

Where does the optically detectable aerosol in the European Arctic come from?

By MARIA STOCK¹, CHRISTOPH RITTER^{1*}, VEIJO AALTONEN², WENCHE AAS³, DÖRTHE HANDORFF¹, ANDREAS HERBER⁴, RENATE TREFFEISEN⁴ and KLAUS DETHLOFF¹, ¹*Alfred-Wegener-Institute for Polar and Marine Research in the Helmholtz Association, Telegrafenberg A 43, 14473 Potsdam, Germany*; ²*Climate and Global Change Research, Finnish Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland*; ³*Norwegian Institute for Air Research, P.O. Box 100, 2027 Kjeller, Norway*; ⁴*Alfred-Wegener-Institute for Polar and Marine Research in the Helmholtz Association, Bürgermeister-Schmidt-Straße 20, 27568 Bremerhaven, Germany*

(Manuscript received 16 May 2013; in final form 11 February 2014)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we pose the question where the source regions of the aerosol, which occurs in the European Arctic, are located. Long-term aerosol optical depth (AOD) data from Ny-Ålesund and Sodankylä as well as short-term data from a campaign on a Russian drifting station were analysed by air backtrajectories, analysis of the general circulation pattern and a correlation to chemical composition from in-situ measurements. Surprisingly, our data clearly shows that direct transport of pollutants from Europe does not play an important role. Instead, Arctic haze in Ny-Ålesund has been found for air masses from the Eastern Arctic, while events with increased AOD but chemically more diverse composition have been found for air from Siberia or the central Arctic. Moreover, the AOD in Ny-Ålesund does not depend on the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Hence, either the pollution pathways of aerosol are more complex or aerosol is significantly altered by clouds.

Keywords: Arctic haze, photometer, AOD, pollution pathways, EOF analysis

1. Introduction

The Arctic is climatologically a very sensitive region, where temperature increase was larger during the 20th century compared to mid-latitudes ('Arctic amplification'). This holds true especially for springtime (Solomon et al., 2007), as an earlier onset of the melting season increases the snow-albedo feedback (Hall and Qu, 2006). During the last few years, a strong decrease in Arctic sea ice was noticed. The September cover seems to retreat by -12.4% per decade (Stroeve et al., 2012) which further enhances the near-surface temperature (Screen and Simmonds, 2010). Such a retreat in sea ice has a potential impact on large-scale circulation by supporting negative phases of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) as shown recently (Jaiser et al., 2012).

Aerosols influence the Arctic radiation budget in many ways. Directly, they can scatter and absorb sunlight ('dimming') or, by deposition on the ground, lead to a decrease in albedo ('darkening'). Estimation of the net aerosol forcing is extremely difficult in the Arctic, as next to the sparseness of observational data also, the strongly varying light conditions and the albedo in the run of the year have to be considered. Currently Stone et al. (2013) concluded that aerosol should contribute to a significant net surface cooling during the annual cycle. Of course the spatial distribution of aerosol is needed to assess the radiative effect. On the other hand, our knowledge of precise microphysical properties of Arctic aerosols (size distribution, shape, index of refraction) is still limited. While the phenomenon of Arctic haze for accumulation mode particles mainly consisting of sulphates and soot has been known for many years (Shaw, 1995; Quinn et al., 2007), recently biomass burning was also found to be one of the important sources of Arctic air pollution (Warneke et al., 2009; Stock et al., 2011) even in early spring.

*Corresponding author.
email: christoph.ritter@awi.de

Numerous studies are already related to the pollution pathways into the Arctic. The concept of the Polar-dome (or Arctic-dome) was introduced by Carlson (1981) and Iversen (1984) when trajectories of constant potential temperature form closed dome-like loops around the North Pole. Air flows generally follow trajectories of constant potential temperatures, except for winter when diabatic cooling of air over cold surfaces occurs. Hence, Shaw (1983) already gave long-range transport from Eurasia as the main source for Arctic haze and this picture was extended and refined over the years (Stohl, 2006). Eckhardt et al. (2003) showed, using FLEXPART dispersion model (Stohl et al., 1998) and ECMWF re-analysis data (Gibson et al., 1999) that transport into the Arctic is facilitated at positive NAO phase. In particular, tracers from Europe penetrate into the Arctic within 8–10 d at positive NAO phase. Eneroth et al. (2003) also used ECMWF data and clustered air backtrajectories arriving at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard and found higher carbon dioxide values for air from Europe. Similarly, Fisher et al. (2010) were able to connect air with increased carbon monoxide concentration to backtrajectories from polluted sites in Europe and Asia from aircraft measurements. Rozwadowska et al. (2010) performed a cluster analysis of air backtrajectories over Spitsbergen and found higher aerosol optical depth (AOD) for air from Eurasia.

From these studies, one might think that airflow into the Arctic is reasonably well understood and that aerosols might directly follow the air trajectories. However, Stock et al. (2011) have already reported higher AOD values over the more remote Russian drifting station NP-35 than over Ny-Ålesund. Moreover, Toledano et al. (2012) gave an overview of sun photometer measurements at different Arctic sites. They found that the typical springtime aerosol load expressed in monthly means of AOD was larger at sites on Svalbard than on mainland Scandinavia. Hence, from their data it can already be assumed that the Arctic haze phenomenon is only subtle over the European mainland. This already poses some doubts on whether the aforementioned transport pathways can directly be applied to aerosol which is detectable by optical methods. For this reason, we present in this work AOD time series and combined them to both air backtrajectories and an EOF (empirical orthogonal functions) analysis of surface pressure. The scope of this work is to find out whether the omnipresent aerosol in the Arctic does follow the aforementioned ‘classical’ transport routes.

Apparently, there is no doubt that direct transport of polluted air from central Europe into the Arctic has been observed so far, see quotes here and in Section 2.1. Volcanic aerosol has also been clearly identified in the Arctic (e.g. Hoffmann et al., 2010; O’Neill, 2012). For this reason, few aerosol events that can be clearly assigned to a source have

been omitted from this study. Nevertheless, we will speak not only about background aerosol but also on hazy conditions with increased or even high AOD.

In this paper, we present results from sun photometer measurements, mainly from Ny-Ålesund, and also from Sodankylä and the Russian drifting station NP-35 with observations from spring 2008 and compare the AOD with a cluster analysis of air backtrajectories (Section 4), with an analysis of EOF of surface pressure (Section 5) and correlate AOD to trace gas measurements (Section 6). By doing this, we want to demonstrate the difficulties to connect the measured Arctic AOD with unique source regions.

2. Instrumentation and measurements

Three types of sun photometer (SP1A, SP2H, PFR) were operated at three locations (Ny-Ålesund, Sodankylä, NP-35, see Fig. 1). They all differed in the number of employed interference filters (see Table 1). At least the SP1A and the PFR participated at an Arctic intercomparison experiment (Mazzola et al., 2012). For all data sets, a cloud screening has been performed.

The AOD τ is calculated based on the Lambert–Beer law:

$$I = I_0 \cdot e^{-m \cdot \tau_{ext}} \quad (1)$$

where I is the direct solar signal at the ground, I_0 the extra-terrestrial signal of the instrument and m the optical air mass. Eq. (1) is modified based on the WMO




Fig. 1. Position of Ny-Ålesund, Sodankylä and NP-35 in March/April 2008.

