.600 | 1.56 |
| 3.700 | 3.800 | 3.23 |
| 3.600 | 4.050 | 4.75 |
| 3.550 | 4.200 | 5.05 |
| 3.550 | 4.450 | 3.58 |
| 3.600 | 4.550 | 2.35 |
| 3.250 | 4.250 | 3.99 |
| 3.200 | 3.950 | 18.06 |
| 3.150 | 3.600 | 36.85 |
| 3.000 | 3.600 | 36.85 |
| 4.200 | 2.300 | . 14 |
| 4.550 | 3.450 | . 15 |
| 4.500 | 3.750 | . 71 |
| 4.300 | 3.900 | 1.81 |
| 4.200 | 4.200 | 1.53 |
| 4.100 | 4.500 | 1.34 |
| 3.900 | 4.500 | 1.61 |
| 3.850 | 4.650 | 1.11 |
| 3.900 | 4.900 | . 77 |
| 2.600 | 4.350 | . 07 |
| 3.100 | 4.650 | . 09 |
| 3.400 | 4.950 | . 29 |
| 3.950 | 5.300 | . 85 |
| 2.900 | 4.350 | . 02 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.700 | 3.200 | . 03 |
| 3.750 | 3.600 | . 77 |
| 3.700 | 3.800 | 2.02 |
| 3.600 | 4.050 | 4.04 |
| 3.550 | 4.200 | 5.70 |
| 3.550 | 4.450 | 5.21 |
| 3.600 | 4.550 | 4.19 |
| 3.250 | 4.250 | 6.20 |
| 3.200 | 3.950 | 17.32 |
| 3.150 | 3.600 | 40.53 |
| 3.000 | 3.600 | 36.85 |
| 4.200 | 2.300 | . 04 |
| 4.550 | 3.450 | . 03 |
| 4.500 | 3.750 | . 27 |
| 4.300 | 3.900 | 1.82 |
| 4.200 | 4.200 | 2.00 |
| 4.100 | 4.500 | 2.59 |
| 3.900 | 4.500 | 2.90 |
| 3.850 | 4.650 | 2.54 |
| 3.900 | 4.900 | . 69 |
| 2.600 | 4.350 | . 05 |
| 3.100 | 4.650 | . 23 |
| 3.400 | 4.950 | . 33 |
| 3.950 | 5.300 | . 62 |
| 2.900 | 4.350 | . 05 |


| STED | $:$ SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V2A |  |
| DATO | $: 89-01-17$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1720-1735$ |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 27 |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | 2.000 |
| MIN,MAKS Y | $:$ | 2.000 |


| STED | $:$ SF6 VAALERENGA |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V2B |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-01-17$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1735-1750$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 27 |  |
| MIN,MAKS X | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN,MAKS Y | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.700 | 3.200 | .09 |
| 3.750 | 3.600 | 1.39 |
| 3.700 | 3.800 | 2.46 |
| 3.600 | 4.050 | 5.04 |
| 3.550 | 4.200 | 7.57 |
| 3.550 | 4.450 | 8.00 |
| 3.600 | 4.550 | 6.11 |
| 3.250 | 4.250 | 9.76 |
| 3.200 | 3.950 | 23.71 |
| 3.150 | 3.600 | 86.59 |
| 3.000 | 3.600 | 89.68 |
| 3.000 | 3.900 | 17.52 |
| 2.800 | 3.900 | 1.89 |
| 2.950 | 4.300 | 1.23 |
| 4.200 | 2.300 | .09 |
| 4.550 | 3.450 | .13 |
| 4.500 | 3.750 | .65 |
| 4.300 | 3.900 | 2.59 |
| 4.250 | 4.300 | 2.65 |
| 3.850 | 4.550 | 4.15 |
| 3.850 | 4.750 | 3.65 |
| 3.900 | 4.900 | 2.96 |
| 2.600 | 4.350 | .07 |
| 3.100 | 4.650 | .87 |
| 3.400 | 4.950 | .53 |
| 3.950 | 5.300 | 1.19 |
| 2.900 | 4.350 | .48 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |


| STED | $:$ SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V3A |  |
| DATO | $: 89-01-17$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $: 1955-2010$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 25 |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | 2.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | 2.000 |
|  | 6.000 |  |


| KOORDINATER | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: |
| X | Y |
|  | $\underline{U G / M^{3}}$ |

G/MIN

| 3.750 | 3.250 | .02 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3.800 | 3.600 | .10 |
| 3.700 | 3.800 | .30 |
| 3.600 | 4.050 | 1.87 |
| 3.550 | 4.250 | 4.99 |
| 3.550 | 4.450 | 5.67 |
| 3.600 | 4.600 | 4.90 |
| 3.250 | 4.200 | 6.86 |
| 3.200 | 3.950 | 18.33 |
| 3.150 | 3.600 | 8.25 |
| 3.000 | 3.600 | 70.87 |
| 3.000 | 3.900 | 8.12 |
| 2.850 | 3.900 | .79 |
| 4.200 | 2.450 | .04 |
| 4.600 | 3.400 | .02 |
| 4.600 | 3.800 | .04 |
| 4.350 | 4.000 | .47 |
| 4.200 | 4.200 | 1.37 |
| 3.900 | 4.600 | 2.93 |
| 3.950 | 4.750 | 2.96 |
| 2.600 | 4.350 | .03 |
| 3.100 | 4.650 | .04 |
| 3.400 | 4.950 | .11 |
| 3.950 | 4.300 | .69 |
| 2.900 | 4.350 | .04 |


| STED | $:$ SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V3B |  |
| DATO | $: 89-01-17$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $: 2010-2025$ |  |
| ANT. OBS. | $:$ | 25 |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | 2.000 |
| MIN, MAKS $Y$ | $:$ | 2.000 |
|  |  |  |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.750 | 3.250 | . 05 |
| 3.800 | 3.600 | . 04 |
| 3.700 | 3.800 | . 09 |
| 3.600 | 4.050 | . 57 |
| 3.550 | 4.250 | 3.36 |
| 3.550 | 4.450 | 6.29 |
| 3.600 | 4.600 | 5.96 |
| 3.250 | 4.200 | 10.31 |
| 3.200 | 3.950 | 16.80 |
| 3.150 | 3.600 | 8.21 |
| 3.000 | 3.600 | 67.97 |
| 3.000 | 3.900 | 16.80 |
| 2.850 | 3.900 | . 89 |
| 4.200 | 2.450 | . 14 |
| 4.600 | 3.400 | . 05 |
| 4.600 | 3.800 | . 05 |
| 4.350 | 4.000 | . 21 |
| 4.200 | 4.200 | 1.01 |
| 3.900 | 4.600 | 2.93 |
| 3.950 | 4.750 | 3.26 |
| 2.600 | 4.350 | . 02 |
| 3.100 | 4.650 | . 03 |
| 3.400 | 4.950 | . 22 |
| 3.950 | 4.300 | 1.18 |
| 2.900 | 4.350 | . 08 |