Table 1. Number and wavelength range of the interference filters in the sun photometer types SP2H, SP1A and PFR

Type	SP2H	SP1A	PFR
Channels	14	17	4
Wavelengths	360–1050 nm	350–1090 nm	368–862 nm

recommendations (WMO, 1996) to retrieve τ at different wavelength λ :

$$\tau_A(\lambda) = \frac{\ln \frac{I_0(\lambda)}{K \cdot I(\lambda)} - m_R \cdot \tau_R(\lambda) - m_G \cdot \tau_G(\lambda)}{m_A} \quad (2)$$

The contributions of aerosol (A), absorbing gases (G) and molecules (R) were separated, also the Sun–Earth distance (K) is corrected. In general, the estimated uncertainty of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ is 0.01–0.02 (Stock, 2010).

Besides $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ the Ångström coefficient α is calculated from the regression line $\ln \tau_A(\lambda) = \ln \beta + (-\alpha) \cdot \ln \lambda$. For this regression, all available wavelengths not contaminated by any error signal were taken.

2.1. Ny-Ålesund

The sun photometer measurements started in 1991 in the new established German research station AWIPEV (formerly ‘Koldewey’) in Ny-Ålesund (78.9°N, 11.9°E, referred as Ny-Ålesund). Due to the eruption of the Pinatubo in the same year, we only consider sun photometer measurements after 1995 here (Herber et al., 2002). Also, we clear events of direct pollution from Europe, classical Arctic haze from March 2000 (Yamanouchi et al., 2005) and March 2008 (Stock et al., 2011), two events of biomass burning – one event in July 2004 (Stohl et al., 2006), and a second one in May 2006 (Stohl et al., 2007) – as well as one case of stratospheric aerosol caused by the Kasatochi volcano in August 2008 (Hoffmann et al., 2010). In total, AOD data from 16 d out of total 412 d have been removed. We are aware that by omitting these events the influence of pollution from Europe and Siberia will be decreased; however, we believe that this reduced data set is much more representative to the typical conditions in the Arctic. The remaining period 1995–2008 includes a total number of 65 693 minutes of measurements. The used sun photometer types are SP2H and SP1A produced by Dr. Schulz und Partner GmbH, Germany.

2.2. Sodankylä

The facility of the Arctic Research Centre (67.37°N, 26.65°O, 190 m a.s.l.) in Sodankylä is part of the Finnish Meteorological Institute Arctic Research Division. The research conducted ranges from polar ozone and arctic

snow coverage under the influence of global warming to the auroral observations. This boreal zone station is situated around 100 km north of the polar circle and is surrounded by pine forest. Sun photometer measurements have been conducted since summer 2004 with PFR (Precision Filter Radiometer, Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos/World Radiation Center, Switzerland). The used data set encompasses measurements from 2004 to 2007 with a total number of 30 904 one-minute measurements.

2.3. NP-35

From September 2007 to April 2008, our colleague Jürgen Graeser participated at 35th North Pole drifting station (NP-35) and operated among others a sun photometer, type SP1A. The sun photometer measurements were taken between the period 14 March and 7 April 2008 and provided a total of 430 minutes of measurements. During that time NP-35 drifted from 56.7°E to 42.0°E and 85.5°N to 84.2°N (see Fig. 1).

3. Methods

3.1. Trajectory calculation and cluster analysis

For the identification of aerosol source regions, 5-d backward trajectories were calculated with PEP-Tracer (Pole-Equator-Pole Tracer; Orgis et al., 2009). On the basis of the operational ECMWF three-dimensional wind field ensembles of 1000 backward trajectories starting from an area of $25 \times 25 \text{ km}^2$ around Ny-Ålesund, Sodankylä and NP-35 every 6 hours (00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC) were determined. As starting heights the standard pressure levels of 850, 700 and 500 hPa were chosen, assuming that they represent boundary layer, as well as lower free and upper troposphere. For each ensemble, a mean trajectory was calculated and allocated to the measurements in the following way: for the start time X of each trajectory all measurements were allocated in the time range $-3 \text{ hours} < X < +2 \text{ hours}$.

We used only one total run time, which was 120 hours. Stock et al. (2011) have shown that sparse data in the Arctic hinders a trustful calculation beyond this period independent of the used meteorological data set. Typically, after 5 d the spread was about 300 km and 20 hPa horizontally and vertically for the 850 hPa trajectories and even larger for the higher ones (due to increasing wind speed with altitude). Hence, a clear classification would not have been possible with longer backtrajectories.

The clustering of the trajectories was performed using the non-hierarchical method k -means (MacQueen, 1967). In a first step, k points (k -number of clusters) were randomly selected and used as a reference centre. Thereafter, every

k -point was allocated to the nearest point (distance minimisation) and a new reference centre was determined. This process was repeated until all points were allocated to a reference point. Because of the randomly selected start points, the process was run 20 times and the run with the lowest overall distance was chosen.

Trajectories of all heights were clustered in one step. This is necessary because the measured AOD is a column value and it is not known at which height the aerosol was transported. Only if all heights of a start time were allocated to the same cluster, the measured AOD was assigned to this cluster. This approach guarantees a well-defined determination of the aerosol source region.

Before the cluster analysis can be applied, the number of clusters k has to be selected for each station separately. The minimum number of k was determined on the basis of total spatial variance (Dorling et al., 1992; Stunder, 1996). The maximum number of clusters can be derived by comparing the horizontal spread of the trajectories to the distances of the derived cluster centres. For our data set, eight clusters for Ny-Ålesund, six for Sodankylä and four for the NP-35 were optimal.

3.2. EOF method

To see whether a connection between AOD and the large-scale circulation patterns exist, the empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analyses have been used (e.g. Preisendorfer, 1988; Hannachi et al., 2007). By applying EOF analysis to a climate field, it is possible to find the most important patterns explaining the variability of that field and to represent the data field compactly in terms of EOFs. By applying an EOF analysis, the anomaly field $\vec{Z}'(j, t)$ of a climate field $\vec{Z}(j, t)$ is projected onto the space spanned by the EOFs:

$$\vec{Z}' = \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha'_j(t) \vec{e}_j. \quad (3)$$

Here \vec{e}_j , ($j = 1, \dots, J$) is the EOF which represents the spatial patterns. The time-dependent amplitude $\alpha'_j(t)$ of \vec{e}_j is called the j th principal component (PC) of the time-series. The EOFs are the eigenvectors \vec{e}_j of the covariance matrix of the field \vec{Z}' . The corresponding eigenvalues are proportional to the amount of variance explained by each eigenvector. Before calculating the covariance matrix, equal-area weighting is ensured by multiplying the fields with the square root of the cosine of latitude. All EOF patterns are re-normalised by the square root of the corresponding eigenvalues. Thus, the corresponding PC time-series $\alpha'_j(t)$ are standardised (cf. von Storch and Zwiers, 2001).

By means of the EOF analysis, information about the spatial structure of the most dominant variability patterns

(in terms of EOF-vectors) as well as about the temporal evolution of the teleconnection patterns (in terms of PC-time series) is obtained. Thus, the first EOF explains most of the variance of the data field.

To analyse the link between atmospheric circulation pattern and measured AOD over the Arctic, here we calculated the variability of the large-scale circulation in the lower to middle troposphere north of 50°N. Therefore, we applied the EOF analysis to the fields of monthly and daily averages of the 6-hourly fields of mean sea-level pressure and geopotential height at 850, 700 and 500 hPa for the winter season (DJF) and to the spring months March and April from 1995 to 2008 (daily means). All of these data fields are provided from the ECMWF ERA-40 reanalysis (Uppala et al., 2005).

The physical interpretation of the atmospheric pattern found with the EOF has to be done carefully, because the EOF is a strictly mathematical analysis method (Dommenget and Latif, 2002) and must not necessarily represent physical quantities. However, later we will show that we find a pattern similar to the NAO as the most important EOF for the winter months.

4. Trajectory analysis

4.1. Ny-Ålesund

Due to the constraint that the trajectories of all three analysed heights had to belong to the same cluster, 322 (out of 1375) trajectories were included in this study. The results of the clustering are shown in Fig. 2 and 3. In Fig. 2 the trajectory groups of all three heights are drawn in different colours. In Fig. 3, the group means of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α , including their standard deviation, and the number of allocated hourly means are plotted. Three seasons were distinguished:

Spring (red)	-	March, April, May
Summer (green)	-	June, July
Autumn (blue)	-	August, September.

It can be seen that the highest $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ values are generally observed in spring and decreasing values in the other months with the lowest $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ in autumn. The same behaviour is found for the standard deviation of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$, so obviously the spring atmosphere is more variable in advection efficiency. For trajectories coming from north (group 2 Beaufort Sea and group 1 East Arctic/Siberia) in spring, the $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ reaches maximum mean values of 0.13 ± 0.03 and 0.11 ± 0.03 , respectively. This is followed by groups 6 and 4 (Central Arctic, Northeast Canada) with 0.1 ± 0.04 and 0.1 ± 0.02 , respectively. Lowest values of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ in spring are observed in groups 5 and 8 (Europe/Greenland) with values of




Fig. 2. Cluster allocation of ensemble trajectories at all heights (850, 700 and 500 hPa) for Ny-Ålesund 1995–2008.

0.06+0.01 and 0.06+0.02, respectively. These groups also contain the lowest number of hourly means (4, 7). In summer and autumn, the number of allocated trajectories and hourly means drops in almost all groups due to a more unstable weather situation. Remarkable for the latter two seasons is the low AOD and the marginal differences in the mean values. A clear relationship between the Ångström coefficient α and the trajectory groups cannot be seen. Values of α around 1.4 are typical, indicating overall small particles. In some groups α increases with the season (from spring to autumn – 5, 7, 8) while in other groups it is nearly constant over the year (1, 2, 3, 4, 6), which indicates more homogeneous particle diameters. The largest values of the Ångström coefficient have been found for the summer value

of cluster 5 as well as the autumn values for clusters 7 and 8. Overall, the Ångström coefficient does not depend on the time during that a trajectory was influenced by open water. Also the decrease of particle size in Ny-Ålesund in summer, which was derived from in situ measurements at the Zeppelin station Ström et al. (2003) is not as clear in our data (that contains the whole atmospheric column). This correlates only roughly with DMS production from the Arctic Ocean. Hence, biogenic aerosol might be one important factor of the summer and autumn aerosol but it is not the only one. Also in α the large standard deviation in spring can be seen. Hence, the aerosol over Ny-Ålesund is more variable in concentration and size in spring and more uniform during the rest of the year. Nevertheless, Fig. 7 displays a clear




Fig. 3. (a) Mean values and standard deviation of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α and (b) number of hourly mean AOD in different trajectory groups from Ny-Ålesund 1995–2008. Seasonal separation: spring – red; summer – green; autumn – blue.

transition from the haze season to summer conditions in May when the AOD drops and the Ångström exponents increases. This transition is, however, in the integrated optical data column, not so pronounced as it is in in-situ observations (Ström et al. 2003; Tunved et al., 2012), which might indicate that the change in aerosol properties is more evident in the boundary layer than it is in the free troposphere.

4.2. Sodankylä

For Sodankylä, 116 out of 543 trajectories had a clear affiliation to a unique cluster for all heights. Figures 4 and 5 visualise the results of the clustering for Sodankylä. The clustered trajectories and their group membership are shown in Fig. 4. The allocation of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α to the trajectory groups in Fig. 5 is again split into three seasons:

Spring (red)	-	March, April, May
Summer (green)	-	June, July, August
Autumn (blue)	-	September, October, November, February.

In contrast to the results for Ny-Ålesund, the group means for $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ in Sodankylä are independent from the season and always lower than 0.08. The highest $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ are observed for group 6 (northern Europe/Europe), group 3 (Arctic/Siberia) and group 1 (Atlantic/northern Europe) with maximum mean AOD values of $0.07+0.01$ (group 6, spring), $0.07+0.03$ (group 6, summer), $0.05+0.03$ (group 3, spring) and $0.07+0.02$ (group 1, summer). It has to be remarked that the increased aerosol load in Ny-Ålesund in

spring is completely absent in Sodankylä. Even though Ny-Ålesund is farther away from anthropogenic aerosol sources, the springtime AOD is almost twice as high over the Spitsbergen site compared to the Fennoscandia site. In particular, it is interesting to compare clusters 3 (Arctic) and 6 (northern Europe) for Sodankylä. Cluster number 6 shows a slight increase in AOD (from 0.05 to 0.08) in spring and summer, this increase might be due to local pollution, while cluster number 3, at conditions which over Ny-Ålesund would have led to increased AOD, does show only clear conditions at all seasons. This means that no Arctic haze over Sodankylä has been recorded although the right wind conditions have been present. These results compare well to a recent study from Aaltonen et al. (2012), who found that Sodankylä is generally a clear site in Finland with only a few numbers of aerosol events that occur mainly from eastern directions. This is also consistent with the work of Stohl (2006) who also found a mean Arctic age of air below 2 d for this site, meaning that Sodankylä is located south of the Polar-dome.

In general, the allocated Ångström coefficients are higher than 1.4 in spring and summer except for group 4 (Atlantic/Canada) which shows significantly larger particles. The standard deviation of this parameter is smaller than for Spitsbergen indicating more uniform conditions with smaller particles, on average, for Sodankylä.

4.3. NP-35

Although there is only a short time period of measurements from NP-35 in spring 2008 available, a trajectory analysis was performed in the same way as described for Ny-Ålesund




Fig. 4. Cluster allocation of ensemble trajectories at all heights (850, 700 and 500 hPa) for Sodankylä 2004–2007.




Fig. 5. (a) Mean values and standard deviation of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α and (b) number of hourly mean AOD in different trajectory groups from Sodankylä 2004–2007. Seasonal separation: spring – red; summer – green; autumn – blue.

and Sodankylä. A total of 41 out of 72 trajectories could definitely be affiliated to individual clusters. Figure 6 shows the following results:

- (1) high AOD especially for trajectories from the Beaufort Sea (cluster 1)
- (2) lowest AOD for trajectories from Northeast Canada (cluster 4) and
- (3) in general even higher AOD than in Ny-Ålesund and Sodankylä, in March 2008.