| STED |  | CBRF3 VAALERENGA |  |  | STED |  | : | CBRF3 VAALERENGA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. |  | : | V4A |  | TEST NR. |  | : | V4B |  |
| DATO |  | : | 89-03-14 |  | DATO |  | : | 89-03-14 |  |
| TIDSPKT. |  | : | 1440-1455 |  | TIDSPKT. |  | : | 1455-1510 |  |
| ANT. OBS. |  | : | 24 |  | ANT. OBS. |  | : | 24 |  |
| MIN, MAKS | X | : | . 270 | . 770 | MIN, MAKS | X |  | . 270 | . 770 |
| MIN, MAKS | Y | : | . 200 | . 700 | MIN, MAKS | Y | : | . 200 | . 700 |


| KOORDINATER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CBRF} 3 / Q \\ & \underline{\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M}^{3}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| . 310 | . 360 | 69.64 |
| . 315 | . 395 | 15.72 |
| . 300 | . 395 | 39.45 |
| . 325 | . 420 | 11.44 |
| . 320 | . 470 | . 89 |
| . 330 | . 530 | . 40 |
| . 445 | . 575 | 1.75 |
| . 445 | . 635 | . 76 |
| . 405 | . 270 | . 00 |
| . 370 | . 365 | . 00 |
| . 365 | . 395 | . 70 |
| . 350 | . 435 | 3.71 |
| . 405 | . 485 | 1.40 |
| . 450 | . 525 | 1.88 |
| . 455 | . 510 | 1.24 |
| . 440 | . 395 | . 00 |
| . 500 | . 495 | . 20 |
| . 560 | . 565 | . 39 |
| . 620 | . 630 | . 48 |
| . 620 | . 465 | . 00 |
| . 640 | . 510 | . 00 |
| . 665 | . 580 | . 84 |
| . 580 | . 340 | . 00 |
| . 735 | . 495 | . 00 |


| KOORDINATER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CBRF} 3 / Q \\ & \underline{\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M}} \underline{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| . 310 | . 360 | 75.66 |
| . 315 | . 395 | 20.57 |
| . 300 | . 395 | 34.22 |
| . 325 | . 420 | 14.86 |
| . 320 | . 470 | . 25 |
| . 330 | . 530 | . 00 |
| . 445 | . 575 | 2.31 |
| . 445 | . 635 | . 00 |
| . 405 | . 270 | . 00 |
| . 370 | . 365 | . 00 |
| . 365 | . 395 | . 57 |
| . 350 | . 435 | 5.82 |
| . 405 | . 485 | 3.10 |
| . 450 | . 525 | 3.58 |
| . 455 | . 510 | 2.26 |
| . 440 | . 395 | . 64 |
| . 500 | . 495 | . 00 |
| . 560 | . 565 | 1.38 |
| . 620 | . 630 | 1.14 |
| . 620 | . 465 | . 00 |
| . 640 | . 510 | 1.28 |
| . 665 | . 580 | . 00 |
| . 580 | . 340 | . 00 |
| . 735 | . 495 | . 00 |


| STED | $:$ CBRF3 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V5A |  |
| DATO | $: 89-03-14$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1710-1725$ |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | .270 |
| MIN,MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 |


| STED | $:$ | CBRF3 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V5B |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-03-14$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1725-1740$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | .270 | .770 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 | .700 |


| KOORDINATER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CBRF} 3 / Q \\ & \underline{\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M} \underline{3}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| . 310 | . 360 | 81.81 |
| . 315 | . 395 | 30.41 |
| . 300 | . 395 | 16.13 |
| . 280 | . 395 | . 00 |
| . 325 | . 420 | 8.55 |
| . 295 | . 435 | . 00 |
| . 445 | . 575 | . 28 |
| . 445 | . 635 | . 00 |
| . 405 | . 270 | . 00 |
| . 370 | . 365 | . 00 |
| . 360 | . 395 | 4.36 |
| . 340 | . 430 | 6.33 |
| . 405 | . 485 | 1.72 |
| . 450 | . 525 | . 77 |
| . 455 | . 510 | 1.67 |
| . 440 | . 395 | . 00 |
| . 500 | . 495 | . 54 |
| . 560 | . 565 | . 96 |
| . 620 | . 630 | . 00 |
| . 620 | . 465 | . 00 |
| . 640 | . 510 | . 00 |
| . 665 | . 580 | . 00 |
| . 580 | . 340 | . 00 |
| . 735 | . 495 | . 00 |


| STED | $:$ CBRF3 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V6A |  |
| DATO | $: 89-03-14$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1850-1905$ |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |
| MIN, MAKS X | : | .270 |
| MIN,MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 |


| STED | $:$ | CBRF3 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. | : V6B |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-03-14$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1905-1920$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 25 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | .270 | .770 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 | .700 |


| KOORDINATER |  | CBRF3/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | $\begin{array}{c}\text { UG/M }{ }^{3}\end{array}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |$]$| .310 | .360 | 94.16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .315 | .395 | 28.05 |
| .300 | .395 | 17.03 |
| .280 | .395 | .28 |
| .325 | .420 | 10.78 |
| .295 | .435 | .00 |
| .320 | .470 | .00 |
| .445 | .575 | .00 |
| .445 | .635 | .00 |
| .405 | .270 | .00 |
| .370 | .365 | .00 |
| .360 | .395 | 3.80 |
| .340 | .430 | 7.09 |
| .405 | .485 | 1.74 |
| .450 | .525 | .45 |
| .455 | .510 | 1.66 |
| .440 | .395 | .00 |
| .500 | .495 | .00 |
| .560 | .565 | .98 |
| .620 | .630 | .00 |
| .620 | .465 | .00 |
| .640 | .510 | .24 |
| .580 | .340 | .00 |
| .735 | .495 | .00 |


| KOORDINATER |  | CBRF3/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | UG/M <br> G/MIN |
| .310 | .360 | 106.93 |
| .315 | .395 | 28.95 |
| .300 | .395 | 17.80 |
| .280 | .395 | .00 |
| .325 | .420 | 7.14 |
| .295 | .435 | .00 |
| .320 | .470 | .00 |
| .330 | .530 | .00 |
| .445 | .575 | .00 |
| .445 | .635 | .00 |
| .405 | .270 | .00 |
| .370 | .365 | .21 |
| .360 | .395 | 6.24 |
| .340 | .430 | 4.80 |
| .405 | .485 | .83 |
| .450 | .525 | .00 |
| .455 | .510 | .00 |
| .440 | .395 | .30 |
| .500 | .495 | .43 |
| .560 | .565 | .00 |
| .620 | .630 | .00 |
| .620 | .465 | .54 |
| .640 | .510 | .19 |
| .580 | .340 | .00 |
| .735 | .495 | .00 |