The trajectory cluster 1 with its high AOD points to a region in North Canada for which Stohl (2006) calculated the highest Arctic age of air.

5. Linking to atmospheric circulation pattern

In the previous section, we have seen that no clear connection between high AOD and air masses from inhabited regions in terms of air backtrajectories has been found. On the contrary, in Figs. 7 and 8, a clear seasonal dependence of not only $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ but also α in Ny-Ålesund and Sodankylä can be seen. Moreover, both stations obviously show different seasonal cycles. For these reasons, we pose in this section the question whether the Arctic AOD might be driven by atmospheric circulation pattern of scales in time and distance, which are too large to be captured by air backtrajectories.




Fig. 6. (a) Clustering of the ensemble trajectories at all heights (850, 700, 500 hPa) from NP-35 between 14 March and 7 April, 2008, and (b) hourly mean of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α in the different trajectory groups and the group mean of $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α .




Fig. 7. Monthly means of τ_{500nm} and α in Ny-Ålesund.

5.1. North Atlantic oscillation

In the following, we will concentrate on Ny-Ålesund, because here we have 14 yr of data and the clear annual cycle with a haze season in spring is obvious. Firstly, a simple correlation to the NAO-Index was analysed. (We used the NAO-Index from the webpage of J. Hurrell <http://www.cgd.ucar.edu/cas/jhurrell/indices.html>.) The NAO-Index DJFM (December, January, February, March) describes the normed pressure ratio between the Icelandic Low and the anticyclone over the Azores. A positive NAO-Index stands for a strong pressure gradient and a meridional air mass transport from Eurasia into the Arctic. A correlation between NAO and aerosol transport into the Arctic was found by Eckhardt et al. (2003). With the help of model simulated particle transport (FLEXPART; Stohl et al. 1998) and measured concentrations of soot and carbon monoxide, they determined for Ny-Ålesund a correlation coefficient of $R^2=0.41$ for carbon monoxide




Fig. 8. Monthly means of τ_{500nm} and α in Sodankylä.

in a positive NAO phase. However, the correlation of monthly mean τ_{500nm} and α in Fig. 9 does not show any relationship between the NAO and the spring aerosol in Ny-Ålesund for our data.

This remarkable discrepancy could, among other things, be explained by the compensating effect of moisture and aerosol. If during NAO+ the increased meridional flow also transports more humidity into the Arctic, the aerosol lifetime could be reduced such that no net effect on the AOD is visible at remote sites. An accumulation of aerosol during the whole winter period as was originally suggested by Shaw (1983) would lead to a positive correlation between winter NAO-Index and spring AOD for our 14-yr data set. This idea is, however, not supported by our data. Eckhardt et al. (2003) basically considered times shorter than 30 d.

5.2. EOF analysis

The EOF analysis was applied in the following way: To quantify the connection between AOD and surface pressure pattern, the principle components from the EOF DJF (December, January, February) were averaged for each year and correlated with the corresponding monthly mean τ_{500nm} in March and April. As the average of the PC gives the contribution for the corresponding EOF, a possible correlation between winter-averaged PC and spring AOD shows during which large-scale circulation pattern the aerosol will occur. However, Table 2 shows only low correlation coefficients with high confidence ranges. This implies again that there is no accumulation effect for τ_{500nm} detectable and that no single pressure pattern in winter is responsible for aerosol occurrence in the following spring. The EOF DJF is shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen that the 1.EOF DJF is similar to the NAO circulation pattern. Hence, the EOF analysis and the NAO-Index correlation show the same results.

As there is no winter accumulation apparent, we further analysed a connection between the PCs of the found EOF of the monthly (Table 2 and Fig. 10) and daily (Table 3) surface pressure and the AOD in Ny-Ålesund. Additionally, a short time delay of up to 10 d between the EOF (surface pressure) and the AOD is considered, to account for the traveling time of air and pollutants. The largest correlations are printed bold even if they are probably not significant. March and April were chosen exemplary for the Haze season. The only noticeable correlation was found for the first two EOFs with less than 2 d time delay for March. The positive correlation coefficients indicate an airmass transport from Central Arctic and Siberia. In contrast, the negative correlation coefficients in the EOF No. 3 and 4 indicate an airmass transport from Europe, but with a time delay. This means, if there is airmass transport from Europe, the AOD can rise 4 or 5 d later. However, this




Fig. 9. Correlation between NAO-Index and monthly mean AOD in March (blue) and April (red) for Ny-Ålesund 1995–2008. Drawn are the monthly mean standard deviation and the linear regression of a) $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and b) α . The parameters of the regression, including the correlation coefficient and their confidence range, are shown in the legend.

correlation is hardly significant and less than for EOF No.1 and 2 (airmass transport from the Arctic and Siberia).

For the month of April, the correlations are only as large as their uncertainty and in almost all EOF-AOD correlation coefficients a strong time delay can be observed. Overall, the AOD at Ny-Ålesund cannot be explained well by the distribution of surface pressure. Small positive correlations for air from the central Arctic (without time delay) and for Europe (with time delay) have only been found for March. Apart from the EOFs based on surface pressure, we also analysed the correlation to AOD for the pressure levels of 850, 700 and 500 hPa and found very similar results (Stock, 2010). Hence, the large-scale circulation alone explains only a small part of the aerosol events in Ny-Ålesund.

6. Correlation to chemical composition

The measurements at Zeppelin Mountain station above Ny-Ålesund (474 m a.s.l) contain analyses of chemical trace gases and chemical speciation of particulate matter. These measurements are part of the Norwegian national monitoring programme (Aas et al., 2012) and are reported to the European Evaluation and Monitoring Programme, EMEP (Tørseth et al., 2012) and are available

from <http://ebas.nilu.no/>. We compared these measurements with our AOD data set. First, a correlation between the chemistry (daily data) and the corresponding daily mean $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α is shown in Table 4. For the daily mean AOD data only measurements were used when a trajectory cluster could be assigned. In such a case, in all three heights the airmasses have the same origin and the column value AOD can be compared to the chemical in-situ measurements. It can be seen that the highest correlation exists for sulphate, significant negative correlations have been found for ammoniac and chloride ions, the latter only for the Ångström exponent.

In a second step, the correlation was analysed in detail for the different trajectory clusters. For this case, we only used daily means in which the trajectory cluster did not change within 24 hours, to exclude airmass changes. These results are given in Table 5. Some clear variations between the correlation of optical properties and in-situ concentration with trajectory cluster can be seen. For example, high correlations to SO_4 occur for the clusters 2, 3 and 7. Generally, the correlations for the main haze influenced clusters (1, 2) are quite different. In particular, no correlation between sulphate and AOD was found for cluster 1 (East Arctic/Siberia) which indicates that the haze clusters 1 and 2 are different in chemical composition, while similar

Table 2. Correlation coefficients and confidence range for the correlation between the principle components (PC) of the first five EOF MSLP DJF and the monthly mean $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ of March and April in Ny-Ålesund (1995–2008)

Month	R				
	1. EOF	2. EOF	3. EOF	4. EOF	5. EOF
March	-0.08 ± 0.56	-0.16 ± 0.55	-0.12 ± 0.56	-0.27 ± 0.53	-0.14 ± 0.55
April	-0.08 ± 0.59	-0.19 ± 0.57	-0.05 ± 0.59	-0.04 ± 0.59	0.15 ± 0.58




Fig. 10. First five EOF of mean sea-level pressure of three periods: left – December, January and February (1995–2008); middle – March (1995–2008); right – April (1995–2008) and their variance in %. The white star marks the position of Ny-Ålesund, respectively Spitsbergen.