| STED | $:$ CBR VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V7A |  |
| DATO | $: 89-11-27$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $: 1630-1645$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 40 |
| MIN, MAKS X $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | : | 2.000 |


| KOORDINATER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cbrf} 3 / Q \\ & {\underline{U G} / \mathrm{M}^{3}}^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.820 | 2.780 | . 87 |
| 4.420 | 3.010 | 2.33 |
| 4.690 | 3.020 | 1.40 |
| 5.060 | 3.080 | 1.80 |
| 5.450 | 3.260 | . 00 |
| 5.710 | 3.420 | . 00 |
| 5.180 | 4.810 | 1.07 |
| 5.190 | 4.490 | . 00 |
| 4.790 | 3.920 | . 00 |
| 4.910 | 4.310 | . 00 |
| 4.470 | 4.080 | . 96 |
| 4.330 | 3.630 | 1.35 |
| 3.930 | 3.400 | 1.57 |
| 3.790 | 3.610 | . 00 |
| 3.500 | 3.490 | 2.93 |
| 3.810 | 3.210 | . 52 |
| 3.900 | 3.020 | . 00 |
| 2.840 | 3.060 | . 68 |
| 2.980 | 3.200 | 2.08 |
| 3.160 | 2.760 | 1.68 |
| 3.520 | 2.640 | 3.27 |
| 3.200 | 2.300 | 3.37 |
| 3.320 | 3.440 | 3.02 |
| 3.400 | 4.240 | . 00 |
| 3.240 | 4.260 | . 98 |
| 3.220 | 4.160 | . 95 |
| 3.380 | 4.060 | 1.17 |
| 3.160 | 3.940 | 9.75 |
| 3.320 | 3.860 | 2.99 |
| 3.360 | 3.620 | 1.40 |
| 3.220 | 3.540 | 5.33 |
| 3.060 | 3.600 | 12.74 |
| 2.960 | 3.600 | 10.00 |
| 2.820 | 3.720 | 3.02 |
| 2.780 | 3.500 | 2.50 |
| 2.880 | 3.440 | 4.83 |
| 3.000 | 3.400 | 3.92 |
| 3.140 | 3.360 | 2.62 |
| 3.220 | 3.320 | 4.63 |
| 3.380 | 3.280 | 1.91 |


| STED | $:$ CBR VAALERENGA |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| TEST NR. | : V7B |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-11-27$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1645-1700$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 39 |  |
| MIN,MAKS X | : | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | 2.000 | 6.000 |  |


| KOORDINATER |  | $\operatorname{cbrf} 3 / Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.820 | 2.780 | 1.11 |
| 4.690 | 3.020 | . 93 |
| 5.060 | 3.080 | . 00 |
| 5.450 | 3.260 | . 00 |
| 5.710 | 3.420 | . 00 |
| 5.180 | 4.810 | . 00 |
| 5.190 | 4.490 | . 00 |
| 4.790 | 3.920 | . 96 |
| 4.910 | 4.310 | . 00 |
| 4.470 | 4.080 | . 00 |
| 4.330 | 3.630 | . 00 |
| 3.930 | 3.400 | . 00 |
| 3.790 | 3.610 | . 00 |
| 3.500 | 3.490 | 1.30 |
| 3.810 | 3.210 | . 00 |
| 3.900 | 3.020 | . 86 |
| 2.840 | 3.060 | 1.73 |
| 2.980 | 3.200 | 1.19 |
| 3.160 | 2.760 | 2.08 |
| 3.520 | 2.640 | 1.22 |
| 3.200 | 2.300 | 3.52 |
| 3.320 | 3.440 | 4.68 |
| 3.400 | 4.240 | . 47 |
| 3.240 | 4.260 | 1.96 |
| 3.220 | 4.160 | 3.79 |
| 3.380 | 4.060 | 3.07 |
| 3.160 | 3.940 | 11.10 |
| 3.320 | 3.860 | 4.00 |
| 3.360 | 3.620 | 3.76 |
| 3.220 | 3.540 | 4.57 |
| 3.060 | 3.600 | 14.02 |
| 2.960 | 3.600 | 10.95 |
| 2.820 | 3.720 | . 00 |
| 2.780 | 3.500 | 1.07 |
| 2.880 | 3.440 | 5.90 |
| 3.000 | 3.400 | 4.20 |
| 3.140 | 3.360 | 3.59 |
| 3.220 | 3.320 | 5.30 |
| 3.380 | 3.280 | 1.61 |


| STED |  | - CBR VAALERENGA |  |  | STED |  | : CBR VAALERENGA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. |  | : 97A |  |  | TEST NR. |  | : 97B |  |  |  |
| DATO |  | : | 90-03-02 |  | DATO |  | : | 90-03-02 |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. |  | : | 0940-0955 |  | TIDSPKT. |  |  | 0955-1010 |  |  |
| ANT. OBS. |  | : | 29 |  | ANT. OBS. |  | : | 29 |  |  |
| MIN, MAKS | X | : | . 000 | 6.000 | MIN, MAKS | X |  |  | . 000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS | Y | : | . 000 | 6.000 | MIN, MAKS | Y | : |  | . 000 | 6.000 |


| KOORDINATER |  | Cbrf3/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | $\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{MIN}$ |
| 2.267 | 4.200 | .00 |
| 2.400 | 4.033 | .00 |
| 2.450 | 3.883 | .00 |
| 2.550 | 3.700 | 3.01 |
| 2.773 | 3.567 | 1.50 |
| 2.900 | 3.433 | 2.83 |
| 3.067 | 3.400 | 4.29 |
| 3.200 | 3.367 | 1.85 |
| 3.733 | 3.367 | .00 |
| 4.300 | 3.867 | .00 |
| 5.100 | 4.133 | .00 |
| 5.380 | 2.400 | .00 |
| 4.650 | 2.250 | .00 |
| 4.050 | 2.300 | .00 |
| 3.500 | 2.350 | 2.29 |
| 3.300 | 2.300 | .75 |
| 3.050 | 2.250 | .61 |
| 2.600 | 2.250 | 2.81 |
| 2.400 | 2.400 | .00 |
| 2.200 | 2.900 | .00 |
| 1.850 | 3.500 | .00 |
| 1.350 | 3.600 | .00 |
| .950 | 3.000 | .00 |
| 1.650 | 1.750 | .00 |
| 2.200 | 1.250 | .00 |
| 2.650 | .300 | .00 |
| 3.750 | .350 | .00 |
| 4.500 | .450 | .00 |
| 5.500 | .600 | .00 |


| KOORDINATER |  | Cbrf3/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | $\underline{\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M} 3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |



| KOORDINATER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cbrf} 3 / Q \\ & \underline{U G / M^{3}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 2.267 | 4.200 | . 00 |
| 2.450 | 3.883 | . 00 |
| 2.733 | 3.567 | . 00 |
| 2.900 | 3.433 | . 24 |
| 3.067 | 3.400 | . 49 |
| 3.200 | 3.367 | . 00 |
| 3.500 | 3.467 | 1.81 |
| 3.733 | 3.367 | . 18 |
| 4.300 | 3.867 | . 00 |
| 4.638 | 3.733 | . 00 |
| 5.100 | 4.133 | . 00 |
| 5.133 | 4.667 | . 00 |
| 5.380 | 2.400 | . 00 |
| 4.650 | 2.250 | . 00 |
| 4.050 | 2.300 | . 71 |
| 3.500 | 2.350 | . 00 |
| 3.300 | 2.300 | . 00 |
| 3.050 | 2.250 | . 00 |
| 2.600 | 2.250 | . 00 |
| 2.400 | 2.400 | . 00 |
| 2.200 | 2.900 | . 00 |
| 1.850 | 3.500 | . 00 |
| 1.350 | 3.600 | . 00 |
| . 950 | 3.000 | . 00 |
| 1.650 | 1.750 | . 00 |
| 2.200 | 1.250 | . 00 |
| 2.650 | . 300 | . 00 |
| 2.800 | 1.400 | . 00 |
| 4.500 | . 450 | . 20 |
| 5.500 | . 600 | . 00 |


| KOORDINATER |  | Cbrf3/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | $\underline{\text { UG/M }}{ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 2.267 | 4.200 | .00 |
| 2.450 | 3.883 | .00 |
| 2.773 | 3.567 | .54 |
| 2.900 | 3.433 | 1.02 |
| 3.067 | 3.400 | 2.06 |
| 3.200 | 3.367 | .00 |
| 3.500 | 3.467 | .90 |
| 3.733 | 3.367 | .00 |
| 4.300 | 3.867 | .00 |
| 5.100 | 4.133 | .00 |
| 5.133 | 4.667 | .00 |
| 5.380 | 2.400 | .00 |
| 4.650 | 2.250 | .00 |
| 4.050 | 2.300 | .00 |
| 3.500 | 2.350 | .00 |
| 3.300 | 2.300 | .00 |
| 3.050 | 2.250 | .00 |
| 2.600 | 2.250 | .00 |
| 2.400 | 2.400 | .00 |
| 2.200 | 2.900 | .00 |
| 1.850 | 3.500 | .00 |
| 1.350 | 3.600 | .00 |
| .950 | 3.000 | .00 |
| 1.650 | 1.750 | .00 |
| 2.200 | 1.250 | .00 |
| 2.650 | .300 | .00 |
| 2.800 | 1.400 | .00 |
| 4.500 | .450 | .00 |
| 5.500 | .600 | .00 |

- 


## APPENDIX B

Tracer data for mobile source

| STED | $:$ | SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| TEST NR. | $:$ | V4A |  |
| DATO | $:$ | $89-03-14$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1440-1455$ |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | .270 | .770 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 | .700 |


| STED | $:$ | SF6 VAALERENGA |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ | V4B |
| DATO | $:$ | $89-03-14$ |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1455-1510$ |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |
| MIN, MAKS X | : | .270 |
| MIN,MAKS Y | . | .200 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | $\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| .310 | .360 | .72 |
| .315 | .395 | .23 |
| .300 | .395 | 1.17 |
| .325 | .420 | .37 |
| .320 | .470 | 2.03 |
| .330 | .530 | .12 |
| .445 | .575 | 1.54 |
| .445 | .635 | .06 |
| .405 | .270 | .06 |
| .370 | .365 | .04 |
| .365 | .395 | .04 |
| .350 | .435 | .12 |
| .405 | .485 | .04 |
| .450 | .525 | .16 |
| .455 | .510 | .08 |
| .440 | .395 | .06 |
| .500 | .495 | .02 |
| .560 | .565 | .04 |
| .620 | .630 | 1.31 |
| .620 | .465 | .08 |
| .640 | .510 | .06 |
| .665 | .580 | .08 |
| .580 | .340 | .06 |
| .735 | .495 | .02 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |


| STED | $:$ | SF6 6 VAALERENGA |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ | V5A |
| DATO | $: 89-03-14$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1710-1725$ |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | .270 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 |



| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| . 310 | . 360 | . 90 |
| . 315 | . 395 | . 44 |
| . 300 | . 395 | . 74 |
| . 280 | . 395 | . 06 |
| . 325 | . 420 | 1.12 |
| . 295 | . 435 | . 00 |
| . 445 | . 575 | . 96 |
| . 445 | . 635 | . 00 |
| . 405 | . 270 | . 04 |
| . 370 | . 365 | . 04 |
| . 360 | . 395 | . 10 |
| . 340 | . 430 | . 40 |
| . 405 | . 485 | . 22 |
| . 450 | . 525 | . 38 |
| . 455 | . 510 | . 18 |
| . 440 | . 395 | . 04 |
| . 500 | . 495 | . 04 |
| . 560 | . 565 | . 10 |
| . 620 | . 630 | . 82 |
| . 620 | . 465 | . 10 |
| . 640 | . 510 | . 06 |
| . 665 | . 580 | . 10 |
| . 580 | . 340 | . 04 |
| . 735 | . 495 | . 04 |


| KOORDINATER | SF6/Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |


| STED | $:$ | SF6 6 VAALERENGA |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ | V6A |
| DATO | $: 89-03-14$ |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1850-1905$ |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 24 |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | .270 |
| MIN,MAKS Y | $:$ | .200 |


| KOORDINATER |  | SF6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | UG/M |
|  |  | G/MIN |


| KOORDINATER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SF} 6 / Q \\ & \mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M}^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| . 310 | . 360 | 1.01 |
| . 315 | . 395 | . 41 |
| . 300 | . 395 | 1.18 |
| . 280 | . 395 | . 62 |
| . 325 | . 420 | 1.22 |
| . 295 | . 435 | . 02 |
| . 320 | . 470 | 1.13 |
| . 330 | . 530 | . 00 |
| . 445 | . 575 | 1.77 |
| . 445 | . 635 | . 43 |
| . 405 | . 270 | . 00 |
| . 370 | . 365 | . 04 |
| . 360 | . 395 | . 14 |
| . 340 | . 430 | . 31 |
| . 405 | . 485 | . 25 |
| . 450 | . 525 | . 64 |
| . 455 | . 510 | . 29 |
| . 440 | . 395 | . 04 |
| . 500 | . 495 | . 04 |
| . 560 | . 565 | . 14 |
| . 620 | . 630 | 2.21 |
| . 620 | . 465 | . 04 |
| . 640 | . 510 | . 08 |
| . 580 | . 340 | . 02 |
| 735 | . 495 | 06 |