Table 3. Correlation coefficients and confidence range for the correlation between the principle components (PC) of the first five EOF MSLP March and April and the daily mean $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ of March and April in Ny-Ålesund (1995–2008)

	March					April				
	R					R				
	1.EOF	2.EOF	3.EOF	4.EOF	5.EOF	1.EOF	2.EOF	3.EOF	4.EOF	5.EOF
0	0.41 ± 0.22	0.36 ± 0.29	0.05 ± 0.26	0.02 ± 0.26	-0.11 ± 0.26	-0.03 ± 0.20	0.0 ± 0.20	0.17 ± 0.19	0.17 ± 0.19	-0.02 ± 0.20
1	0.37 ± 0.22	0.32 ± 0.23	0.03 ± 0.26	0.08 ± 0.26	-0.10 ± 0.26	-0.03 ± 0.20	0.02 ± 0.20	0.19 ± 0.19	0.15 ± 0.19	0.02 ± 0.20
2	0.27 ± 0.24	0.30 ± 0.23	-0.05 ± 0.26	0.06 ± 0.26	-0.10 ± 0.25	-0.03 ± 0.20	0.03 ± 0.20	0.19 ± 0.19	0.15 ± 0.19	0.05 ± 0.20
3	0.19 ± 0.25	0.32 ± 0.23	-0.16 ± 0.25	-0.03 ± 0.25	-0.05 ± 0.25	-0.05 ± 0.20	0.07 ± 0.20	0.19 ± 0.19	0.14 ± 0.19	0.04 ± 0.20
4	0.13 ± 0.24	0.34 ± 0.22	-0.24 ± 0.23	-0.13 ± 0.24	0.04 ± 0.25	-0.10 ± 0.19	0.14 ± 0.19	0.16 ± 0.19	0.09 ± 0.20	0.01 ± 0.20
5	0.11 ± 0.24	0.32 ± 0.22	-0.23 ± 0.23	-0.20 ± 0.24	0.13 ± 0.24	-0.10 ± 0.20	0.20 ± 0.19	0.16 ± 0.19	-0.04 ± 0.20	-0.05 ± 0.2
6	0.12 ± 0.24	0.29 ± 0.22	-0.16 ± 0.23	-0.18 ± 0.23	0.20 ± 0.23	-0.04 ± 0.20	0.25 ± 0.18	0.11 ± 0.19	-0.16 ± 0.19	-0.08 ± 0.2
7	0.11 ± 0.23	0.25 ± 0.22	-0.10 ± 0.23	-0.08 ± 0.23	0.25 ± 0.22	-0.01 ± 0.20	0.26 ± 0.18	0.02 ± 0.20	-0.23 ± 0.19	-0.09 ± 0.2
8	0.10 ± 0.23	0.17 ± 0.22	-0.06 ± 0.23	0.02 ± 0.23	0.22 ± 0.22	0.07 ± 0.20	0.19 ± 0.19	-0.02 ± 0.20	-0.23 ± 0.19	-0.10 ± 0.2
9	0.11 ± 0.22	0.12 ± 0.22	-0.04 ± 0.22	0.07 ± 0.22	0.11 ± 0.22	0.15 ± 0.19	0.15 ± 0.19	-0.06 ± 0.20	-0.19 ± 0.19	-0.11 ± 0.1
10	0.12 ± 0.22	0.10 ± 0.22	-0.02 ± 0.22	0.09 ± 0.22	0.05 ± 0.22	0.17 ± 0.19	0.18 ± 0.19	-0.11 ± 0.19	-0.15 ± 0.19	-0.05 ± 0.2

in terms of AOD and Ångström exponent. Sea salt components (Na, Mg, Cl) do not contribute significantly to AOD according to our data. NH_4^+ (marker for biomass burning; LeBel et al., 1991) and NO_3^- (marker for anthropogenic pollution; Teinilä et al., 2003) correlate to our measured AOD mainly for cluster 2 (Beaufort Sea) and to a lesser extent, for clusters 7 and 8 (local and North Atlantic).

7. Discussion

7.1. Trajectory analysis

Our data do not show a strong influence of direct transport of aerosol from inhabited regions on AOD in the Arctic. This conclusion can be drawn by two findings: 1. The AOD for the most remote site (NP-35) is highest and the AOD for the least remote site (Sodankylä) lowest. 2. The AOD in Ny-Ålesund is lower for air masses from Europe compared to air masses from the central Arctic. For this latter reasoning, however, one must consider the possibility that during direct transport from Europe into the Arctic (quick meridional transport), the air cools and clouds will form. Thus, analysing weather-depending optical data can introduce a selection effect: the majority of direct European pollution events might have occurred under overcast conditions and have, contrary to Eckhardt et al. (2003), not been recorded here. However, our study clearly shows that air masses from Europe do not necessarily mean observation of high AOD in the Arctic. From an observational point of view, the possible importance of precipitation to wash out accumulation mode particles for Ny-Ålesund has been found recently by Tunved et al. (2012) using in-situ measurements. In this respect, our study is in better agreement with findings from the Zeppelin station. This indicates that any interpretation of aerosol events by air backtrajectories has to include precipitation properly.

The generally low AOD values over Sodankylä are remarkable. Apparently the site is quite well isolated from some important source regions as backtrajectories from central Europe or the large Russian cities are infrequent in our data. Only sporadic pollution was observed from Kola Peninsula and forest or wild fires present in northwest Russia (Aaltonen et al., 2012). Moreover, surface temperature rise well above 0°C in April already, so the air can take up more humidity and the conditions might deviate from those in the Arctic.

For Ny-Ålesund, during summer and autumn mean AOD values of 0.05 (slightly decreasing with season) and Ångström exponent around 1.4 have been found. Thus, aerosol load seems to be very homogeneous in summer and autumn in terms of optical properties and, therefore,

Table 4. Correlation coefficients and confidence range between the measured $\tau_{500\text{nm}}/\alpha$ in Ny-Ålesund, which were assigned a trajectory cluster, and the measured concentration of different atmospheric chemical components at Zeppelin

Matter	$R(\tau_{500\text{nm}})$	$R(\alpha)$	Number of daily means	Period
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}(\text{s})$	0.506 ± 0.144	0.124 ± 0.191	103	} 1995–2008
$\text{NO}_3^-(\text{s})$	0.070 ± 0.193	0.160 ± 0.189	103	
$\text{NH}_4^+(\text{s})$	0.185 ± 0.186	0.148 ± 0.188	105	
$\text{Na}^+(\text{s})$	0.028 ± 0.192	-0.055 ± 0.192	105	
$\text{Mg}^{2+}(\text{s})$	-0.014 ± 0.192	-0.238 ± 0.181	105	
$\text{Ca}^{2+}(\text{s})$	-0.020 ± 0.192	-0.075 ± 0.191	105	
$\text{K}^+(\text{s})$	-0.051 ± 0.192	-0.019 ± 0.192	105	
$\text{Cl}^-(\text{s})$	0.123 ± 0.191	-0.272 ± 0.180	103	
$\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$	0.052 ± 0.192	-0.024 ± 0.192	105	
$\text{NH}_3(\text{g})$	-0.259 ± 0.179	0.202 ± 0.184	105	

g = gas, s = solid.

principally easy to include into climate models. Only the climatologically more sensitive Haze season is heterogeneous in terms of size and number concentration. These haze events typically last for 12 hours and are related to air backtrajectories from the central Arctic, the Beaufort Sea in the North West to Siberia in the North East. Not even one aerosol event has been found for air masses from Europe (or Greenland) in our data set. The large standard deviation of the Ångström exponent and the AOD during spring is further analysed in Fig. 11. It can be seen that no correlation exists between AOD and size of the particles.

This Arctic haze phenomenon is not discernible in our data set from Sodankylä. This station displays a constant low AOD with a little seasonal variation. (Maxima in spring and late summer and a minimum in autumn).

7.2. Linking to atmospheric circulation

The increased AOD in Ny-Ålesund in spring can hardly be explained by the large-scale circulation pattern (NAO-Index, EOF). This finding is in contrast to theories (winter accumulation by Shaw, 1983) and other observations (carbon monoxide by Eckhardt et al., 2003). However, contrary to trace gases aerosol can react and be modified between emission and its arrival in the Arctic, namely by gas to particle conversion (new particle formation) and aerosol–cloud interactions (rain out, wash out). For this reason, it is not surprising that the effective pollution pathways into the Arctic might be different for trace gases and chemically inert, water insoluble aerosol on the one hand and (the majority) of hygroscopic aerosol on the other hand.

Knowledge of detailed weather information in the central Arctic, including moisture and precipitation is, hence, urgently required for a better understanding of aerosol occurrences. In April, although still a month of the haze season in Ny-Ålesund, the correlation to EOFs drops

further to the level of insignificance. At the same time, sunlight increases which might also accelerate photochemistry as wet scavenging.

7.3. Correlation to chemical composition

The positive correlation between $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and SO_4^{2-} in Table 4 implies firstly, that the higher the AOD the higher the sulphate concentration in the atmosphere and secondly, that most of the optical active particles in our data set contain sulphate. This is in agreement with numerous studies, for example a chemical analysis of Hara et al. (2003), even if their results were obtained for one of the few direct transport events of Arctic haze in spring 2000 or Teinilä et al. (2003) and references therein. Therefore, we are confident that our AOD data set represents the typical aerosol events. Overall, sea salt does not represent an important aerosol constituent in our data set. The anti-correlation between Cl^- and the Ångström exponent means that large particles contain more fresh sea salt, but their contribution to the AOD is negligible. Sea salt aerosol has been found in the boundary layer of Ny-Ålesund (Weinbruch et al., 2012) but according to our data, is not important for the atmospheric column.

From this chemical analysis, one can see a clear difference between the haze clusters 1 (East Arctic/Siberia) and 2 (Beaufort sea). Cluster 2 correlates well to the anthropogenic markers (SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ and NO_3^-), but based on the air trajectories the source region might be located in East Asia, and not in Europe (including European Russia). Cluster 1, however, must be more diverse chemically as neither anthropogenic, nor soil (K^+ , Mg^+ , Ca^{2+}) nor sea salt components alone correlate to the AOD.

NH_3 is the most important base that neutralises H_2SO_4 (Whitlow et al., 1994; Kühnel et al., 2011). Hence, it disappears quickly in acidic air and the anti-correlation between ammonia and AOD means that the aerosol tends

Table 5. Correlation coefficients and confidence range between the measured $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ in Ny-Ålesund, which were assigned to a unique trajectory cluster, and the measured concentration of different atmospheric chemical components at Zeppelin

Matter	$R(\tau_{500\text{nm}})$							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
SO ₄ ²⁻ (s)	0.174 ± 0.436	0.765 ± 0.218	0.761 ± 0.291	0.180 ± 1.095	0.421 ± 0.570	0.379 ± 0.407	0.573 ± 0.329	0.460 ± 0.631
NO ₃ ⁻ (s)	0.040 ± 0.449	0.567 ± 0.355	0.013 ± 0.693	-0.154 ± 1.105	-0.345 ± 0.611	0.161 ± 0.463	-0.005 ± 0.490	0.049 ± 0.798
NH ₄ ⁺ (s)	0.049 ± 0.449	0.743 ± 0.235	0.311 ± 0.626	0.382 ± 0.837	0.237 ± 0.654	-0.008 ± 0.475	0.694 ± 0.254	0.601 ± 0.511
Na ⁺ (s)	-0.076 ± 0.447	0.035 ± 0.523	0.104 ± 0.686	0.016 ± 0.980	-0.367 ± 0.600	-0.373 ± 0.409	0.264 ± 0.456	-0.227 ± 0.759
Mg ²⁺ (s)	-0.187 ± 0.434	-0.088 ± 0.520	0.134 ± 0.681	-0.165 ± 0.953	-0.167 ± 0.674	-0.213 ± 0.454	0.422 ± 0.403	0.050 ± 0.798
Ca ²⁺ (s)	-0.139 ± 0.441	0.096 ± 0.519	-0.047 ± 0.691	-0.263 ± 0.912	0.021 ± 0.693	0.053 ± 0.474	0.110 ± 0.484	0.014 ± 0.800
K ⁺ (s)	0.045 ± 0.449	-0.179 ± 0.507	0.265 ± 0.644	-0.033 ± 0.979	-0.391 ± 0.587	-0.220 ± 0.452	0.073 ± 0.487	-0.726 ± 0.378
Cl ⁻ (s)	-0.068 ± 0.448	-0.035 ± 0.523	0.020 ± 0.693	0.171 ± 1.099	-0.194 ± 0.667	0.060 ± 0.474	0.300 ± 0.446	0.033 ± 0.799
SO ₂ (g)	0.201 ± 0.432	-0.149 ± 0.512	0.002 ± 0.693	0.116 ± 0.967	0.066 ± 0.690	-0.103 ± 0.470	-0.166 ± 0.477	0.139 ± 0.785
NH ₃ (g)	-0.049 ± 0.449	-0.224 ± 0.498	-0.197 ± 0.666	-0.054 ± 0.977	-0.471 ± 0.539	-0.462 ± 0.374	-0.492 ± 0.372	-0.285 ± 0.735
Number of daily means	20	15	9	5	9	18	17	7

g = gas, s = solid.




Fig. 11. Scatter plot of all hourly mean $\tau_{500\text{nm}}$ and α measured in Ny-Ålesund 1995–2008 and assigned to a trajectory cluster.

to arrive in more acid conditions. However, our NH₃ measurements are more uncertain than the other components due to problems with contamination of filters (Aas et al., 2012) and the fact that the filter-pack method is biased when it comes to separating gaseous NH₄⁺ and particulate NH₄⁺ (EMEP, 1996). Nevertheless, an anti-correlation between NH₃ and AOD can be seen which is mainly found for the clusters 5 (Europe), 6 (Central Arctic) and 7 (Local Arctic), but only weak for the high AOD clusters 1 (East Arctic) and 2 (Beaufort Sea). Overall, the correlation between AOD and chemical composition varies between the clusters.