| STED | $:$ SF6 VAALERENGA |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V7A |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-11-27$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $:$ | $1630-1645$ |  |
| ANT. OBS. | $:$ | 40 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | $:$ | 2.000 | 6.000 |


| STED | $:$ | SF6 VAALERENGA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| TEST NR. | $:$ V7B |  |  |
| DATO | $: 89-11-27$ |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. | $: 1645-1700$ |  |  |
| ANT.OBS. | $:$ | 39 |  |
| MIN, MAKS X | : | 2.000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS Y | 2.000 | 6.000 |  |


| KOORDINATER |  | sf6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 3.820 | 2.780 | . 64 |
| 4.420 | 3.010 | . 26 |
| 4.690 | 3.020 | . 21 |
| 5.060 | 3.080 | . 13 |
| 5.450 | 3.260 | . 39 |
| 5.710 | 3.420 | . 36 |
| 5.180 | 4.810 | . 52 |
| 5.190 | 4.490 | . 23 |
| 4.790 | 3.920 | . 35 |
| 4.910 | 4.310 | . 65 |
| 4.470 | 4.080 | . 49 |
| 4.330 | 3.630 | . 35 |
| 3.930 | 3.400 | . 36 |
| 3.790 | 3.610 | . 68 |
| 3.500 | 3.490 | . 83 |
| 3.810 | 3.210 | . 36 |
| 3.900 | 3.020 | . 42 |
| 2.840 | 3.060 | . 23 |
| 2.980 | 3.200 | . 52 |
| 3.160 | 2.760 | . 63 |
| 3.520 | 2.640 | . 88 |
| 3.200 | 2.300 | . 43 |
| 3.320 | 3.440 | 1.43 |
| 3.400 | 4.240 | 2.46 |
| 3.240 | 4.260 | 3.73 |
| 3.220 | 4.160 | 3.31 |
| 3.380 | 4.060 | 2.29 |
| 3.160 | 3.940 | 5.55 |
| 3.320 | 3.860 | 2.73 |
| 3.360 | 3.620 | . 89 |
| 3.220 | 3.540 | 1.69 |
| 3.060 | 3.600 | 4.12 |
| 2.960 | 3.600 | 3.99 |
| 2.820 | 3.720 | . 43 |
| 2.780 | 3.500 | . 88 |
| 2.880 | 3.440 | 1.49 |
| 3.000 | 3.400 | 1.88 |
| 3.140 | 3.360 | 1.77 |
| 3.220 | 3.320 | 1.38 |
| 3.380 | 3.280 | 1.10 |


| KOORDINATER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | Sf6/Q |
|  |  | UG/M |
| G/MIN |  |  |


| STED |  | SF6 VAALERENGA |  |  | STED |  | : SF6 |  | VAALERENGA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. |  | 97A |  |  | TEST NR. |  | 97B |  |  |  |
| DATO |  | : | 90-03-02 |  | DATO |  | : 90-03-02 |  |  |  |
| TIDSPKT. |  | : | 0940-0955 |  | TIDSPKT. |  | : 0955-1010 |  |  |  |
| ANT. OBS. |  | : | 29 |  | ANT.OBS. |  | : | 2 |  |  |
| MIN, MAKS | X | : | . 000 | 6.000 | MIN, MAKS | X | : |  | . 000 | 6.000 |
| MIN, MAKS | Y | : | . 000 | 6.000 | MIN, MAKS | Y | : |  | . 000 | 6.000 |


| KOORDINATER |  | sf6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $Y$ | UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 2.267 | 4.200 | . 05 |
| 2.400 | 4.033 | 1.66 |
| 2.450 | 3.883 | 2.65 |
| 2.550 | 3.700 | 1.86 |
| 2.733 | 3.567 | 1.69 |
| 2.900 | 3.433 | 1.27 |
| 3.067 | 3.400 | 1.21 |
| 3.200 | 3.367 | 1.32 |
| 3.733 | 3.367 | 1.62 |
| 4.300 | 3.867 | . 74 |
| 5.100 | 4.133 | . 72 |
| 5.380 | 2.400 | . 20 |
| 4.650 | 2.250 | . 27 |
| 4.050 | 2.300 | . 47 |
| 3.500 | 2.350 | . 55 |
| 3.300 | 2.300 | . 45 |
| 3.050 | 2.250 | . 17 |
| 2.600 | 2.250 | . 06 |
| 2.400 | 2.400 | . 08 |
| 2.200 | 2.900 | . 04 |
| 1.850 | 3.500 | . 03 |
| 1.350 | 3.600 | . 00 |
| . 950 | 3.000 | . 02 |
| 1.650 | 1.750 | . 02 |
| 2.200 | 1.250 | . 05 |
| 2.650 | . 300 | . 04 |
| 3.750 | . 350 | . 18 |
| 4.500 | . 450 | . 15 |
| 5.500 | . 600 | . 12 |


| KOORDINATER |  | Sf6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | UG/M |
|  |  | G/MIN |


| STED |  | : SF6 VAALERENGA |  |  | STED |  | : | SF6 VAALERENGA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEST NR. |  | : | 98A |  | TEST NR. |  |  | 98B |  |  |
| DATO |  | : | 90-03-02 |  | DATO |  | : | 90-0 | -3-02 |  |
| TIDSPKT. |  | : | 1150-1205 |  | TIDSPKT. |  | : | 1205 | 5-1220 |  |
| ANT. OBS. |  | : | 30 |  | ANT. OBS. |  | : | 29 |  |  |
| MIN, MAKS | X |  | . 000 | 6.000 | MIN, MAKS | X |  |  | . 000 | 6.000 |
| MIN , MAKS | Y | : | . 000 | 6.000 | MIN, MAKS | Y | : |  | . 000 | 6.000 |


| KOORDINATER |  | sf6/Q |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| X | Y | $\mathrm{UG} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{MIN}$ |