8. Conclusion

The main conclusions of this work are:

The correlation between AOD and 5-d backtrajectories does not show a clear origin of the aerosol. This means that the lifetime of aerosol is longer and/or the aerosol is modified in the Arctic and appears in air masses which, due to the growing insecurity of air backtrajectory calculation at remote places, have an unknown origin.

The correlation between the AOD and the general circulation pattern is only weak. A dependence on the NAO phase has not been seen. In this respect, aerosol and trace gases seem to be different. A facilitated meridional transport into the Arctic (NAO+) does not increase the AOD over Ny-Ålesund.

Direct transport of air masses from Europe do not necessarily mean increased AOD as if the European sources were not essential. From the difference between our findings and previous work (among others Eneroth et al., 2003), we hypothesise that direct transport of polluted air masses from Europe into the Arctic very frequently goes ahead

with cloud formation and these cases cannot be seen with our photometers. Moreover, we hypothesise that increased meridional transport during NAO+ carries not only aerosol/precursor gases but also humidity into the Arctic and that apparently increased wet scavenging occurs, which in turn washes out the originally higher aerosol load.

We found remarkable low AOD for Sodankylä without any haze event.

We found higher AOD for Ny-Ålesund for air currents from the Eastern or central Arctic.

From the comparison of clusters and sites with high or low AOD, one gets the impression that the AOD might better be correlated with low temperatures of the air along their path. This is an open task for future work.

The AOD over Ny-Ålesund does correlate strongly with sulphate. Chemically, only the haze from cluster 2 (Beaufort Sea) contains anthropogenic markers. The high AOD cases from clusters 1 (East Arctic/Siberia) and 6 (Central Arctic) are chemically more diverse.

As the origin of the optically detectable aerosol could not be found in a satisfying way in this work, two strategies for further investigations are proposed: (1) Coordinated observations of aerosol/AOD at different Arctic sites should be performed to determine the spatial and temporal extent of aerosol events and see whether there is a common Arctic reservoir for aerosol or precursors. In particular, measurements in Siberia or above the Arctic Ocean are highly required as these sites are closer to the sources of aerosol seen in Ny-Ålesund. (2) Aircraft campaigns for aerosol and cloud measurements, which follow pollution plumes into the Arctic at least for several days, are proposed to really monitor the pollution pathways and the possible role of cloud formation and aerosol alteration.

9. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Jürgen Graeser for his great engagement doing measurements at NP-35 in 2008.

The atmospheric monitoring programme at the Zeppelin Station is financed by the Norwegian Environment Agency.

References

- Aaltonen, V., Rodriguez, E., Kazadzis, S., Arola, A., Amiridis, V. and co-authors. 2012. On the variation of aerosol properties over Finland based on the optical columnar measurements. *Atmos. Res.* **116**, 46–55. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.07.014.
- Aas, W., Solberg, S., Manø, S. and Yttri, K. E. 2012. *Monitoring of Long-Range Transported Air Pollutants*. Annual report 2011. Technical Report Norwegian Klif report 126/2012. NILU OR 19/2012, Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Kjeller, Norway.
- Carlson, T. N. 1981. Speculations on the movement of polluted air to the Arctic. *Atmos. Environ.* **15**, 1473–1477. DOI: 10.1016/0004-6981(81)90354-1.
- Dommenget, D. and Latif, M. 2002. A cautionary note on the interpretation of EOFs. *J. Clim.* **15**, 216–225.
- Dorling, S. R., Davies, T. D. and Pierce, C. E. 1992. Cluster analysis: a technique for estimating the synoptic meteorological controls on air and precipitation chemistry – method and applications. *Atmos. Environ.* **26A**(14), 2575–2581.
- Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Beirle, S., Spichtinger, N., James, P. and co-authors. 2003. The North Atlantic Oscillation controls air pollution transport to the Arctic. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 1769–1778.
- EMEP. 1996. *EMEP Manual for Sampling and Chemical Analysis*. NILU – Norwegian Institute for Air Research, N-2007 Kjeller, Norway, ccc-report 1/95 edition. Revision 2001.
- Eneroth, K., Kjellström, E. and Holmén, K. 2003. A trajectory climatology for Svalbard; investigating how atmospheric flow patterns influence observed tracer concentrations. *Phys. Chem. Earth.* **28**, 1191–1203. DOI: 10.1016/j.pce.2003.08.051.
- Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Purdy, M. T., Kopacz, M., Le Sager, P. and co-authors. 2010. Source attribution and interannual variability of Arctic pollution in spring constrained by aircraft (ARCTAS, ARCPAC) and satellite (AIRS) observations of carbon monoxide. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 977–996. DOI: 10.5194/acp-10-977-2010.
- Gibson, J. K., Kallberg, P., Uppala, S., Hernandez, A., Nomura, A. and co-authors. 1999. *ECMWF Re-Analysis Project Report Series, 1. ERA-15 Description*. Technical Report, Reading, UK: European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts.
- Hall, A. and Qu, X. 2006. Using the current seasonal cycle to constrain snow albedo feedback in future climate change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33**, L03502. DOI: 10.1029/2005GL025127.
- Hannachi, A., Jolliffe, I. T. and Stephenson, D. B. 2007. Empirical orthogonal functions and related techniques in atmospheric sciences: a review. *Int. J. Climatol.* **27**, 1119–1152. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1499.
- Hara, K., Yamagata, S., Yamanouchi, T., Sato, K., Herber, A. and co-authors. 2003. Mixing states of individual aerosol particles in spring Arctic troposphere during ASTAR 2000 campaign. *J. Geophys. Res.* **108**(D7). DOI: 10.1029/2002JD002513.
- Herber, A., Thomason, L.W., Gernandt, H., Leiterer, U., Nagel, D. and co-authors. 2002. Continuous day and night aerosol optical depth observations in the Arctic between 1991 and 1999. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**(D10), AAC–6. DOI: 10.1029/2001JD000536.
- Hoffmann, A., Ritter, C., Stock, M., Maturilli, M. and Neuber, R. 2010. Lidar measurements of the Kasatochi aerosol plume in August and September 2008 in Ny-Ålesund, Spitsbergen. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**(D2).
- Iversen, T. 1984. On the atmospheric transport of pollution to the Arctic. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **11**, 457–460. DOI: 10.1029/GL011i005p00457.
- Jaiser, R. J., Dethloff, K., Handorf, D., Rinke, A. and Cohen, J. 2012. Impact of sea ice cover changes on the Northern

- Hemisphere atmospheric winter circulation. *Tellus A.* **64**, 11595. DOI: 10.3402/tellusa.v64i0.11595.
- Kühnel, R., Roberts, T. J., Björkman, M. P., Isaksson, E., Aas, W. and co-authors. 2011. 20-Year climatology of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ wet deposition at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. *Adv. Meteorol.* **2011**, Article ID 406508, 10 p. DOI: 10.1155/2011/406508.
- LeBel, P. J., Vay, S. A. and Roberts, P. D. 1991. Ammonia and nitric acid emissions from wetlands and boreal forest fires. In: *Global Biomass Burning* (ed. J. S. Levine). MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 225–229.
- MacQueen, J. 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations. In: *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (eds. L. M. Le Cam and J. Neyman). University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 281–297.
- Mazzola, M., Stone, R. S., Herber, A., Tomasi, C., Lupi, A. and co-authors. 2012. Evaluation of sun photometer capabilities for retrievals of aerosol optical depth at high latitudes: the POLAR-AOD intercomparison campaigns. *Atmos. Environ.* **52**, 4–17. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.042.
- O'Neill, N. T. 2012. Properties of Sarychev sulphate aerosols over the Arctic. *J. Geophys. Res.* **117**, D04203. DOI: 10.1029/2011JD016838.
- Orgis, T., Brand, S., Schwarz, U., Handorf, D., Dethloff, K. and co-authors. 2009. Influence of interactive stratospheric chemistry on large-scale air mass exchange in a global circulation model. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **174**, 257–269.
- Preisendorfer, R. 1988. *Principal Component Analysis in Meteorology and Oceanography*, Vol. 17. Elsevier Scientific Ltd., Amsterdam, New York.
- Quinn, P. K., Shaw, G., Andrews, E., Dutton, E. G., Ruoho-Airola, T. and co-authors. 2007. Arctic haze: current trends and knowledge gaps. *Tellus B.* **59**, 99–114. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2006.00238.x.
- Rozwadowska, A., Zielinski, T., Petelski, T. and Sobolewski, P. 2010. Cluster analysis of the impact of air back-trajectories on aerosol optical properties at Hornsund, Spitsbergen. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 877–893.
- Screen, J. A. and Simmonds, I. 2010. The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent Arctic temperature amplification. *Nature.* **464**, 1334–1337. DOI: 10.1038/nature09051.
- Shaw, G. E. 1983. Evidence for a central Eurasian source area of Arctic haze in Alaska. *Nature.* **299**, 815–818.
- Shaw, G. E. 1995. The Arctic haze phenomenon. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **76**, 2403–2413.
- Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M. and co-authors. 2007. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Stock, M. 2010. *Charakterisierung der troposphärischen Aerosolvariabilität in der europäischen Arktis* [characterization of the tropospheric aerosol variability in the European Arctic]. PhD Thesis. University Potsdam. Online at: <http://opus.kobv.de/ubp/volltexte/2010/4920>
- Stock, M., Ritter, C., Herber, A., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Baibakov, K. and co-authors. 2011. Springtime Arctic aerosol: smoke versus haze, a case study for March 2008. *Atmos. Environ.* **52**, 48–55. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.051.
- Stohl, A. 2006. Characteristics of atmospheric transport into the Arctic troposphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* **111**. DOI: 10.1029/2005JD006888.
- Stohl, A., Andrews, E., Burkhardt, J. F., Forster, C., Herber, A. and co-authors. 2003. Pan-Arctic enhancements of light absorbing aerosol concentrations due to North American boreal forest fires during summer 2004. *J. Geophys. Res.* **111**. DOI: 10.1029/2006JD007216.
- Stohl, A., Berg, T., Burkhardt, J. F., Fjæraa, A. M., Forster, C. and co-authors. 2007. Arctic smoke – record high air pollution levels in the European Arctic due to agricultural fires in Eastern Europe in Spring 2006. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **7**, 511–534.
- Stohl, A., Hittenberger, M. and Wotawa, G. 1998. Validation of the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART against large scale tracer experiments. *Atmos. Environ.* **32**, 4245–4264.
- Stone, R. S., Anderson, G. P., Sharma, S., Herber, A., Dutton, E. G. and co-authors. 2013. A characterization of Arctic aerosols and their radiative impact on the surface radiation budget. *Int. J. Climatol.* 2013. submitted.
- Stroeve, J. C., Serreze, M. C., Holland, M. M., Kay, J. E., Malanik, J. and co-authors. 2012. The Arctic's rapidly shrinking sea ice cover: a research synthesis. *Clim. Change.* **110**, 1005–1027. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-011-0101-1.
- Ström, J., Umegård, J., Tørseth, K., Tunved, P., Hansson, H.-C. and co-authors. 2003. One year of particle size distribution and aerosol chemical composition measurements at the Zeppelin Station, Svalbard, March 2000–March 2001. *Phys. Chem. Earth.* **28**, 1181–1190.
- Stunder, B. J. 1996. An assessment of the quality of forecast trajectories. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* **35**, 1319–1331.
- Teinilä, K., Hillamo, R., Kerminen, V.-M. and Beine, H. J. 2003. Aerosol chemistry during the NICE dark and light campaigns. *Atmos. Environ.* **37**, 563–575.
- Toledano, C., Cachorro, V. E., Gausa, M., Stebel, K., Aaltonen, V. and co-authors. 2012. Overview of sun photometer measurements of aerosol properties in Scandinavia and Svalbard. *Atmos. Environ.* **52**, 18–28. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.022.
- Tørseth, K., Aas, W., Breivik, K., Fjæraa, A. M., Fiebig, M. and co-authors. 2012. Introduction to the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) and observed atmospheric composition change during 1972–2009. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 5447–5481. DOI: 10.5194/acp-12-5447-2012.
- Tunved, P., Ström, J. and Krejci, R. 2012. Arctic aerosol life cycle: linking aerosol size distributions observed between 2000 and 2010 with air mass transport and precipitation at Zeppelin station, Ny-lesund, Svalbard. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* **12**, 29967–30019. DOI: 10.5194/acpd-12-29967-2012.
- Uppala, S. M., Kållberg, P. W., Simmons, A. J., Andrae, U., Bechtold, V. and co-authors. 2005. The ERA-40 reanalysis. *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.* **131**, 2961–3012. DOI: 10.1256/qj.04.176.
- von Storch, H. and Zwiers, F. W. 2001. *Statistical Analysis in Climate Research*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. ISBN 0 521 45071 3.

- Warneke, C., Bahreini, R., Brioude, J., Brock, C. A., de Gouw, J. A. and co-authors. 2009. Biomass burning in Siberia and Kazakhstan as an important source for haze over Alaskan Arctic in April 2008. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36**. DOI: 10.1029/2008GL036194.
- Weinbruch, S., Wiesemann, D., Ebert, M., Schütze, K., Kallenborn, R. and Ström, J. 2012. Chemical composition and sources of aerosol particles at Zeppelin Mountain (Ny Ålesund, Svalbard): an electron microscopy study. *Atmos. Environ.* **49**, 142–150. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.12.008.
- Whitlow, S., Mayewski, P., Dibb, J., Holdsworth, G. and Twickler, M. 1994. An ice-core based record of biomass burning in the Arctic and Subarctic, 1750–1980. *Tellus B.* **46**(3), 234–242.
- WMO. 1996. *Guide to Meteorological Instruments and Methods of Observation*. World Meteorological Organisation, Geneva, WMO-No.8.
- Yamanouchi, T., Treffeisen, R., Herber, A., Shiobara, M., Yamagata, S. and co-authors. 2005. Arctic study of tropospheric aerosol and radiation (ASTAR) 2000: Arctic haze case study. *Tellus B.* **57**, 141–152.