| KOORDINATER |  | sf6/Q UG/M ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $Y$ |  |
|  |  | G/MIN |
| 2.267 | 4.200 | . 00 |
| 2.450 | 3.883 | . 24 |
| 2.773 | 3.567 | . 19 |
| 2.900 | 3.433 | . 30 |
| 3.067 | 3.400 | . 40 |
| 3.200 | 3.367 | . 00 |
| 3.500 | 3.467 | . 42 |
| 3.733 | 3.367 | . 32 |
| 4.300 | 3.867 | . 28 |
| 5.100 | 4.133 | . 26 |
| 5.133 | 4.667 | . 41 |
| 5.380 | 2.400 | . 22 |
| 4.650 | 2.250 | . 19 |
| 4.050 | 2.300 | . 17 |
| 3.500 | 2.350 | . 19 |
| 3.300 | 2.300 | . 12 |
| 3.050 | 2.250 | . 03 |
| 2.600 | 2.250 | . 00 |
| 2.400 | 2.400 | . 03 |
| 2.200 | 2.900 | . 00 |
| 1.850 | 3.500 | . 00 |
| 1.350 | 3.600 | . 00 |
| . 950 | 3.000 | . 00 |
| 1.650 | 1.750 | . 00 |
| 2.200 | 1.250 | . 00 |
| 2.650 | . 300 | . 00 |
| 2.800 | 1.400 | . 00 |
| 4.500 | . 450 | . 07 |
| 5.500 | . 600 | . 12 |

## APPENDIX C

Output from dispersion model of Iversen (1982)

## PROGRAM TUNNEL

TEST 1A

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 6.38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 36.85 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 41.23 |  |

Distance (m) Concentration

| 5.0 | 35.75 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10.0 | 33.90 |
| 15.0 | 31.69 |
| 20.0 | 29.28 |
| 25.0 | 26.80 |
| 30.0 | 24.31 |
| 35.0 | 21.89 |
| 40.0 | 19.56 |
| 45.0 | 16.75 |
| 50.0 | 14.34 |
| 55.0 | 12.43 |
| 60.0 | 10.88 |
| 65.0 | 9.61 |
| 70.0 | 8.56 |
| 75.0 | 7.67 |
| 80.0 | 6.92 |
| 85.0 | 6.27 |
| 90.0 | 5.71 |
| 95.0 | 5.23 |
| 100.0 | 4.80 |
| 105.0 | 4.43 |
| 110.0 | 4.10 |
| 115.0 | 3.80 |
| 120.0 | 3.53 |
| 125.0 | 3.30 |
| 130.0 | 3.08 |
| 135.0 | 2.89 |
| 140.0 | 2.71 |
| 145.0 | 2.55 |
| 150.0 | 2.41 |
| 155.0 | 2.27 |
| 160.0 | 2.15 |
| 165.0 | 1.04 |
| 170.0 | 1.83 |
| 175.0 | 1.66 |
| 180.0 | 1.45 |
| 185.0 |  |
| 190.0 | 1.93 |
| 195.0 |  |
| 200.0 |  |
|  |  |

PROGRAM TUNNEL

TEST 1B

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | 3.00 |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 6.38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 40.53 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 41.23 |  |


| Distance (m) | Concentration |
| ---: | ---: |
| 5.0 | 39.32 |
| 10.0 | 37.29 |
| 15.0 | 34.85 |
| 20.0 | 32.21 |
| 25.0 | 29.47 |
| 30.0 | 26.74 |
| 35.0 | 24.07 |
| 40.0 | 21.52 |
| 45.0 | 18.42 |
| 50.0 | 15.77 |
| 55.0 | 13.67 |
| 60.0 | 11.97 |
| 65.0 | 10.57 |
| 70.0 | 9.41 |
| 75.0 | 8.44 |
| 80.0 | 7.61 |
| 85.0 | 6.90 |
| 90.0 | 6.28 |
| 95.0 | 5.75 |
| 100.0 | 5.28 |
| 105.0 | 4.87 |
| 110.0 | 4.50 |
| 115.0 | 4.18 |
| 120.0 | 3.89 |
| 125.0 | 3.63 |
| 130.0 | 3.39 |
| 135.0 | 3.18 |
| 140.0 | 2.98 |
| 145.0 | 2.81 |
| 150.0 | 2.65 |
| 155.0 | 2.50 |
| 160.0 | 2.37 |
| 165.0 | 2.24 |
| 170.0 | 2.13 |
| 175.0 | 1.02 |
| 180.0 | 1.82 |
| 185.0 | 1.75 |
| 190.0 |  |
| 195.0 | 1.59 |
| 200.0 |  |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST2A

| WIND SPEED | $(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S})$ | $:$ | 2.70 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | $:$ | .38 |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 3.20 |  |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA. (M2) | $:$ | 61.18 |  |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 89.68 |  |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET | $:$ | 27.48 |  |


| Distance (m) | Concentration |
| ---: | ---: |
| 5.0 | 74.63 |
| 10.0 | 62.62 |
| 15.0 | 52.71 |
| 20.0 | 44.45 |
| 25.0 | 37.53 |
| 30.0 | 31.38 |
| 35.0 | 26.29 |
| 40.0 | 22.35 |
| 45.0 | 19.24 |
| 50.0 | 16.74 |
| 55.0 | 14.70 |
| 60.0 | 13.01 |
| 65.0 | 11.60 |
| 70.0 | 10.41 |
| 75.0 | 9.39 |
| 80.0 | 8.52 |
| 85.0 | 7.76 |
| 90.0 | 7.10 |
| 95.0 | 6.52 |
| 100.0 | 6.01 |
| 105.0 | 5.56 |
| 110.0 | 5.15 |
| 115.0 | 4.79 |
| 120.0 | 4.47 |
| 125.0 | 4.18 |
| 130.0 | 3.91 |
| 135.0 | 3.67 |
| 140.0 | 3.46 |
| 145.0 | 3.26 |
| 150.0 | 3.07 |
| 155.0 | 2.91 |
| 160.0 | 2.75 |
| 165.0 | 2.61 |
| 170.0 | 2.48 |
| 175.0 | 2.36 |
| 180.0 | 2.14 |
| 185.0 | 1.95 |
| 190.0 |  |
| 195.0 |  |
| 200.0 |  |
|  |  |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST 2B

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | $:$ | 2.70 |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $:$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 92.77 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 25.74 |  |

Distance (m) Concentration

| 5.0 | 76.09 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 | 63.22 |
| 15.0 | 52.77 |
| 20.0 | 44.18 |
| 25.0 | 37.06 |
| 30.0 | 30.71 |
| 35.0 | 25.79 |
| 40.0 | 21.97 |
| 45.0 | 18.94 |
| 50.0 | 16.50 |
| 55.0 | 14.50 |
| 60.0 | 12.85 |
| 65.0 | 11.47 |
| 70.0 | 10.30 |
| 75.0 | 9.30 |
| 80.0 | 8.44 |
| 85.0 | 7.69 |
| 90.0 | 7.04 |
| 95.0 | 6.47 |
| 100.0 | 5.96 |
| 105.0 | 5.52 |
| 110.0 | 5.12 |
| 115.0 | 4.76 |
| 120.0 | 4.44 |
| 125.0 | 4.15 |
| 130.0 | 3.89 |
| 135.0 | 3.65 |
| 140.0 | 3.44 |
| 145.0 | 3.24 |
| 150.0 | 3.06 |
| 155.0 | 2.89 |
| 160.0 | 2.74 |
| 165.0 | 2.60 |
| 170.0 | 2.47 |
| 175.0 | 2.35 |
| 180.0 | 2.24 |
| 185.0 | 2.13 |
| 190.0 | 2.04 |
| 195.0 | 1.95 |
| 200.0 | 1.86 |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST 3A

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | 2.40 |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | . | 38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 70.87 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 36.67 |  |

Distance (m) Concentration

| 5.0 | 63.55 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10.0 | 56.36 |
| 15.0 | 49.75 |
| 20.0 | 43.78 |
| 25.0 | 38.43 |
| 30.0 | 33.68 |
| 35.0 | 29.46 |
| 40.0 | 25.22 |
| 45.0 | 21.60 |
| 50.0 | 18.71 |
| 55.0 | 16.37 |
| 60.0 | 14.44 |
| 65.0 | 12.84 |
| 70.0 | 11.49 |
| 75.0 | 10.35 |
| 80.0 | 9.37 |
| 85.0 | 8.52 |
| 90.0 | 7.79 |
| 95.0 | 7.14 |
| 100.0 | 6.57 |
| 105.0 | 6.07 |
| 110.0 | 5.63 |
| 115.0 | 5.23 |
| 120.0 | 4.87 |
| 125.0 | 4.55 |
| 130.0 | 4.26 |
| 135.0 | 3.99 |
| 140.0 | 3.75 |
| 145.0 | 3.54 |
| 150.0 | 3.34 |
| 155.0 | 3.15 |
| 160.0 | 2.98 |
| 165.0 | 2.83 |
| 170.0 | 2.69 |
| 175.0 | 2.35 |
| 180.0 | 2.21 |
| 185.0 |  |
| 190.0 | 2.02 |
| 195.0 | 20.0 |
| 200.0 |  |
|  |  |

PROGRAM TUNNEL

TEST 3B

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | 2.40 |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 4.38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 67.97 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 38.39 |  |


| Distance (m) | Concentration |
| ---: | ---: |
| 5.0 | 61.75 |
| 10.0 | 55.32 |
| 15.0 | 49.26 |
| 20.0 | 43.69 |
| 25.0 | 38.63 |
| 30.0 | 34.06 |
| 35.0 | 29.97 |
| 40.0 | 26.02 |
| 45.0 | 22.24 |
| 50.0 | 19.23 |
| 55.0 | 16.79 |
| 60.0 | 14.80 |
| 65.0 | 13.14 |
| 70.0 | 11.75 |
| 75.0 | 10.57 |
| 80.0 | 9.56 |
| 85.0 | 8.69 |
| 90.0 | 7.94 |
| 95.0 | 7.28 |
| 100.0 | 6.69 |
| 105.0 | 6.18 |
| 110.0 | 5.72 |
| 115.0 | 5.32 |
| 120.0 | 4.95 |
| 125.0 | 4.62 |
| 130.0 | 4.33 |
| 135.0 | 4.06 |
| 140.0 | 3.81 |
| 145.0 | 3.59 |
| 150.0 | 3.39 |
| 155.0 | 3.20 |
| 160.0 | 3.03 |
| 165.0 | 2.87 |
| 170.0 | 2.72 |
| 175.0 | 2.59 |
| 180.0 | 2.46 |
| 185.0 | 2.35 |
| 190.0 | 2.14 |
| 195.0 |  |
| 200.0 |  |
|  |  |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST V4A

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | $:$ | 2.00 |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 69.64 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 38.52 |  |


| Distance (m) | Concentration |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 | 56.19 |
| 20.0 | 44.36 |
| 30.0 | 34.70 |
| 40.0 | 26.75 |
| 50.0 | 20.08 |
| 60.0 | 15.64 |
| 70.0 | 12.53 |
| 80.0 | 10.27 |
| 90.0 | 8.57 |
| 100.0 | 7.26 |
| 110.0 | 6.24 |
| 120.0 | 5.41 |
| 130.0 | 4.74 |
| 140.0 | 4.19 |
| 150.0 | 3.73 |
| 160.0 | 3.34 |
| 170.0 | 3.01 |
| 180.0 | 2.73 |
| 190.0 | 2.48 |
| 200.0 | 2.27 |
| 210.0 | 2.08 |
| 220.0 | 1.92 |
| 230.0 | 1.77 |
| 240.0 | 1.64 |
| 250.0 | 1.53 |
| 260.0 | 1.42 |
| 270.0 | 1.33 |
| 280.0 | 1.24 |
| 290.0 | 1.17 |
| 300.0 | 1.10 |
| 310.0 | 1.03 |
| 320.0 | . 97 |
| 330.0 | . 92 |
| 340.0 | . 87 |
| 350.0 | . 83 |
| 360.0 | . 79 |
| 370.0 | . 75 |
| 380.0 | . 71 |
| 390.0 | . 68 |
| 400.0 | . 65 |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST V4B

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) $:$ | 2.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | $:$ | .38 |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $\vdots$ | 3.60 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEI, | $\vdots$ | 75.66 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 35.09 |  |

Distance (m) Concentration

| 10.0 | 58.59 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20.0 | 44.94 |
| 30.0 | 34.33 |
| 40.0 | 25.65 |
| 50.0 | 19.39 |
| 60.0 | 15.18 |
| 70.0 | 12.21 |
| 80.0 | 10.03 |
| 90.0 | 8.39 |
| 100.0 | 7.13 |
| 110.0 | 6.13 |
| 120.0 | 5.33 |
| 130.0 | 4.67 |
| 140.0 | 4.13 |
| 150.0 | 3.68 |
| 160.0 | 3.30 |
| 170.0 | 2.98 |
| 180.0 | 2.70 |
| 190.0 | 2.46 |
| 200.0 | 2.25 |
| 210.0 | 2.06 |
| 220.0 | 1.90 |
| 230.0 | 1.76 |
| 240.0 | 1.63 |
| 250.0 | 1.52 |
| 260.0 | 1.41 |
| 270.0 | 1.32 |
| 280.0 | 1.24 |
| 290.0 | 1.16 |
| 300.0 | 1.09 |
| 310.0 | 1.03 |
| 320.0 | . 97 |
| 330.0 | . 92 |
| 340.0 | . 87 |
| 350.0 | . 82 |
| 360.0 | . 78 |
| 370.0 | . 74 |
| 380.0 | . 71 |
| 390.0 | . 67 |
| 400.0 | . 64 |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST V5A

| WIND SPEED | (M/S ) | 3.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. | (M/S) | . 38 |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED | (M/S) | 3.30 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA | (M2) | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL |  | 81.81 |
| DISTANCE TO END | OF JET | 29.06 |

Distance (m) Concentration

| 10.0 | 57.92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20.0 | 41.36 |
| 30.0 | 29.45 |
| 40.0 | 20.65 |
| 50.0 | 15.29 |
| 60.0 | 11.79 |
| 70.0 | 9.37 |
| 80.0 | 7.63 |
| 90.0 | 6.33 |
| 100.0 | 5.34 |
| 110.0 | 4.57 |
| 120.0 | 3.95 |
| 130.0 | 3.45 |
| 140.0 | 3.04 |
| 150.0 | 2.70 |
| 160.0 | 2.42 |
| 170.0 | 2.17 |
| 180.0 | 1.97 |
| 190.0 | 1.79 |
| 200.0 | 1.63 |
| 210.0 | 1.50 |
| 220.0 | 1.38 |
| 230.0 | 1.27 |
| 240.0 | 1.18 |
| 250.0 | 1.09 |
| 260.0 | 1.02 |
| 270.0 | . 95 |
| 280.0 | . 89 |
| 290.0 | . 83 |
| 300.0 | . 78 |
| 310.0 | . 74 |
| 320.0 | . 69 |
| 330.0 | . 66 |
| 340.0 | . 62 |
| 350.0 | . 59 |
| 360.0 | . 56 |
| 370.0 | . 53 |
| 380.0 | . 50 |
| 390.0 | . 48 |
| 400.0 | . 46 |

PROGRAM TUNNEL

TEST V5B

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 3.38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 88.26 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET | $:$ | 26.24 |

Distance (m) Concentration

| 10.0 | 59.60 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20.0 | 41.30 |
| 30.0 | 28.47 |
| 40.0 | 20.14 |
| 50.0 | 15.00 |
| 60.0 | 11.62 |
| 70.0 | 9.26 |
| 80.0 | 7.56 |
| 90.0 | 6.29 |
| 100.0 | 5.32 |
| 110.0 | 4.55 |
| 120.0 | 3.94 |
| 130.0 | 3.45 |
| 140.0 | 3.04 |
| 150.0 | 2.71 |
| 160.0 | 2.42 |
| 170.0 | 2.18 |
| 180.0 | 1.97 |
| 190.0 | 1.79 |
| 200.0 | 1.64 |
| 210.0 | 1.50 |
| 220.0 | 1.38 |
| 230.0 | 1.28 |
| 240.0 | 1.18 |
| 250.0 | 1.10 |
| 260.0 | 1.02 |
| 270.0 | . 95 |
| 280.0 | . 89 |
| 290.0 | . 84 |
| 300.0 | . 79 |
| 310.0 | . 74 |
| 320.0 | . 70 |
| 330.0 | . 66 |
| 340.0 | . 63 |
| 350.0 | . 59 |
| 360.0 | . 56 |
| 370.0 | . 53 |
| 380.0 | . 51 |
| 390.0 | . 48 |
| 400.0 | . 46 |

PROGRAM TUNNEL
TEST V6A

| WIND SPEED | (M/S) | $:$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| WIND SPEED CORR. (M/S) | 1.50 |  |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED (M/S) | $:$ | 2.38 |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA (M2) | $\vdots$ | 61.18 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | $\vdots$ | 94.16 |
| DISTANCE TO END OF JET : | 24.75 |  |


| Distance (m) | Concentration |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 | 65.06 |
| 20.0 | 46.74 |
| 30.0 | 33.87 |
| 40.0 | 25.42 |
| 50.0 | 19.79 |
| 60.0 | 15.86 |
| 70.0 | 13.00 |
| 80.0 | 10.85 |
| 90.0 | 9.20 |
| 100.0 | 7.89 |
| 110.0 | 6.85 |
| 120.0 | 6.01 |
| 130.0 | 5.31 |
| 140.0 | 4.72 |
| 150.0 | 4.23 |
| 160.0 | 3.82 |
| 170.0 | 3.46 |
| 180.0 | 3.15 |
| 190.0 | 2.88 |
| 200.0 | 2.64 |
| 210.0 | 2.43 |
| 220.0 | 2.25 |
| 230.0 | 2.08 |
| 240.0 | 1.94 |
| 250.0 | 1.80 |
| 260.0 | 1.69 |
| 270.0 | 1.58 |
| 280.0 | 1.48 |
| 290.0 | 1.39 |
| 300.0 | 1.31 |
| 310.0 | 1.24 |
| 320.0 | 1.17 |
| 330.0 | 1.11 |
| 340.0 | 1.05 |
| 350.0 | 1.00 |
| 360.0 | . 95 |
| 370.0 | . 90 |
| 380.0 | . 86 |
| 390.0 | . 82 |
| 400.0 | . 78 |


| PROGRAM TUNNEL |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TEST V6B |  |
| WIND SPEED | (M/S) |
| WIND SPEED CORR. | (M/S) |
| TUNNEL JET SPEED | (M/S) |
| GAUSS PLUME AREA | (M2) : 61 |
| CONC. AT TUNNEL | 106 |
| DISTANCE TO END | OF JET : 11 |
| Distance (m) | Concentration |
| 10.0 | 63.98 |
| 20.0 | 42.86 |
| 30.0 | 30.83 |
| 40.0 | 23.29 |
| 50.0 | 18.24 |
| 60.0 | 14.69 |
| 70.0 | 12.09 |
| 80.0 | 10.13 |
| 90.0 | 8.61 |
| 100.0 | 7.41 |
| 110.0 | 6.45 |
| 120.0 | 5.67 |
| 130.0 | 5.02 |
| 140.0 | 4.47 |
| 150.0 | 4.02 |
| 160.0 | 3.62 |
| 170.0 | 3.29 |
| 180.0 | 3.00 |
| 190.0 | 2.74 |
| 200.0 | 2.52 |
| 210.0 | 2.32 |
| 220.0 | 2.15 |
| 230.0 | 1.99 |
| 240.0 | 1.85 |
| 250.0 | 1.73 |
| 260.0 | 1.62 |
| 270.0 | 1.51 |
| 280.0 | 1.42 |
| 290.0 | 1.34 |
| 300.0 | 1.26 |
| 310.0 | 1.19 |
| 320.0 | 1.13 |
| 330.0 | 1.07 |
| 340.0 | 1.01 |
| 350.0 | . 96 |
| 360.0 | . 91 |
| 370.0 | . 87 |
| 380.0 | . 83 |
| 390.0 | . 79 |
| 400.0 | . 76 |
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